arXiv:1110.5044v3 [hep-th] 30 Mar 2012

General Lagrangian Formulation for Higher Spin Fields with Arbitrary Index Symmetry. I. Bosonic fields

I.L. Buchbinder[a,b]*, A. Reshetnyak[c]‡

[a]*Departamento de Fisica, UFJF, Juiz de Fora, MG, Brazil*
and
[b]*Department of Theoretical Physics,*
Tomsk State Pedagogical University,
Tomsk 634041, Russia†

[c] *Laboratory of Non-Linear Media Physics, Institute of*
Strength Physics and Materials Science, 634021 Tomsk, Russia

Abstract

We construct a Lagrangian description of irreducible integer higher-spin representations of the Poincare group with an arbitrary Young tableaux having k rows, on a basis of the universal BRST approach. Starting with a description of bosonic mixed-symmetry higher-spin fields in a flat space of any dimension in terms of an auxiliary Fock space associated with special Poincare module, we realize a conversion of the initial operator constraint system (constructed with respect to the relations extracting irreducible Poincare-group representations) into a first-class constraint system. For this purpose, we find, for the first time, auxiliary representations of the constraint subalgebra, to be isomorphic due to Howe duality to $sp(2k)$ algebra, and containing the subsystem of second-class constraints in terms of new oscillator variables. We propose a universal procedure of constructing unconstrained gauge-invariant Lagrangians with reducible gauge symmetries describing the dynamics of both massless and massive bosonic fields of any spin. It is shown that the space of BRST cohomologies with a vanishing ghost number is determined only by the constraints corresponding to an irreducible Poincare-group representation. As examples of the general procedure, we formulate the method of Lagrangian construction for bosonic fields subject to arbitrary Young tableaux having 3 rows and derive the gauge-invariant Lagrangian for new model of massless rank-4 tensor field with spin $(2, 1, 1)$ and second-stage reducible gauge symmetries.

Keywords: Higher spin fields; Gauge theories; Lagrangian formulation; BRST operator; BRST cohomology; Higher spin symmetry algebra.

---

*joseph@tspu.edu.ru ‡reshet@ispms.tsc.ru

†Permanent address

1 Introduction

Problems of higher-spin field theory attract a considerable attention for a long time. Interest to higher spin field theory is mainly stipulated by hope to construct the new types of Lagrangian models in classical field theory and may be to formulate on this ground the new approaches to the unification of the fundamental interactions. Higher-spin field theory is closely related to superstring theory, which operates with an infinite tower of bosonic and fermionic higher-spin fields. From this point of view the higher spin theory can be treated as an approach to study a structure of superstring theory from field theory side. The aspects of current state of higher spin field theory are discussed in the reviews [1]. Some newest tendencies in higher spin field theory are discussed e.g. in [2]–[13].

At present, the Lagrangian dynamics of massless and massive free totally symmetric higher-spin fields is well enough developed in Minkowski and AdS spaces [14], [15], [16], [17], [18]. It is known that in higher space-time dimensions, there appear, besides totally symmetric irreducible representations of Poincare or (A)dS algebras, the mixed-symmetry representations determined by more than one spin-like parameters [19], [20], [21] and the problem of their field-theoretic description is not so well-studied as for totally symmetric representations. Indeed, a simplest mixed-symmetry fields were considered in [22], the problems of constrained (with imposing off-shell traceless and Young symmetry constraints on all the fields and gauge parameters) gauge-invariant description of mixed-symmetry fields on flat space-time have been developed in [23]. The aspects of lagrangian formulations for general mixed-symmetry fields were discussed in [24], the unconstrained Lagrangians with higher derivatives for such massless HS fields in "metric-like" formulation on flat background were derived on a base of Bianchi identities resolution in [25][1] The details of Lagrangian description of mixed-symmetry HS tensors on flat and (A)dS backgrounds in "frame-like" formulation were studied in [26]. The interesting approach of derivation the Labastida-like [19] constrained Lagrangians for arbitrary mixed-symmetric higher spin fields were recently studied on a basis of detour complexes from the BRST quantization of worldline diffeomorphism invariant systems in [27]. At present, the main result within the problem of Lagrangian construction for arbitrary massless mixed-symmetry HS field on a Minkowski space-time of any dimensions was obtained in [28] with use of unfolded form of equations of motion for the field in "frame-like" formulation. In turn, the corresponding results for the mixed-symmetry bosonic fields in "frame-like" formulation with off-shell traceless constraints in the case of (anti)-de-Sitter case are recently known for the Young tableaux with two rows [29].

The present work is devoted to the construction of general gauge-invariant Lagrangians for both massless and massive mixed-symmetry tensor fields of rank $s_1+s_2+...+s_k$, with any integer numbers $s_1 \geq s_2 \geq ... \geq s_k \geq 1$ for $k \leq [d/2]$ in a d-dimensional Minkowski space, the fields being elements of Poincare-group $ISO(1, d-1)$ irreps with a Young tableaux having k rows. Our approach is based on the BFV–BRST construction [30], which was initially developed for a Hamiltonian quantization of dynamical systems subject to first-class constraints and is called usually the BRST construction. The application of the BRST construction to free higher-spin field theory consists of three steps. First, the conditions that determine the representations with a given spin are regarded as a topological (i.e. without Hamiltonian) gauge system of first- and second-class operator constraints in an auxiliary Fock space. Second, the subsystem of the initial constraints, which contains only second-class constraints, is converted, with a preservation of the initial algebraic structure, into a system of first-class constraints alone in an enlarged Fock space (see [31] for the development of conversion methods), with respect to which one constructs the BRST charge. Third, the Lagrangian for a higher-spin field is constructed in terms of the BRST charge in such a way that the corresponding equations of motion reproduce the initial constraints. We emphasize that this approach automatically implies a gauge-invariant Lagrangian description with all appropriate auxiliary and Stuckelberg fields. The BRST approach to Lagrangian formulation of higher spin field theories has been developed for arbitrary massless and massive, bosonic and fermionic fields in Minkowski and AdS spaces in [32]–[41]. Inclusion of higher-spin field interactions requires a deformation of BRST charge, the corresponding consideration is discussed in [42].

---

[1] In this paper a Lagrangian formulation is constructed for massless fields corresponding to a reducible Poincare group representation with arbitrary index symmetry. Besides, it is suggested the Lagrangian formulation which uses the special projector's operators which are not constructed in explicit form, although for every concrete case of Poincare-irreducible HS fields they can be written down. The authors are grateful to D. Francia having drawn their attention on the exact formulation of the paper [25] results.

The various aspects of the flat dynamics of mixed-symmetry gauge fields has been examined in [43], [44] for massless bosonic higher-spin fields with two rows of the Young tableaux [32], and recently also for interacting bosonic higher spin fields [45], [46], [47] and for those of lower spins [48], [49] on the basis of the BV cohomological deformation theory [50]. Lagrangian descriptions of massless mixed-symmetry fermionic higher-spin fields in Minkowski spaces have been suggested within a "frame-like" approach in [51]. To be complete, note that for free totally symmetric higher-spin fields of integer spins the BRST approach has been used to derive Lagrangians in the flat space [34], [36] and in the (A)dS space [35]. The corresponding programme of a Lagrangian description of fermionic higher-spin fields has been realized in the flat space [37] and in the (A)dS space [38].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we formulate a closed algebra of operators (using Howe duality), based on the constraints in an auxiliary Fock space that determines an massless irreducible representation of the Poincare group in $\mathbb{R}^{1,d-1}$ with a generalized spin $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, ..., s_k)$. In Section 3, we construct an auxiliary representation for a rank-$[\frac{d}{2}]$ symplectic $sp(2k)$ subalgebra of an algebra of the initial constraints corresponding to the subsystem of second-class constraints in terms of new (additional) creation and annihilation operators in Fock space[2]. As a result, we carry out a conversion of the initial system of first- and second-class constraints into a system of first-class constraints in the space being the tensor product of the initial and new Fock spaces. Next, we construct the standard BRST operator for the converted constraint algebra in Section 4. The construction of an action and of a sequence of reducible gauge transformations describing the propagation of a mixed-symmetry bosonic field of an arbitrary spin is realized in Section 5. We show that the Lagrangian description for a theory of a massive integer mixed-symmetry HS field in a d-dimensional Minkowski space is deduced by dimensional reduction of a massless HS field theory of the same type in a $(d+1)$-dimensional flat space. Then, we sketch a proof of the fact that the resulting action reproduces the correct conditions for a field that determine an irreducible representation of the Poincare group with a fixed $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, ..., s_k)$ spin. In Section 6, we show, that general procedure contains, first, earlier known algorithm of Lagrangian construction for bosonic fields subject to Young tableaux with two rows, and, second, a new one for tensor fields with three rows in the corresponding Young tableaux. Here we construct the new unconstrained Lagrangian formulation for the fourth rank massless tensor field with spin $(2, 1, 1)$ which has not been obtained before. In Conclusion, we summarize the results of this work and outline some open problems. Finally, in Appendix A we construct auxiliary representation for $sp(2k)$ algebra. Appendix B is devoted to obtaining of a polynomial representation of the operator algebra given in Table 1 in terms of creation and annihilation operators. In Appendix C we prove that the constructed Lagrangian reproduces the correct conditions on the field defining the irreducible representation of the Poincare group and in Appendix D the expressions for the field and all gauge Fock space vectors are written in powers of ghost creation operators to be used for Lagrangian construction for the fourth rank tensor.

---

[2] Note that a similar construction for bosonic HS fields subject to Young tableaux with 2 rows in a flat space has been presented in [52] for massless and in [39] for massive case.

As a rule we use the conventions of Refs. [32, 39, 52].

2 Derivation of Integer HS Symmetry Algebra on $\mathbb{R}^{1,d-1}$

In this section, we consider a massless integer spin irreducible representation of Poincare group in a Minkowski space $\mathbb{R}^{1,d-1}$ which is described by a tensor field $\Phi_{(\mu^1)_{s_1},(\mu^2)_{s_2},...,(\mu^k)_{s_k}} \equiv \Phi_{\mu^1_1...\mu^1_{s_1},\mu^2_1...\mu^2_{s_2},...,\ \mu^k_1...\mu^k_{s_k}}(x)$ of rank $\sum_{i\geq 1}^k s_i$ and generalized spin $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, s_2, ..., s_k)$, $(s_1 \geq s_2 \geq ... \geq s_k > 0, k \leq [d/2])$ to be corresponding to a Young tableaux with k rows of length $s_1, s_2, ..., s_k$, respectively

$$\Phi_{(\mu^1)_{s_1},(\mu^2)_{s_2},...,(\mu^k)_{s_k}} \longleftrightarrow \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline \mu^1_1 & \mu^1_2 & \cdot\ \ \cdot\ \ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \mu^1_{s_1} \\ \hline \mu^2_1 & \mu^2_2 & \cdot\ \ \cdot\ \ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \mu^2_{s_2} \\ \cline{1-6} \cdots \\ \cline{1-5} \mu^k_1 & \mu^k_2 & \cdot\ \ \cdot\ \ \cdot & \cdot & \mu^k_{s_k} \\ \cline{1-5} \end{array} \quad , \tag{2.1}$$

This field is symmetric with respect to the permutations of each type of Lorentz indices[3] μ^i, and obeys to the Klein-Gordon (2.2), divergentless (2.3), traceless (2.4) and mixed-symmetry equations (2.5) [for $i, j = 1, ..., k$; $l_i, m_i = 1, ..., s_i$]:

$$\partial^\mu \partial_\mu \Phi_{(\mu^1)_{s_1},(\mu^2)_{s_2},...,(\mu^k)_{s_k}} = 0, \tag{2.2}$$

$$\partial^{\mu^i_{l_i}} \Phi_{(\mu^1)_{s_1},(\mu^2)_{s_2},...,(\mu^k)_{s_k}} = 0, \tag{2.3}$$

$$\eta^{\mu^i_{l_i}\mu^i_{m_i}} \Phi_{(\mu^1)_{s_1},(\mu^2)_{s_2},...,(\mu^k)_{s_k}} = \eta^{\mu^i_{l_i}\mu^j_{m_j}} \Phi_{(\mu^1)_{s_1},(\mu^2)_{s_2},...,(\mu^k)_{s_k}} = 0, \quad l_i < m_i, \tag{2.4}$$

$$\Phi_{(\mu^1)_{s_1},...,\{(\mu^i)_{s_i} \underbrace{,...,\mu^j_1...}\mu^j_{l_j}\}...\mu^j_{s_j},...(\mu^k)_{s_k}} = 0, \quad i < j,\ 1 \leq l_j \leq s_j, \tag{2.5}$$

where the bracket below denote that the indices in it do not include in symmetrization, i.e. the symmetrization concerns only indices $(\mu^i)_{s_i}, \mu^j_{l_j}$ in $\{(\mu^i)_{s_i} \underbrace{,...,\mu^j_1...}\mu^j_{l_j}\}$.

In order to describe all the irreducible representations simultaneously, we introduce in an usual manner an auxiliary Fock space $\mathcal{H}$, generated by k pairs of bosonic (symmetric basis) creation $a^i_{\mu^i}(x)$ and annihilation $a^{j+}_{\nu^j}(x)$ operators, $i, j = 1, ..., k, \mu^i, \nu^j = 0, 1..., d-1$:[4]

$$[a^i_{\mu^i}, a^{j+}_{\nu^j}] = -\eta_{\mu^i\nu^j}\delta^{ij}\,, \qquad \delta^{ij} = diag(1, 1, \ldots 1)\,, \tag{2.6}$$

and a set of constraints for an arbitrary string-like vector $|\Phi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$|\Phi\rangle = \sum_{s_1=0}^{\infty}\sum_{s_2=0}^{s_1}\cdots\sum_{s_k=0}^{s_{k-1}} \Phi_{(\mu^1)_{s_1},(\mu^2)_{s_2},...,(\mu^k)_{s_k}}(x) \prod_{i=1}^{k}\prod_{l_i=1}^{s_i} a_i^{+\mu^i_{l_i}}|0\rangle, \tag{2.7}$$

$$l_0|\Phi\rangle = 0, \quad l_0 = \partial^\mu\partial_\mu, \tag{2.8}$$

$$\bigl(l^i, l^{ij}, t^{i_1j_1}\bigr)|\Phi\rangle = \bigl(-ia^i_\mu\partial^\mu, \tfrac{1}{2}a^i_\mu a^{j\mu}, a^{i_1+}_\mu a^{j_1\mu}\bigr)|\Phi\rangle = 0,\ i \leq j;\ i_1 < j_1. \tag{2.9}$$

---

[3] Throughout the paper, we use the mostly minus signature $\eta_{\mu\nu} = diag(+, -, ..., -)$, $\mu, \nu = 0, 1, ..., d-1$.

[4] there exists another realization of auxiliary Fock space generated by the fermionic oscillators (antisymmetric basis) $\hat{a}^m_{\mu^m}(x)$, $\hat{a}^{n+}_{\nu^n}(x)$ with anticommutation relations, $\{\hat{a}^m_{\mu^m}, \hat{a}^{n+}_{\nu^n}\} = -\eta_{\mu^m\nu^n}\delta^{mn}$, for $m, n = 1, ..., s_1$, and develop the procedure below following to the lines of Ref. [40] for totally antisymmetric tensors for $s_1 = s_2 = ... = s_k = 1$.

The set of $(k(k+1)+1)$ primary constraints (2.8), (2.9) with $\{o_\alpha\} = \bigl\{l_0, l^i, l^{ij}, t^{i_1 j_1}\bigr\}$, because of the property of translational invariance of the vacuum, $\partial_\mu|0\rangle = 0$, are equivalent to Eqs. (2.2)–(2.5) for all spins. In turn, if we impose in addition to the Eqs. (2.8), (2.9) the additional constraints with number particles operators, g^i_0,

$$g^i_0|\Phi\rangle = (s_i + \frac{d}{2})|\Phi\rangle, \qquad g^i_0 = -\frac{1}{2}\{a^{i+}_\mu, a^{\mu i}\}, \tag{2.10}$$

where the sign $\{\ ,\ \}$ is the anticommutator, then these combined conditions are equivalent to Eqs. (2.2)–(2.5) for the field $\Phi_{(\mu^1)_{s_1},(\mu^2)_{s_2},\ldots,(\mu^k)_{s_k}}(x)$ with given spin $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_k)$.

We refer to the vector (2.7) as the basic vector[5].

The procedure of Lagrangian formulation implies the property of BFV-BRST operator Q, $Q = C^\alpha o_\alpha + more$, to be Hermitian, that is equivalent to the requirements: $\{o_\alpha\}^+ = \{o_\alpha\}$ and closedness for $\{o_\alpha\}$ with respect to the commutator multiplication $[\ ,\ ]$. It is evident, that the set of $\{o_\alpha\}$ violates above conditions. To provide them we consider in standard manner an scalar product on $\mathcal{H}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\Psi|\Phi\rangle \ \ &= \ \ \int d^dx \sum_{s_1=0}^{\infty}\sum_{s_2=0}^{s_1}\cdots\sum_{s_k=0}^{s_{k-1}}\sum_{p_1=0}^{\infty}\sum_{p_2=0}^{p_1}\cdots\sum_{p_k=0}^{p_{k-1}}\langle 0|\prod_{j=1}^{k}\prod_{m_j=1}^{p_j} a_j^{\nu^j_{m_j}}\Psi^*_{(\nu^1)_{p_1},(\nu^2)_{p_2},\ldots,(\nu^k)_{p_k}}(x)\\ &\qquad\times\Phi_{(\mu^1)_{s_1},(\mu^2)_{s_2},\ldots,(\mu^k)_{s_k}}(x)\prod_{i=1}^{k}\prod_{l_i=1}^{s_i} a_i^{+\mu^i_{l_i}}|0\rangle.\end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

As the result, the set of $\{o_\alpha\}$ extended by means of the operators,

$$\bigl(l^{i+},\ l^{ij+},\ t^{i_1 j_1+}\bigr) = \bigl(-ia^{i+}_\mu\partial^\mu,\ \tfrac{1}{2}a^{i+}_\mu a^{j\mu+},\ a^{i_1}_\mu a^{j_1\mu+}\bigr),\ i \le j;\ i_1 < j_1, \tag{2.12}$$

is Hermitian, with taken into account of self-conjugated operators, $(l^+_0,\ g^{i}_0{}^+) = (l_0,\ g^i_0)$. It is rather simple exercise to see the second requirement is fulfilled as well if the number particles operators g^i_0 will be included into set of all constraints o_I having therefore the structure,

$$\{o_I\} = \{o_\alpha, o^+_\alpha;\ g^i_0\} \equiv \{o_a, o^+_a;\ l_0,\ l^i,\ l^{i+};\ g^i_0\}. \tag{2.13}$$

Together the set $\{o_a, o^+_a\}$ in the Eq. (2.13), for $\{o_a\} = \{l^{ij}, t^{i_1 j_1}\}$ and the one $\{o_A\} = \{l_0,\ l^i,\ l^{i+}\}$, may be considered from the Hamiltonian analysis of the dynamical systems as the operatorial respective $2k^2$ second-class and $(2k+1)$ first-class constraints subsystems among $\{o_I\}$ for topological gauge system (i.e. with zero Hamiltonian) because of,

$$[o_a,\ o^+_b] = f^c_{ab}o_c + \Delta_{ab}(g^i_0),\ [o_A,\ o_B] = f^C_{AB}o_C,\ [o_a,\ o_B] = f^C_{aB}o_C. \tag{2.14}$$

Here $f^c_{ab}, f^C_{AB}, f^C_{aB}$ are the antisymmetric with respect to permutations of lower indices constant quantities and quantities $\Delta_{ab}(g^i_0)$ form the non-degenerate $k^2\times k^2$ matrix $\|\Delta_{ab}\|$ in the Fock space $\mathcal{H}$ on the surface $\Sigma \subset \mathcal{H}$: $\|\Delta_{ab}\|_{|\Sigma} \ne 0$, which is determined by the equations, $(o_a, l_0,\ l^i)|\Phi\rangle = 0$. The set of o_I contains the operators g^i_0 are not being by the constraints in $\mathcal{H}$.

Explicitly, operators o_I satisfy to the Lie-algebra commutation relations,

$$[o_I,\ o_J] = f^K_{IJ}o_K,\ f^K_{IJ} = -f^K_{JI}, \tag{2.15}$$

where the structure constants f^K_{IJ} are used in the Eq.(2.14), included the constants $f^{[g^i_0]}_{ab}$: $f^{[g^i_0]}_{ab}g^i_0 \equiv \Delta^{[g^i_0]}_{ab}(g^i_0)$ there and determined from the multiplication table 1.

---

[5] We may consider a set of all finite string-like vectors for finite upper limits for s_1 which different choice of a spin $\mathbf{s}$ as the vector space of polynomials $P^d_k(a^+)$ in degree $a_i^{+\mu^i}$. The Lorentz algebra on $P^d_k(a^+)$ is realized by means of action on it the Lorentz transformations, $M^{\mu\nu} = \sum_{i\ge 1}^k a_i^{+[\mu}a^{\nu]i}$ with a standard rule $A^{[\mu}B^{\nu]} \equiv A^\mu B^\nu - A^\nu B^\mu$, therefore endowing $P^d_k(a^+)$ by the structure of Lorentz-module.

$[\downarrow,\rightarrow]$	$t^{i_1j_1}$	$t^+_{i_1j_1}$	l_0	l^i	l^{i+}	$l^{i_1j_1}$	$l^{i_1j_1+}$	g_0^i
$t^{i_2j_2}$	$A^{i_2j_2,i_1j_1}$	$B^{i_2j_2}{}_{i_1j_1}$	0	$l^{j_2}\delta^{i_2i}$	$-l^{i_2+}\delta^{j_2i}$	$l^{\{j_1j_2}\delta^{i_1\}i_2}$	$-l^{i_2\{i_1+}\delta^{j_1\}j_2}$	$F^{i_2j_2,i}$
$t^+_{i_2j_2}$	$-B^{i_1j_1}{}_{i_2j_2}$	$A^+_{i_1j_1,i_2j_2}$	0	$l_{i_2}\delta^i_{j_2}$	$-l^+_{j_2}\delta^i_{i_2}$	$l_{i_2}{}^{\{j_1}\delta^{i_1\}}_{j_2}$	$-l_{j_2}{}^{\{j_1+}\delta^{i_1\}}_{i_2}$	$-F_{i_2j_2}{}^{i+}$
l_0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
l^j	$-l^{j_1}\delta^{i_1j}$	$-l_{i_1}\delta^j_{j_1}$	0	0	$l_0\delta^{ji}$	0	$-\frac{1}{2}l^{\{i_1+}\delta^{j_1\}j}$	$l^j\delta^{ij}$
l^{j+}	$l^{i_1+}\delta^{j_1j}$	$l^+_{j_1}\delta^j_{i_1}$	0	$-l_0\delta^{ji}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}l^{\{i_1}\delta^{j_1\}j}$	0	$-l^{j+}\delta^{ij}$
$l^{i_2j_2}$	$-l^{j_1\{j_2}\delta^{i_2\}i_1}$	$-l_{i_1}{}^{\{i_2+}\delta^{j_2\}}_{j_1}$	0	0	$-\frac{1}{2}l^{\{i_2}\delta^{j_2\}i}$	0	$L^{i_2j_2,i_1j_1}$	$l^{i\{i_2}\delta^{j_2\}i}$
$l^{i_2j_2+}$	$l^{i_1\{i_2+}\delta^{j_2\}j_1}$	$l_{j_1}{}^{\{j_2+}\delta^{i_2\}}_{i_1}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}l^{\{i_2+}\delta^{ij_2\}}$	0	$-L^{i_1j_1,i_2j_2}$	0	$-l^{i\{i_2+}\delta^{j_2\}i}$
g_0^j	$-F^{i_1j_1,j}$	$F_{i_1j_1}{}^{j+}$	0	$-l^i\delta^{ij}$	$l^{i+}\delta^{ij}$	$-l^{j\{i_1}\delta^{j_1\}j}$	$l^{j\{i_1+}\delta^{j_1\}j}$	0

Table 1: HS symmetry algebra $\mathcal{A}(Y(k),\mathbb{R}^{1,d-1})$.

First note that, in the table 1, the operators $t^{i_2j_2}, t^+_{i_2j_2}$ satisfy by the definition the properties

$$(t^{i_2j_2}, t^+_{i_2j_2}) \equiv (t^{i_2j_2}, t^+_{i_2j_2})\theta^{j_2i_2},\ \ \theta^{j_2i_2} = \left\{\begin{array}{ll} 1, & j_2 > i_2 \\ 0, & j_2 \leq i_2 \end{array}\right. \tag{2.16}$$

with Heaviside θ-symbol[6] θ^{ji}. Second, the products $B^{i_2j_2}_{i_1j_1}, A^{i_2j_2,i_1j_1}, F^{i_1j_1,i}, L^{i_2j_2,i_1j_1}$ are determined by the explicit relations,

$$B^{i_2j_2}{}_{i_1j_1} = (g_0^{i_2} - g_0^{j_2})\delta^{i_2}_{i_1}\delta^{j_2}_{j_1} + (t_{j_1}{}^{j_2}\theta^{j_2}{}_{j_1} + t^{j_2+}_{j_1}\theta_{j_1}{}^{j_2})\delta^{i_2}_{i_1} - (t^{+i_2}_{i_1}\theta^{i_2}{}_{i_1} + t^{i_2}{}_{i_1}\theta_{i_1}{}^{i_2})\delta^{j_2}_{j_1}\,, \tag{2.17}$$

$$A^{i_2j_2,i_1j_1} = t^{i_1j_2}\delta^{i_2j_1} - t^{i_2j_1}\delta^{i_1j_2}, \tag{2.18}$$

$$F^{i_2j_2,i} = t^{i_2j_2}(\delta^{j_2i} - \delta^{i_2i}), \tag{2.19}$$

$$\begin{aligned} L^{i_2j_2,i_1j_1} = {} & \tfrac{1}{4}\Big\{\delta^{i_2i_1}\delta^{j_2j_1}\Big[2g_0^{i_2}\delta^{i_2j_2} + g_0^{i_2} + g_0^{j_2}\Big] - \delta^{j_2\{i_1}\Big[t^{j_1\}i_2}\theta^{i_2j_1\}} + t^{i_2j_1\}+}\theta^{j_1\}i_2}\Big] \\ & -\delta^{i_2\{i_1}\Big[t^{j_1\}j_2}\theta^{j_2j_1\}} + t^{j_2j_1\}+}\theta^{j_1\}j_2}\Big]\Big\}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

They obeys the obvious additional properties of antisymmetry and Hermitian conjugation,

$$A^{i_2j_2,i_1j_1} = -A^{i_1j_1,i_2j_2} \qquad A^+_{i_1j_1,i_2j_2} = (A_{i_1j_1,i_2j_2})^+ = t^+_{i_2j_1}\delta_{j_2i_1} - t^+_{i_1j_2}\delta_{i_2j_1}, \tag{2.21}$$

$$(L^{i_2j_2,i_1j_1})^+ = L^{i_1j_1,i_2j_2} \qquad F^{i_2j_2,i+} = (F^{i_2j_2,i})^+ = t^{i_2j_2+}(\delta^{j_2i} - \delta^{i_2i}) \tag{2.22}$$

$$B^{i_2j_2}{}_{i_1j_1}{}^+ = (g_0^{i_2} - g_0^{j_2})\delta^{i_2}_{i_1}\delta^{j_2}_{j_1} + (t^{+j_2}_{j_1}\theta^{j_2}{}_{j_1} + t^{j_2}{}_{j_1}\theta_{j_1}{}^{j_2})\delta^{i_2}_{i_1} - (t_{i_1}{}^{i_2}\theta^{i_2}{}_{i_1} + t^{i_2+}{}_{i_1}\theta_{i_1}{}^{i_2})\delta^{j_2}_{j_1}. \tag{2.23}$$

We call the algebra of these operators the *integer higher-spin symmetry algebra in Minkowski space with a Young tableaux having k rows*[7] and denote it as $\mathcal{A}(Y(k),\mathbb{R}^{1,d-1})$.

From the table 1 it is obvious that D'alambertian l_0 being by the Casimir element of the Poincare algebra $iso(1,d-1)$ belongs to the center of algebra $\mathcal{A}(Y(k),\mathbb{R}^{1,d-1})$ as well.

Now, we are in position to describe shortly the structure of the Lorentz-module $P^d_k(a^+)$ of all finite string-like vectors of the form given by the Eq. (2.7) (see footnote 4) on a base of Howe duality [54]. The Howe dual algebra to $so(1,d-1)$ is $sp(2k)$ if $k = \left[\frac{d}{2}\right]$ with the following basis elements [54] for arbitrary $i,j = 1,...,k$,

$$\hat{l}_{ij} = a^+_{i\mu}a^{\mu+}_j, \qquad \hat{t}_i{}^j = \frac{1}{2}\{a^+_{i\mu},\ a^{j\mu}\}, \qquad \hat{l}^{ij} = a^i_\mu a^{j\mu}, \tag{2.24}$$

---

[6] there are no summation with respect to the indices i_2, j_2 in the Eqs.(2.16), the figure brackets for the indices i_1, i_2 in the quantity $A^{\{i_1}B^{i_2\}i_3}\theta^{i_3i_2\}}$ mean the symmetrization $A^{\{i_1}B^{i_2\}i_3}\theta^{i_3i_2\}} = A^{i_1}B^{i_2i_3}\theta^{i_3i_2} + A^{i_2}B^{i_1i_3}\theta^{i_3i_1}$ as well as these indices are raising and lowering by means of Euclidian metric tensors δ^{ij}, δ_{ij}, δ^i_j

[7] one should not identify the term "*higher-spin symmetry algebra*" using here for free HS formulation starting from our paper [36] with the algebraic structure known as "*higher-spin algebra*" (see, for instance Ref.[6]) arising to describe the HS interactions

which is distinguished from the elements of $\mathcal{A}(Y(k),\mathbb{R}^{1,d-1})$ by the sign "hat". Their non-vanishing commutator's relations have the form

$$\begin{aligned}
&[\hat{t}_{i_1}{}^{j_1},\ \hat{t}_{i_2}{}^{j_2}] \ = \ \hat{t}_{i_1}{}^{j_2}\delta^{j_1}_{i_2} - \hat{t}_{i_2}{}^{j_1}\delta^{j_2}_{i_1}, && [\hat{l}^{i_2j_2},\ \hat{l}_{i_1j_1}] \ = \ \delta^{\{i_2}_{\{i_1}\hat{t}_{j_1\}}{}^{j_2\}},\\
&[\hat{t}_{i_1}{}^{j_1},\ \hat{l}_{i_2j_2}] = \hat{l}_{i_1\{j_2}\delta^{j_1}_{i_2\}}, && [\hat{t}_{i_1}{}^{j_1},\ \hat{l}^{i_2j_2}] \ = \ -\hat{l}^{j_1\{j_2}\delta^{i_2\}}_{i_1}.
\end{aligned} \qquad (2.25)$$

It is easy to see that the elements $l^{ij}, l^{ij+}, t^{i_1j_1}, t^{+}_{i_1j_1}, g^i_0$ from HS symmetry algebra $\mathcal{A}(Y(k),\mathbb{R}^{1,d-1})$ are derived from the basis elements of $sp(2k)$ by the rules,

$$l^+_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}\hat{l}_{ij}, \quad l^{ij} = \frac{1}{2}\hat{l}^{ij}, \qquad t_i{}^j = \hat{t}_i{}^j\theta^{ji}, \qquad t^j{}_i{}^+ = \hat{t}_i{}^j\theta^{ij}, \quad g^i_0 = -\hat{t}_i{}^i. \qquad (2.26)$$

The rest elements $\{l^i, l^{i+}, l_0\}$ of the algebra $\mathcal{A}(Y(k),\mathbb{R}^{1,d-1})$ forms the subalgebra which describes the isometries of Minkowski space $R^{1,d-1}$. It may be realized as direct sum of k-dimensional commutative algebra $T^k = \{l_i\}$ and its dual $T^{k*} = \{l^{i+}\}$,

$$\{l^i, l^{i+}, l_0\} = (T^k \oplus T^{k*} \oplus [T^k, T^{k*}]), \quad [T^k, T^{k*}] \sim l_0, \qquad (2.27)$$

so that integer HS symmetry algebra $\mathcal{A}(Y(k),\mathbb{R}^{1,d-1})$ represents the semidirect sum of the symplectic algebra $sp(2k)$ [as an algebra of internal derivations of $(T^k \oplus T^{k*})$] with $(T^k \oplus T^{k*} \oplus [T^k, T^{k*}])$[8],

$$\mathcal{A}(Y(k),\mathbb{R}^{1,d-1}) = \left(T^k \oplus T^{k*} \oplus [T^k, T^{k*}]\right) \mathbin{\supset\!\!\!\!+} sp(2k). \qquad (2.28)$$

Note, the elements g^i_0, form a basis in the Cartan subalgebra whereas $l^{ij}, t_i{}^j$ are the basis of low-triangular subalgebra in $sp(2k)$.

Having the identification (2.28) we may conclude, the integer spin finite-dimensional irreducible representations of the Lorentz algebra $so(1,d-1)$ subject to Young tableaux $YT(k)$ realized on the tensor fields (2.1) are equivalently extracted by the annihilation of all elements from $so(1,d-1)$-module $P^d_k(a^+)$ by the low-triangular subalgebra of $sp(2k)$ along with the weight conditions given by the Eqs. (2.10) with respect to its Cartan subalgebra which look as follows,

$$l^{ij}|\Phi\rangle = 0, \qquad t_i{}^j|\Phi\rangle = 0, \qquad \hat{t}_i{}^i|\Phi\rangle \equiv -g^i_0|\Phi\rangle = -\left(s_i + \tfrac{d}{2}\right)|\Phi\rangle. \qquad (2.29)$$

The integer spin finite-dimensional irreducible representations of the Poincare algebra $iso(1,d-1)$ are easily obtain from ones for Lorentz algebra by adding the conditions from T^k Abelian subalgebra and one for D'Alambertian,

$$l_i|\Phi\rangle = 0, \qquad l_0|\Phi\rangle = 0. \qquad (2.30)$$

lifting the set $P^d_k(a^+)$ to Poincare-module (another realization for Poincare module from Lorentz module see in ref.[5]).

Having constructed the HS symmetry algebra, we can not still construct BRST operator Q with respect to the elements o_I from $\mathcal{A}(Y(k),\mathbb{R}^{1,d-1})$ because of a presence of the non-degenerate in the Fock space $\mathcal{H}$ operators g^i_0 determining following to the Eqs. (2.13) the system of o_I as one with second-class constraints system. Due to general property [30] of BFV- method a such BRST operator Q would not reproduce the right set of initial constraints (2.8), (2.9) in the zero ghost Q-cohomology subspace of total Hilbert space, $\mathcal{H}_{tot}$ ($\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{H}_{tot}$). To resolve the problem, we consider the procedure of conversion the set of o_I into one of O_I which would be by first-class constraints only on the subspaces with except for extended number particles operators G^I_0.

---

[8] The construction of algebra $\mathcal{A}(Y(k),\mathbb{R}^{1,d-1})$ in the Eq. (2.28) is similar to the realization of the Poincare algebra $iso(1,d-1)$ by means of Lorentz algebra and Abelian subalgebra $T(1,d-1)$ of space-time translations which looks as follows, $iso(1,d-1) = T(1,d-1) \mathbin{\supset\!\!\!\!+} so(1,d-1)$.

3 Deformed HS symmetry algebra for YT with k rows

In this section, to solve the problem of conversion a set of o_I operators we describe the method of auxiliary representation construction for the symplectic algebra $sp(2k)$ with second-class constraints alone, in terms of new creation and annihilation operators from auxiliary Fock space over appropriate Heisenberg–Weyl algebra and extend the latter to the case of massive integer HS fields subject to the same Young tableaux $Y(s_1, ..., s_k)$.

3.1 Note on an additive conversion for $sp(2k)$ algebra

We consider a standard variant of additive conversion procedure developed within BRST approach, see for instance, [32], [39], [52] which implies the enlarging of o_I to $O_I = o_I + o'_I$, where additional parts o'_I are given on a new Fock space $\mathcal{H}'$ being independent on $\mathcal{H}'$ in a sense that $\mathcal{H}' \bigcap \mathcal{H} = \emptyset$. In this case the elements O_I are given on tensor product $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}'$ so that the requirement for O_I to be in involution, i.e. $[O_I,\ O_J] \sim O_K$, leads to the series of algebraic relations,

$$[o'_I,\ o'_J] = f^K_{IJ} o'_K, \qquad\qquad [O_I,\ O_J] = f^K_{IJ} O_K \tag{3.1}$$

with the same structure constants f^K_{IJ} as ones for the algebra determined by the Eqs.(2.15) for the original set of o_I.

Because of only $sp(2k)$ generators are the second-class constraints in $\mathcal{A}(Y(k), \mathbb{R}^{1,d-1})$ to be converted then instead of all o'_I in (3.1) it should be used only part of them, namely $\{o'_a, o'^+_a\}$. Therefore, one should to get new operator realization of $sp(2k)$ algebra o'_I. We will solve this problem by means of special procedure known in the mathematical literature as Verma module construction [53] for the latter algebra which results explicitly derived in the appendix A.

3.2 Oscillator realization of the additional parts to constraints

Because of the algebra of the additional parts o'_a, o'^+_a is the same as one for original elements, i.e. given by the part of the table 1 which corresponds to the symplectic algebra $sp(2k)$. One should be mentioned that in the case of the algebra of mixed-symmetry HS fields $\mathcal{A}(Y(2), \mathbb{R}^{1,d-1})$ the auxiliary representation of its converted subalgebra $sp(4)$ (isomorphic to $so(3,2)$ algebra used in [32]) of second-class constraints was considered in [32]. In the more general case of HS fields subject to Young tableaux with $k \geq 2$ rows we also use the prescription of the work [55] to transform special representation for $sp(2k)$ algebra (Verma module) in details derived in the Appendix A to the oscillator form to be suitable then for a BRST operator construction. As a result, we obtain (after some calculations presented in the most part in the Appendix B), first for the number particles operators and for ones t'^+_{lm}, l'^+_{ij},

$$g'^i_0 \;=\; \sum_{l\leq m} b^+_{lm} b_{lm} (\delta^{il} + \delta^{im}) + \sum_{r<s} d^+_{rs} d_{rs} (\delta^{is} - \delta^{ir}) + h^i\,, \tag{3.2}$$

$$t'^+_{lm} \;=\; d^+_{lm} - \sum_{n=1}^{l-1} d_{nl} d^+_{nm} - \sum_{n=1}^{k} (1 + \delta_{nl}) b^+_{nm} b_{ln}\,, \tag{3.3}$$

$$l'^+_{ij} \;=\; b^+_{ij}\,. \tag{3.4}$$

In turn, for the elements l'_{lm}, separately for $l = m$ and for $l < m$ which correspond to the primary constraints we get

$$l'_{ll} \;=\; \frac{1}{4} \sum_{n=1, n\neq l}^{k} b^+_{nn} b^2_{ln} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{l-1} \Big[\sum_{n'=1}^{n-1} d^+_{n'n} d_{n'l} + \sum_{n'=n+1}^{k} (1 + \delta_{n'l}) b^+_{nn'} b_{n'l} \tag{3.5}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&- \sum_{p=0}^{l-n-1} \sum_{k_1=n+1}^{l-1} \cdots \sum_{k_p=n+p}^{l-1} C^{k_p l}(d^+, d) \prod_{j=1}^{p} d_{k_{j-1}k_j} \Big] b_{nl} \\
&+ \Bigg(\sum_{n=l}^{k} b^+_{nl} b_{nl} - \sum_{s>l} d^+_{ls} d_{ls} + \sum_{r<l} d^+_{rl} d_{rl} + h^l \Bigg) b_{ll} \\
&- \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=l+1}^{k} \Big[d^+_{ln} - \sum_{n'=1}^{l-1} d^+_{n'n} d_{n'l} - \sum_{n'=n+1}^{k} (1+\delta_{n'l}) b^+_{n'n} b_{n'l} \Big] b_{ln},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
l'_{lm} \;=\; & -\frac{1}{4} \textstyle\sum\limits_{n=1}^{m-1} (1+\delta_{nl}) \Big[- \textstyle\sum\limits_{n'=1}^{n-1} d^+_{n'n} d_{n'm} - \sum_{n'=n}^{k} (1+\delta_{n'm}) b^+_{n'n} b_{n'm} \qquad (3.6) \\
& + \sum_{p=0}^{m-n-1} \sum_{k_1=n+1}^{m-1} \cdots \sum_{k_p=n+p}^{m-1} C^{k_p m}(d^+, d) \prod_{j=1}^{p} d_{k_{j-1}k_j} \Big] b_{nl} \\
& - \frac{1}{4} \textstyle\sum\limits_{n=m+1}^{k} \Big[d^+_{mn} - \textstyle\sum\limits_{n'=1}^{m-1} d^+_{n'n} d_{n'm} - \textstyle\sum\limits_{n'=l+1}^{k} (1+\delta_{n'm}) b^+_{n'n} b_{mn'} \Big] b_{ln} \\
& + \frac{1}{4} \Big(\sum_{n=m}^{k} b^+_{ln} b_{ln} + \sum_{n=l+1}^{k} (1+\delta_{nm}) b^+_{nm} b_{nm} - \sum_{s>l} d^+_{ls} d_{ls} - \sum_{s>m} d^+_{ms} d_{ms} \\
& + \sum_{r<l} d^+_{rl} d_{rl} + \sum_{r<m} d^+_{rm} d_{rm} + h^l + h^m \Big) b_{lm} \\
& - \frac{1}{4} \textstyle\sum\limits_{n=1}^{l-1} \Big[- \textstyle\sum\limits_{n'=1}^{n-1} d^+_{n'n} d_{n'l} + \textstyle\sum\limits_{p=0}^{l-n-1} \textstyle\sum\limits_{k_1=n+1}^{l-1} \cdots \textstyle\sum\limits_{k_p=n+p}^{l-1} C^{k_p l}(d^+, d) \textstyle\prod\limits_{j=1}^{p} d_{k_{j-1}k_j} \\
& - \sum_{n'=n+1}^{k} (1+\delta_{n'l}) b^+_{n'n} b_{n'l} \Big] b_{nm} - \frac{1}{4} \textstyle\sum\limits_{n=l+1}^{k} (1+\delta_{nm}) \Big[d^+_{ln} - \textstyle\sum\limits_{n'=1}^{l-1} d^+_{n'n} d_{n'l} \Big] b_{mn}, \quad l < m.
\end{aligned}
$$

At last, for the "mixed-symmetry" operators t'_{lm}, we have,

$$
\begin{aligned}
t'_{lm} \;=\; & - \sum_{n=1}^{l-1} d^+_{nl} d_{nm} + \sum_{p=0}^{m-l-1} \sum_{k_1=l+1}^{m-1} \cdots \sum_{k_p=l+p}^{m-1} C^{k_p m}(d^+, d) \prod_{j=1}^{p} d_{k_{j-1}k_j} \qquad (3.7) \\
& - \sum_{n=1}^{k} (1+\delta_{nm}) b^+_{nl} b_{nm}\,, \qquad k_0 \equiv l.
\end{aligned}
$$

In the Eqs. (3.5)–(3.7) the operators $C^{lm}(d, d^+)$ is determined, for $l < m$, by the rule,

$$
\begin{aligned}
C^{lm}(d^+, d) \;\equiv\; & \Big(h^l - h^m - \sum_{n=m+1}^{k} \big(d^+_{ln} d_{ln} + d^+_{mn} d_{mn} \big) + \sum_{n=l+1}^{m-1} d^+_{nm} d_{nm} - d^+_{lm} d_{lm} \Big) d_{lm} \qquad (3.8) \\
& + \sum_{n=m+1}^{k} \Big\{ d^+_{mn} - \sum_{n'=1}^{m-1} d^+_{n'n} d_{n'm} \Big\} d_{ln}.
\end{aligned}
$$

In the above expressions quantities $h^i, i = 1, ..., k$ are the arbitrary dimensionless constants whose values will be determined later in the Sec. 5 from a solution of a special spectral problem. For constructing the additional parts (3.2)–(3.7) we have introduced new Fock space $\mathcal{H}'$ generated by bosonic, $b^+_{ij}, d^+_{rs}, b_{ij}, d_{rs},\ i, j, r, s = 1, \dots, k; i \leq j; r < s$, creation and annihilation operators whose number is equal to ones of the second-class constraints o'_a, o'^+_a with the standard (only nonvanishing) commutation relations

$$[b_{i_1j_1}, b^+_{i_2j_2}] = \delta_{i_1i_2}\delta_{j_1j_2}\,, \qquad [d_{r_1s_1}\,, d^+_{r_2s_2}] = \delta_{r_1r_2}\delta_{s_1s_2}\,, \tag{3.9}$$

Note that the additional parts $o'_a(B, B^+), o'^+_a(B, B^+)$ as the polynomials in the oscillator variables $(B, B^+) \equiv (b_{ij}, d_{rs}; b^+_{ij}, d^+_{rs})$ do not obey the usual properties

$$(l'_{lm})^+ \neq l'^+_{lm},\ l \leq m, \qquad (t'_{rs})^+ \neq t'^+_{rs},\ r < s. \tag{3.10}$$

if one should use the standard rules of Hermitian conjugation for the new creation and annihilation operators,

$$(b_{ij})^+ = b^+_{ij}, \qquad (d_{rs})^+ = d^+_{rs}. \tag{3.11}$$

To restore the proper Hermitian conjugation properties for the additional parts, we change the scalar product in the Fock space $\mathcal{H}'$ as follows:

$$\langle\Phi_1|\Phi_2\rangle_{\rm new} = \langle\Phi_1|K'|\Phi_2\rangle\,, \tag{3.12}$$

for any vectors $|\Phi_1\rangle, |\Phi_2\rangle$ with some, yet unknown, operator K'. This operator is determined by the condition that all the operators of the algebra must have the proper Hermitian properties with respect to the new scalar product:

$$\langle\Phi_1|K'E^{-\prime\alpha}|\Phi_2\rangle = \langle\Phi_2|K'E^{\prime\alpha}|\Phi_1\rangle^*, \qquad \langle\Phi_1|K'g'^i_0|\Phi_2\rangle = \langle\Phi_2|K'g'^i_0|\Phi_1\rangle^*, \tag{3.13}$$

for $(E'^\alpha; E^{-\prime\alpha}) = (l'_{lm}, t'_{rs}; l'^+_{lm}, t'^+_{rs})$. These relations permit one to determine the operator K', Hermitian with respect to the usual scalar product $\langle\,|\,\rangle$, as follows:

$$K' = Z^+Z, \qquad Z = \sum_{(\vec{n}_{lm},\vec{p}_{rs})=(\vec{0},\vec{0})}^{\infty} \Big|\vec{N}\rangle_V \,\frac{1}{(\vec{n}_{lm})!(\vec{p}_{rs})!}\langle 0|\prod_{r,s>r} d^{p_{rs}}_{rs}\prod_{l,m\geq l} b^{n_{lm}}_{lm}\,, \tag{3.14}$$

where $(\vec{n}_{lm})! = \prod^k_{l,m\geq l} n_{lm}!$, $(\vec{p}_{rs})! = \prod^k_{r,s>r} p_{rs}!$ and a vector $\Big|\vec{N}\rangle_V$ is determined in the Appendix B. The detailed calculation of the operator K' is described there as well.

Now, we turn to the case of the massive bosonic HS fields whose system of second-class constraints contains additionally to elements of $sp(2k)$ algebra the constraints of isometry subalgebra of Minkowski space l^i, l^+_i, l_0.

3.3 Auxiliary representations of the algebra $\mathcal{A}(Y(k), \mathbb{R}^{1,d-1})$ for massive HS fields

To construct an analogous oscillator representations for the HS symmetry algebra of massive bosonic HS fields with mass m, where the wave equation given by (2.2) should be changed on Klein-Gordon equation corresponding to the constraint l_0 ($l_0 = \partial^\mu\partial_\mu + m^2$) acting on the same string-vector $|\Phi\rangle$ (2.7)

$$(\partial^\mu\partial_\mu + m^2)\Phi_{(\mu^1)_{s_1},(\mu^2)_{s_2},\ldots,(\mu^k)_{s_k}} = 0. \tag{3.15}$$

we may to consider the procedure described above in section 3.2 and in details realized in the Appendices A, B for $sp(2k)$ algebra (see final comments in the Appendix A.1 for massive case). Instead, we have used the procedure of the dimensional reduction of the initial algebra $\mathcal{A}(Y(k), \mathbb{R}^{1,d})$ for massless HS fields in (d+1)-dimensional flat background to one with dimension d, $\mathbb{R}^{1,d-1}$.

To do so let's write down the rules of the dimensional reduction from $\mathbb{R}^{1,d}$ flat space-time to $\mathbb{R}^{1,d-1}$,

$$\partial^M = (\partial^\mu, -\imath m)\,, \qquad a_i^M = (a_i^\mu, b_i)\,, \qquad a_i^{M+} = (a_i^{\mu+}, b_i^+)\,, \tag{3.16}$$

$$M = 0,1,\ldots,d\,, \qquad \mu = 0,1,\ldots,d-1\,, \qquad \eta^{MN} = diag(1,-1,\ldots,-1,-1)\,, \tag{3.17}$$

Doing so we obtain for the set of the original elements o_I from the massless HS symmetry algebra $\mathcal{A}(Y(k),\mathbb{R}^{1,d})$ the ones $\tilde{o}_I$ in massive HS symmetry algebra $\mathcal{A}(Y(k),\mathbb{R}^{1,d-1})$ as follows,

$$\tilde{l}_0 = \partial^M\partial_M = l_0 + m^2, \qquad \tilde{g}_0^i = -a_{Mi}^+ a_i^M + \frac{d+1}{2} = g_0^i + b_i^+ b_i + \frac{1}{2}, \tag{3.18}$$

$$\tilde{l}_i = -ia_i^M\partial_M = l_i + mb_i, \qquad \tilde{l}_i^+ = -ia_i^{+M}\partial_M = l_i^+ + mb_i^+, \tag{3.19}$$

$$\tilde{l}_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}a_i^M a_{Mj} = l_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}b_i b_j, \qquad \tilde{l}_{ij}^+ = \frac{1}{2}a_i^{M+}a_{Mj}^+ = l_{ij}^+ - \frac{1}{2}b_i^+ b_j^+, \tag{3.20}$$

$$\tilde{t}_{ij} = a_i^{M+}a_{Mj}\theta^{ji} = t_{ij}^+ - b_i^+ b_j\theta^{ji}, \qquad \tilde{t}_{ij}^+ = a_i^M a_{Mj}^+\theta^{ji} = t_{ij}^+ - b_i b_j^+\theta^{ji}. \tag{3.21}$$

The generators $(\tilde{l}_0, l_i^+, l_i), l_{ij}, l_{ij}^+, t_{ij}, t_{ij}^+, g_0^i)$ satisfy the same algebraic relations as in the table 1 for massless HS symmetry algebra with except for the commutators,

$$[l_i, l_j^+] = \delta_{ij}(\tilde{l}_0 - m^2). \tag{3.22}$$

Relations (3.18), (3.22) indicate the presence of $2k$ additional second-class constraints, l_i, l_i^+, with corresponding oscillator operators b_i, b_i^+, $[b_i, b_j^+] = \delta_{ij}$, in comparison with the massless case.

It is interesting to see the elements with tilde in the Eqs.(3.18)–(3.21) satisfy the algebraic relations for massless HS symmetry algebra $\mathcal{A}(Y(k),\mathbb{R}^{1,d-1})$ now without central charge (i.e. those quantities $\tilde{o}_I$ contains the same second-class constraints as o_I in massless case). Therefore, the converted constraints O_I, $O_I = o_I + o'_I$, in massive case are given by the relations,

$$O_I = \tilde{o}_I + o'_I, \qquad M^2 = m^2 + m'^2 = 0, \tag{3.23}$$

where additional parts $o'_I = o'_I(b_{ij}, b_{ij}^+, t_{i_1j_1}, t_{i_1j_1}^+)$ are determined by the relations (3.2)–(3.7).

Thus, the auxiliary representation (Verma module) for $sp(2k)$ algebra determines with use of the dimensional reduction procedure the oscillator realization for the additional parts of massive HS symmetry algebra $\mathcal{A}'(Y(k),\mathbb{R}^{1,d-1})$ completely.

In the next section, we determine the algebra of the extended constraints and find the BRST operator corresponding to this algebra.

4 BRST-BFV operator

According to our method we should find the BRST-BFV operator. Since the algebra under consideration is a Lie algebra $\mathcal{A}(Y(k),\mathbb{R}^{1,d-1})$ this operator can be constructed in the standard way due to prescription [30][9]. First, we introduce the set of the ghost fields $C^I = (\eta_0, \eta^i, \eta_i^+, \eta^{ij}, \eta_{ij}^+, \vartheta_{rs}, \vartheta_{rs}^+, \eta_G^i)$ of the opposite Grassmann parity to the elements $O_I = (L_0, L_i^+,$ $L_i,\, L_{ij}, L_{ij}^+, T_{ij}, T_{ij}^+, G_0^i)$[10] with the properties

$$\eta^{ij} = \eta^{ji}, \eta_{ij}^+ = \eta_{ji}^+, \vartheta_{rs} = \vartheta_{rs}\theta^{sr}, \vartheta_{rs}^+ = \vartheta_{rs}^+\theta^{sr}, \tag{4.24}$$

---

[9] Application of the BRST approach to HS field theory in AdS space leads to problem of constructing a BRST-BFV operator for non-linear (super)algebras, see e.g. [56, 57].

[10] for the massless HS fields the elements L_0, L_i^+, L_i coincide with l_0, l_i^+, l_i, whereas for the massive case $L_0 = \tilde{l}_0$, $L_i^+ = \tilde{l}_i^+ + l_i'^+, L_i = \tilde{l}_i + l'_i$ account of the Eqs. (3.18), (3.19)

and their conjugated ghost momenta $\mathcal{P}_I$ with the same properties as ones for C^I in (4.24) with the only nonvanishing anticommutation relations

$$\begin{aligned}
&\{\vartheta_{rs}, \lambda^+_{tu}\} = \{\lambda_{tu}, \vartheta^+_{rs}\} = \delta_{rt}\delta_{su}, && \{\eta_i, \mathcal{P}^+_j\} = \{\mathcal{P}_j, \eta^+_i\} = \delta_{ij}\,, \\
&\{\eta_{lm}, \mathcal{P}^+_{ij}\} = \{\mathcal{P}_{ij}, \eta^+_{lm}\} = \delta_{li}\delta_{jm}\,, && \{\eta_0, \mathcal{P}_0\} = \imath,\ \{\eta^i_G, \mathcal{P}^j_G\} = \imath\delta^{ij}\,;
\end{aligned} \tag{4.25}$$

They also possess the standard ghost number distribution, $gh(\mathcal{C}^I) = -gh(\mathcal{P}_I) = 1$, providing the property $gh(Q') = 1$, and have the Hermitian conjugation properties of zero-mode pairs,[11]

$$\big(\eta_0, \eta^i_G, \mathcal{P}_0, \mathcal{P}^i_G\big)^+ \;=\; \big(\eta_0, \eta^i_G, -\mathcal{P}_0, -\mathcal{P}^i_G\big)\,. \tag{4.26}$$

The BRST operator for the algebra of O_I given by the table 1 can be found in an exact form, with the use of the $(\mathcal{C}\mathcal{P})$-ordering of the ghost coordinate $\mathcal{C}^I$ and momenta $\mathcal{P}_I$ operators, as follows:

$$Q' = O_I\mathcal{C}^I + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{C}^I\mathcal{C}^J f^K_{JI}\mathcal{P}_K \tag{4.27}$$

Finally, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
Q' \;=\; & \tfrac{1}{2}\eta_0 L_0 + \eta^+_i L^i + \sum_{l\leq m}\eta^+_{lm}L^{lm} + \sum_{l<m}\vartheta^+_{lm}T^{lm} + \tfrac{1}{2}\eta^i_G G_i + \tfrac{\imath}{2}\textstyle\sum_l \eta^+_l\eta^l\mathcal{P}_0 \\
& - \sum_{i<l<j}\vartheta^+_{lj}\vartheta^{+l}_i\lambda^{ij} + \tfrac{\imath}{2}\sum_{l<m}\vartheta^+_{lm}\vartheta^{lm}(\mathcal{P}^m_G - \mathcal{P}^l_G) - \sum_{l<n<m}\vartheta^+_{lm}\vartheta^l{}_n\lambda^{nm} \\
& + \sum_{n<l<m}\vartheta^+_{lm}\vartheta_n{}^m\lambda^{+nl} - \sum_{n,l<m}(1+\delta_{ln})\vartheta^+_{lm}\eta^{l+}{}_n\mathcal{P}^{mn} + \sum_{n,l<m}(1+\delta_{mn})\vartheta^+_{lm}\eta^m{}_n\mathcal{P}^{+ln} \\
& + \frac{\imath}{8}\sum_{l\leq m}(1+\delta_{lm})\eta^+_{lm}\eta^{lm}(\mathcal{P}^l_G + \mathcal{P}^m_G) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{l\leq m}(1+\delta_{lm})\eta^l_G\big(\eta^+_{lm}\mathcal{P}^{lm} - \eta_{lm}\mathcal{P}^{lm+}\big) \\
& + \tfrac{1}{2}\sum_{l<m,n\leq m}\eta^+_{nm}\eta^n{}_l\lambda^{lm} + \tfrac{1}{2}\sum_{l<m}(\eta^m_G - \eta^l_G)\big(\vartheta^+_{lm}\lambda^{lm} - \vartheta_{lm}\lambda^{lm+}\big) \\
& - \big[\tfrac{1}{2}\sum_{l\leq m}(1+\delta_{lm})\eta^m\eta^+_{lm} + \sum_{l<m}\vartheta_{lm}\eta^{+m} + \sum_{m<l}\vartheta^+_{ml}\eta^{+m}\big]\mathcal{P}^l \\
& + \frac{1}{2}\sum_l \eta^l_G\big(\eta^+_l\mathcal{P}^l - \eta_l\mathcal{P}^{l+}\big) + h.c.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.28}$$

The property of the BRST operator to be Hermitian is defined by the rule

$$Q'^+K = KQ'\,, \tag{4.29}$$

and is calculated with respect to the scalar product $\langle\ |\ \rangle$ in $\mathcal{H}_{tot}$ with the measure d^dx, which, in its turn, is constructed as the direct product of the scalar products in $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}'$ and $\mathcal{H}_{gh}$. The operator K in (4.29) is the tensor product of the operator K' in $\mathcal{H}'$ and the unit operators in $\mathcal{H}$, $\mathcal{H}_{gh}$

$$K \;=\; \hat{1}\otimes K'\otimes\hat{1}_{gh}\,. \tag{4.30}$$

Thus, we have constructed a Hermitian BRST operator for the entire algebra $\mathcal{A}_c(Y(k), \mathbb{R}^{1,d-1})$ of O_I. In the next section, this operator will be used to construct a Lagrangian action for bosonic HS fields of spin $(s_1, ..., s_k)$ in a flat space.

---

[11] By means of the redefinition $\big(\mathcal{P}_0, \mathcal{P}^i_G\big) \mapsto \imath\big(\mathcal{P}_0, \mathcal{P}^i_G\big)$, the BRST operator (4.28) and relations (4.25) are written in the notation of [37], [38].

5 Construction of Lagrangian Actions

The construction of Lagrangians for bosonic higher-spin fields in a d-dimensional Minkowski space can be developed by partially following the algorithm of [32], [39], which is a particular case of our construction, corresponding to $s_3 = 0$. As a first step, we extract the dependence of the BRST operator Q' (4.28) on the ghosts $\eta^i_G, \mathcal{P}^i_G$, so as to obtain the BRST operator Q only for the system of converted first-class constraints $\{O_I\} \setminus \{G^i_0\}$:

Due to special character of the number particles operators G^i_0 let's extract the terms proportional to the ghost variables $\eta^i_G, \mathcal{P}^i_G$ from BRST operator Q' (4.28),

$$Q' \;=\; Q + \eta^i_G(\sigma^i + h^i) + \mathcal{A}^i\mathcal{P}^i_G \tag{5.1}$$

where the operator Q corresponding only to the converted first-class constraints determines as

$$\begin{aligned} Q \;=\; & \tfrac{1}{2}\eta_0 L_0 + \eta_i^+ L^i + \textstyle\sum\limits_{l\leq m} \eta^+_{lm} L^{lm} + \textstyle\sum\limits_{l<m} \vartheta^+_{lm} T^{lm} + \tfrac{\imath}{2}\textstyle\sum_l \eta^+_l \eta^l \mathcal{P}_0 \\ & - \sum_{i<l<j} \vartheta^+_{lj}\vartheta^{+l}_i \lambda^{ij} - \sum_{l<n<m} \vartheta^+_{lm}\vartheta^l{}_n \lambda^{nm} + \sum_{n<l<m} \vartheta^+_{lm}\vartheta_n{}^m \lambda^{+nl} \\ & - \sum_{n,l<m} (1+\delta_{ln})\vartheta^+_{lm}\eta^{l+}{}_n \mathcal{P}^{mn} + \sum_{n,l<m} (1+\delta_{mn})\vartheta^+_{lm}\eta^m{}_n \mathcal{P}^{+ln} + \tfrac{1}{2}\textstyle\sum\limits_{l<m,n\leq m} \eta^+_{nm}\eta^n{}_l \lambda^{lm} \\ & - \big[\tfrac{1}{2}\textstyle\sum\limits_{l\leq m} (1+\delta_{lm})\eta^m \eta^+_{lm} + \textstyle\sum\limits_{l<m} \vartheta_{lm}\eta^{+m} + \textstyle\sum\limits_{m<l} \vartheta^+_{ml}\eta^{+m}\big]\mathcal{P}^l + h.c. \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

The generalized spin operator $\vec{\sigma} = (\sigma^1, \sigma^2, ..., \sigma^k)$, extended by the ghost Wick-pair variables, has the form

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^i \;=\; & G^i_0 - h^i - \eta_i \mathcal{P}^+_i + \eta^+_i \mathcal{P}_i + \sum_m (1+\delta_{im})(\eta^+_{im}\mathcal{P}^{im} - \eta_{im}\mathcal{P}^+_{im}) \\ & + \sum_{l<i} [\vartheta^+_{li}\lambda^{li} - \vartheta^{li}\lambda^+_{li}] - \sum_{i<l} [\vartheta^+_{il}\lambda^{il} - \vartheta^{il}\lambda^+_{il}]\,, \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

commutes with operator Q

$$[Q, \sigma^i] \;=\; 0\,, \tag{5.4}$$

whereas the operatorial quantities $\mathcal{A}^i$ are uniquely defined from (4.28) as follows

$$\mathcal{A}^i = -\imath \sum_{l<m} \vartheta^+_{lm}\vartheta^{lm}(\delta^{mi} - \delta^{li}) + \frac{\imath}{4}\sum_{l\leq m} (1+\delta_{lm})\eta^+_{lm}\eta^{lm}(\delta^{il} + \delta^{mi}). \tag{5.5}$$

We choose a representation of the Hilbert space given by the relations

$$(\eta_i, \eta_{ij}, \vartheta_{rs}, \mathcal{P}_0, \mathcal{P}_i, \mathcal{P}_{ij}, \lambda_{rs}, \mathcal{P}^i_G)|0\rangle = 0, \qquad |0\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_{tot}, \tag{5.6}$$

and suppose that the field vectors $|\chi\rangle$ as well as the gauge parameters $|\Lambda\rangle$ do not depend on ghosts η^i_G for number particle operators G^i_0

$$\begin{aligned} |\chi\rangle \;=\; & \sum_n \prod_l^k (b^+_l)^{n_l} \prod_{i\leq j, r<s}^k (b^+_{ij})^{n_{ij}} (d^+_{rs})^{p_{rs}} (\eta^+_0)^{n_{f0}} \\ & \times \prod_{i,j,l\leq m,n\leq o} (\eta^+_i)^{n_{fi}} (\mathcal{P}^+_j)^{n_{pj}} (\eta^+_{lm})^{n_{flm}} (\mathcal{P}^+_{no})^{n_{pno}} \prod_{r<s,t<u} (\vartheta^+_{rs})^{n_{frs}} (\lambda^+_{tu})^{n_{\lambda tu}} \\ & \times |\Phi(a^+_i)^{n_{f0}(n)_{fi}(n)_{pj}(n)_{flm}(n)_{pno}(n)_{frs}(n)_{\lambda tu}}_{(n)_l(n)_{ij}(p)_{rs}}\rangle\,. \end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

The brackets $(n)_{fi}, (n)_{pj}, (n)_{ij}$ in definition of (5.7) means, for instance, for $(n)_{ij}$ the set of indices $(n_{11}, ..., n_{1k}, ..., n_{k1}, ..., n_{kk})$. The sum above is taken over n_l, n_{ij}, p_{rs} and running from 0 to infinity, and over the rest n's from 0 to 1[12]. Let us denote by $|\chi^k\rangle$ the state (5.7) with the ghost number $-k$, i.e. $gh(|\chi^k\rangle) = -k$. Thus, the physical state having the ghost number zero is $|\chi^0\rangle$, the gauge parameters $|\Lambda\rangle$ having the ghost number -1 is $|\chi^1\rangle$ and so on. Moreover for vanishing of all auxiliary creation operators b^+, d^+ and ghost variables $\eta_0, \eta_i^+, \mathcal{P}_i^+, ...$ the vector $|\chi^0\rangle$ must contain only physical string-like vector $|\Phi\rangle = |\Phi(a_i^+)^{(0)_{fo}(0)_{fi}(0)_{pj}(0)_{flm}(0)_{pno}(0)_{frs}(0)_{\lambda tu}}_{(0)_l(0)_{ij}(0)_{rs}}\rangle$, so that

$$|\chi^0\rangle \;=\; |\Phi\rangle + |\Phi_A\rangle, \tag{5.8}$$

where the vector $|\Phi_A\rangle$ includes only the auxiliary fields as its components. One can show, using the part of equations of motion and gauge transformations, that the vector $|\Phi_A\rangle$ can be completely removed. The details are given in Appendix C.

Since the vectors (5.7) do not depend on η_G^i the equation for the physical state $Q'|\chi^0\rangle = 0$ and the tower of the reducible gauge transformations, $\delta|\chi\rangle = Q'|\chi^1\rangle$, $\delta|\chi^1\rangle = Q'|\chi^2\rangle$, …, $\delta|\chi^{(s-1)}\rangle = Q'|\chi^{(s)}\rangle$, lead to a sequence of relations:

$$Q|\chi\rangle = 0, \qquad (\sigma^i + h^i)|\chi\rangle = 0, \qquad (\varepsilon, gh)\,(|\chi\rangle) = (0, 0), \tag{5.9}$$
$$\delta|\chi\rangle = Q|\chi^1\rangle, \qquad (\sigma^i + h^i)|\chi^1\rangle = 0, \qquad (\varepsilon, gh)\,(|\chi^1\rangle) = (1, -1), \tag{5.10}$$
$$\delta|\chi^1\rangle = Q|\chi^2\rangle, \qquad (\sigma^i + h^i)|\chi^2\rangle = 0, \qquad (\varepsilon, gh)\,(|\chi^2\rangle) = (0, -2), \tag{5.11}$$
$$\ldots \qquad\qquad \ldots \qquad\qquad \ldots$$
$$\delta|\chi^{s-1}\rangle = Q|\chi^s\rangle, \qquad (\sigma^i + h^i)|\chi^s\rangle = 0, \qquad (\varepsilon, gh)\,(|\chi^s\rangle) = (s\,mod\,2, -s). \tag{5.12}$$

Where $s = k(k+1) - 1$ is the stage of reducibility both for massless and for the massive bosonic HS field, because of the only non-vanishing vector (independent gauge parameter $|\chi^{k(k+1)}\rangle$) has lowest negative ghost number when all the anticommuting ghost momenta $\mathcal{P}_I$ compose $|\chi^{k(k+1)}\rangle$ without presence of the ghost coordinates $\mathcal{C}^I$ in it. The middle set of equations in (5.9)–(5.12) determines the possible values of the parameters h^i and the eigenvectors of the operators σ^i. Solving these equations, we obtain a set of eigenvectors, $|\chi^0\rangle_{(n)_k}$, $|\chi^1\rangle_{(n)_k}$, …, $|\chi^s\rangle_{(n)_k}$, $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \ldots n_k \geq 0$, and a set of eigenvalues,

$$-\,h^i \;=\; n^i + \frac{d - 2 - 4i}{2}\,, \quad i = 1, .., k\,, \quad n_1, ..., n_{k-1} \in \mathbb{Z}, n_k \in \mathbb{N}_0\,, \tag{5.13}$$

for massless and

$$-\,h^i_m \;=\; n^i + \frac{d - 1 - 4i}{2}\,, \quad i = 1, .., k\,, \quad n_1, ..., n_{k-1} \in \mathbb{Z}, n_k \in \mathbb{N}_0\,, \tag{5.14}$$

for massive HS fields. The values of n_i are related to the spin components s_i of the field, because of the proper vector $|\chi\rangle_{(s_1,...,s_k)}$ corresponding to $(h_1, ..., h_k)$ has the leading term

$$|\Phi(a_i^+)^{0_{fo}(0)_{fi}(0)_{pj}(0)_{flm}(0)_{pno}(0)_{frs}(0)_{\lambda tu}}_{(0)_l(0)_{ij}(0)_{rs}}\rangle,$$

independent of the auxiliary and ghost operators, which corresponds to the field $\Phi_{(\mu^1)_{s_1},...,(\mu^k)_{s_k}}(x)$ with the initial value of spin $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, ..., s_k)$ in the decomposition (5.7) and representation (5.8).

---

[12] for the massless basic HS field $\Phi_{(\mu^1)_{s_1}\cdots(\mu^k)_{s_k}}$ there are no operators b_l^+ in the decomposition (5.7), i.e. indices $(n)_l = (0)_l$.

Let us denote the eigenvectors of σ_i corresponding to the eigenvalues $(n^i + \frac{d-2-4i}{2})$ as $|\chi\rangle_{(n)_k}$. Thus we may write

$$\sigma_i|\chi\rangle_{(n)_k} = \left(n^i + \frac{d-2-4i}{2}\right)|\chi\rangle_{(n)_k}\,. \tag{5.15}$$

for massless HS fields and

$$\sigma_i|\chi\rangle_{(n)_k} = \left(n^i + \frac{d-1-4i}{2}\right)|\chi\rangle_{(n)_k}\,. \tag{5.16}$$

for massive ones. Then one can show that in order to construct Lagrangian for the field corresponding to a definite Young tableau (2.1) the numbers n_i must be equal to the numbers of the boxes in the i-th row of the corresponding Young tableau, i.e. $n_i = s_i$. Thus the state $|\chi\rangle_{(s)_k}$ contains the physical field (2.7) and all its auxiliary fields. Let us fix some values of $n_i = s_i$. Then one should substitute h_i corresponding to the chosen s_i (5.13) or (5.14) into (4.28), (5.9)–(5.12). Thus, the equation of motion (5.9) corresponding to the field with given spin $(s_1, ..., s_k)$ has the form

$$Q_{(n)_k}|\chi^0\rangle_{(n)_k} = 0. \tag{5.17}$$

Since the BRST-BFV operator Q' is nilpotent (4.28) at any values of h_i we have a sequence of reducible gauge transformations

$$\delta|\chi^0\rangle_{(n)_k} = Q_{(n)_k}|\chi^1\rangle_{(n)_k}\,, \qquad \delta|\chi^1\rangle_{(n)_k} = Q_{(n)_k}|\chi^2\rangle_{(n)_k}\,, \tag{5.18}$$

$$\ldots \qquad \ldots \qquad \ldots$$

$$\delta|\chi^{k(k+1)-1}\rangle_{(n)_k} = Q_{(n)_k}|\chi^{k(k+1)}\rangle_{(n)_k}\,, \qquad \delta|\chi^{k(k+1)}\rangle_{(n)_k} = 0\,. \tag{5.19}$$

One can show that $Q_{(n)_k}$ is nilpotent when acting on $|\chi\rangle_{(n)_k}$

$$Q^2_{(n)_k}|\chi\rangle_{(n)_k} \equiv 0. \tag{5.20}$$

Thus we have obtained equation of motion (5.17) of arbitrary integer spin gauge theory subject to $YT(s_1, ..., s_k)$ with mixed symmetry in any space-time dimension and its tower of reducible gauge transformations (5.18)–(5.19).

We next find a corresponding Lagrangian. Analogously to the bosonic one and two row cases [36], [32], [39] one can show that Lagrangian action for fixed spin $(n)_k = (s)_k$ is defined up to an overall factor as follows

$$\mathcal{S}_{(s)_k} = \int d\eta_0\ {}_{(s)_k}\langle\chi^0|K_{(s)_k}Q_{(s)_k}|\chi^0\rangle_{(s)_k} \tag{5.21}$$

where the standard scalar product for the creation and annihilation operators is assumed with measure d^dx over Minkowski space. The vector $|\chi^0\rangle_{(s)_k}$ and the operator $K_{(s)_k}$ in (5.21) are respectively the vector $|\chi\rangle$ (5.7) subject to spin distribution relations (5.15) for massless or (5.16) for massive HS tensor field $\Phi_{(\mu^1)_{s_1},...,(\mu^k)_{s_k}}(x)$ with vanishing value of ghost number and operator K (4.30) where the following substitution is done $h_i \to -(n_i + \frac{d-2-4i+\theta(m)}{2})$, with θ-function $\theta(x)$, to be equal 1 for $x > 0$ and vanishing in other case. The former choice corresponds to a theory of massive HS bosonic field whereas the latter choice (for $\theta(m) = 0$) to a theory of massless HS bosonic field.

One can prove that the Lagrangian action (5.21) indeed reproduces the basic conditions (2.2)–(2.5) for massless and (3.15), (2.3)–(2.5) for massive HS fields. Such a proof is a test of correctness of the approach under consideration. The details of the proof are given in Appendix C. Relations (5.18), (5.19) and (5.21) are our final results. These results provide a complete solution of general problem of Lagrangian construction for arbitrary massless and massive higher spin bosonic fields with indices corresponding to arbitrary Young tableaux. General action (5.21) gives, in principle, a straight recept to obtain the Lagrangian for any component field from general vector $|\chi^0\rangle_{(s)_k}$ since the only what we should do, if to use quantum mechanical terminology, is computations of vacuum expectation values of products of some number of creation and annihilation operators.

Indeed, the general expressions (5.18), (5.19), (5.21) for Lagrangian formulation can be presented in the component form without presence of operatorial variables for any concrete initial tensor field $\Phi_{(\mu^1)_{s_1},\ldots,(\mu^k)_{s_k}}(x)$, analogously to the particular examples considered for totally-symmetric (for $k=1$) massless [52] and massive [36], for mixed-symmetry (for $k=2$) massless [32] and massive [39] bosonic HS fields on an arbitrary flat background. To obtain the result, we have to derive component Lagrangian formulation for a given HS tensor field with $k>2$ rows in the corresponding Young tableaux in terms of only initial and auxiliary tensor fields having a decomposition (5.7) by means of a standard calculation of the scalar products with accurate treatment of the action of all ghost, creation (initial $a^{i+}_{\mu_i}$, auxiliary $b^+_i, b^+_{ij}, d^+_{rs}$) and annihilation ($a^i_{\mu_i}$, b_i, b_{ij}, d_{rs}) operators composing the vectors $|\chi^0\rangle_{(s)_k}$, …, $|\chi^{k(k+1)}\rangle_{(s)_k}$ and operators $K_{(s)_k}$, $Q_{(s)_k}$ in the Eqs.(5.18), (5.19), (5.21). This analysis shows that there exists a possibility to obtain the component Lagrangians from the general action (5.21). However, it is worth emphasizing that such component Lagrangians will look complicate enough and cumbersome and we do not see necessity to write down it here since all general property can be studied and understood on the base of action (5.21).

Construction of the Lagrangians describing propagation of all massless or of all massive bosonic fields in flat space simultaneously is analogous to that for the totally-symmetric case [36] and we do not consider it here.

In what follows, we consider some examples of the Lagrangian formulation procedure.

6 Examples

Here, we shall realize the general prescriptions of our Lagrangian formulation in the case of mixed-symmetry bosonic fields of lowest value of rows and spins.

6.1 Spin-(s_1, s_2) mixed-symmetric field

Let us consider the mixed-symmetric field with two families of indices corresponding to spin-(s_1, s_2). In this case we expect that our result will be reduced to that considered for massless case in [32] and for massive in [39], where respectively the mixed-symmetric massless and massive bosonic fields subject to $Y(s_1, s_2)$ were considered. According to our procedure we have $(n_1, n_2) = (s_1, s_2)$, $n_i = 0$, for $i = 3, \ldots, k$. One can show that if given $n_i = 0$ then in (2.7) and (5.7) all the components related with the rows $i \geq 3$ in the Young tableaux must be vanish, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} n_l &= n_{1j} = n_{2m} = p_{1s} = p_{2t} = n_{fi} = n_{pj} = n_{f1j} = n_{f2m} = n_{p1j} = n_{p2o} \\ &= n_{f1s} = n_{f2t} = n_{\lambda 1s} = n_{\lambda 2t} = n_{s_m} = 0, \ \ \texttt{for} \ l, j, m, s, t, i, o > 2. \end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

Thus the state vector is reduced to

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\chi\rangle \;&=\; \sum_n \prod_l^2 (b_l^+)^{n_l} \prod_{i\leq j}^2 (b_{ij}^+)^{n_{ij}} (d_{12}^+)^{p_{12}} (\eta_0^+)^{n_{f0}} \prod_{i,j,l\leq m,n\leq o}^2 (\eta_i^+)^{n_{fi}} (\mathcal{P}_j^+)^{n_{pj}} (\eta_{lm}^+)^{n_{flm}} (\mathcal{P}_{no}^+)^{n_{pno}} \\
&\quad \times (\vartheta_{12}^+)^{n_{f12}} (\lambda_{12}^+)^{n_{\lambda 12}} |\Phi(a_1^+, a_2^+)^{n_{f0}(n)_{fi}(n)_{pj}(n)_{flm}(n)_{pno}n_{f12}n_{\lambda 12}}_{(n)_l(n)_{ij}p_{12}}\rangle\,, \qquad (6.2) \\
|\Phi(a_1^+, a_2^+)^{n_{f0}\ldots n_{\lambda 12}}_{(n)_l(n)_{ij}p_{12}}\rangle \;&=\; \sum_{p_1=0}^{\infty} \sum_{p_2=0}^{p_1} \Phi_{(\mu^1)_{p_1},(\mu^2)_{p_2},(0)_{s_3}\ldots,(0)_{s_k}}(x) \prod_{l_1=1}^{p_1} a_1^{+\mu^1_{l_1}} \prod_{l_2=1}^{p_2} a_2^{+\mu^2_{l_2}} |0\rangle \qquad (6.3)
\end{aligned}
$$

which corresponds to that in [39] and for $(n)_l = (0)_l$ to [32]. The operator $C^{lm}(d^+, d)$ in (3.8) now has the only non-vanishing value for $C^{12}(d^+, d)$,

$$
C^{12}(d^+, d) \;\equiv\; \big(h^1 - h^2 - d_{12}^+ d_{12}\big) d_{12}, \qquad (6.4)
$$

so that the expression for $sp(4)$ algebra auxiliary representation may be easily derived from the Eqs.(3.2)–(3.7). Then one can easily show that equations (5.17), (5.18), (5.19), (5.21) with $|\chi\rangle$ as in (6.2), (6.3) reproduce the same relations as those in [32] for massless and in [39] for massive case.

6.2 Spin-(s_1, s_2, s_3) general mixed-symnmetric field

Now we consider a new yet unknown Lagrangian formulation for mixed-symmetric HS field $\Phi_{(\mu^1)_{s_1},(\mu^2)_{s_2},(\mu^3)_{s_3}}$ with three group of symmetric indices subject to $Y(s_1, s_2, s_3)$. The values of spin (s_1, s_2, s_3), for $s_1 \geq s_2 \geq s_3$, can be composed from the set of coefficients $(n_l, n_{ij}, p_{rs}, n_{f0}, n_{fi}, n_{pj}, n_{flm}, n_{pno}, n_{frs}, n_{\lambda tu}, p_i)$, for $l, i, j, r, s, l, m, n, o, t, u = 1, 2, 3,\ i \leq j, r < s, l \leq m, n \leq o, t < u$, in (5.7) and (2.7)[13] to be restricted for all the vectors $|\chi^l\rangle_{(s)_3}$, $l = 0, \ldots, 12$ in view of the spectral problem solution (5.15), (5.16) by the formulae

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_i \;&=\; p_i + \Theta(m) n_i + \sum_{j=1} (1 + \delta_{ij})(n_{ij} + n_{fij} + n_{pij}) + n_{fi} + n_{pi} \\
&\quad + \sum_{r<i} (p_{ri} + n_{fri} + n_{\lambda ri}) - \sum_{r>i} (p_{ir} + n_{fir} + n_{\lambda ir})\,,\ i = 1, 2, 3. \qquad (6.5)
\end{aligned}
$$

In addition to the restrictions (6.5), being valid for general case of HS field subject to $Y(s_1, \ldots, s_k)$ as well, the subset of "ghost" numbers $(n_{f0}, n_{fi}, n_{pj}, n_{flm}, n_{pno}, n_{frs}, n_{\lambda tu})$in (5.7) and (2.7) for fixed values of s_i, satisfies the following equations for $|\chi^l\rangle_{(s)_3}$, $l = 0, \ldots, k(k+1) = 12$,

$$
|\chi^l\rangle_{(s)_3} \;:\; n_{f0} + \sum_i \big(n_{fi} - n_{pi}\big) + \sum_{i\leq j} \big(n_{fij} - n_{pij}\big) + \sum_{r<s} \big(n_{frs} - n_{\lambda rs}\big) = -l, \qquad (6.6)
$$

which follows from ghost number distributions (5.9)–(5.12). Above $(k + 1[k(k+1)])$ relations (6.5), (6.6) (for given example equal to $(3 + 12)$) express the fact of the general homogeneity of the vectors $|\chi^l\rangle_{(s)_3}$ with respect to spin and ghost number distributions.

The corresponding BRST operator Q in (5.2) for 25 constraints $(L_0, L_i, L_{ij}, T_{rs}, L_i^+, L_{ij}^+, T_{rs}^+)$ has the form,

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q \;&=\; \tfrac{1}{2}\eta_0 L_0 + \eta_i^+ L^i + \sum_{l\leq m} \eta_{lm}^+ L^{lm} + \sum_{l<m} \vartheta_{lm}^+ T^{lm} + \tfrac{\imath}{2} \sum_l \eta_l^+ \eta^l \mathcal{P}_0 - \vartheta_{23}^+ (\vartheta_{12}^+ \lambda^{13} - \vartheta_{13} \lambda_{12}^+) \qquad (6.7) \\
&\quad - \vartheta_{13}^+ \vartheta_{12} \lambda^{23} - \sum_{n,l<m} (1 + \delta_{ln}) \vartheta_{lm}^+ \eta^{l+}{}_n \mathcal{P}^{mn} + \sum_{n,l<m} (1 + \delta_{mn}) \vartheta_{lm}^+ \eta^m{}_n \mathcal{P}^{+ln} \\
&\quad + \tfrac{1}{2} \sum_{l<m,n\leq m} \eta_{nm}^+ \eta^n{}_l \lambda^{lm} - \big[\tfrac{1}{2} \sum_{l\leq m} (1 + \delta_{lm}) \eta^m \eta_{lm}^+ + \sum_{l<m} \vartheta_{lm} \eta^{+m} + \sum_{m<l} \vartheta_{ml}^+ \eta^{+m}\big] \mathcal{P}^l + h.c.
\end{aligned}
$$

---

[13] we change the indices s_i given in (2.7) for the vector in initial Fock space $\mathcal{H}$ on p_i because of the usage of s_i for the value of generalized spin of the basic HS field $\Phi_{(\mu^1)_{s_1},(\mu^2)_{s_2},(\mu^3)_{s_3}}$

and is nilpotent after substitution $h_i \to -(p_i + \frac{d-2-4i+\theta(m)}{2})$, for $i = 1, 2, 3$, in it, when restricted on to Hilbert subspace in $\mathcal{H}_{tot}$ to be formed by the vectors $|\chi^l\rangle_{(s)_3}$ (5.9) to be proper for the spin operator $(\sigma^1, \sigma^2, \sigma^3)$ (5.3).

The explicit form of the additional parts to the constraints o'_a is determined by the relations (3.2)–(3.7), but for $k = 3$ rows in YT, so that, the operators t'^{+}_{lm}, for $l, m = 1, 2, 3;\ l < m$ in (3.3) and $C^{12}(d^+, d), C^{13}(d^+, d), C^{23}(d^+, d)$ in (3.8) are written as follows,

$$
\begin{aligned}
t'^{+}_{12} &= d^{+}_{12} - \sum\nolimits_{n=1}^{3}(1+\delta_{1n})b^{+}_{n2}b_{1n}\,, && (6.8)\\
t'^{+}_{13} &= d^{+}_{13} - \sum\nolimits_{n=1}^{3}(1+\delta_{1n})b^{+}_{n3}b_{1n}\,, && (6.9)\\
t'^{+}_{23} &= d^{+}_{23} - d_{12}d^{+}_{13} - \sum\nolimits_{n=1}^{3}(1+\delta_{n2})b^{+}_{n3}b_{2n}\,, && (6.10)\\
C^{12}(d^+, d) &\equiv \big(h^1 - h^2 - d^{+}_{12}d_{12} - d^{+}_{13}d_{13} - d^{+}_{23}d_{23}\big)d_{12} + \big\{d^{+}_{23} - d^{+}_{13}d_{12}\big\}d_{13}, && (6.11)\\
C^{13}(d^+, d) &\equiv \big(h^1 - h^3 - d^{+}_{13}d_{13} + d^{+}_{23}d_{23}\big)d_{13}, && (6.12)\\
C^{23}(d^+, d) &\equiv \big(h^2 - h^3 - d^{+}_{23}d_{23}\big)d_{23}. && (6.13)
\end{aligned}
$$

It permit to present, first, the expressions for the elements, l'_{ll} as,

$$
\begin{aligned}
l'_{11} &= \frac{1}{4}\sum_{n=2}^{3}\Big[b^{+}_{nn}b_{1n} - 2d^{+}_{1n} + 2b^{+}_{n3}b_{13}\Big]b_{1n} + \Big(\sum_{n=1}^{3}b^{+}_{1n}b_{1n} - d^{+}_{12}d_{12} - d^{+}_{13}d_{13} + h^1\Big)b_{11}, && (6.14)\\
l'_{22} &= \frac{1}{4}\Big(b^{+}_{11}b^{2}_{12} + b^{+}_{33}b^{2}_{23}\Big) + \frac{1}{2}\Big[2b^{+}_{12}b_{22} + b^{+}_{13}b_{23} - C^{12}(d^+, d)\Big]b_{12} && (6.15)\\
&\quad + \big(b^{+}_{22}b_{22} + b^{+}_{23}b_{23} - d^{+}_{23}d_{23} + d^{+}_{12}d_{12} + h^2\big)\,b_{22} - \frac{1}{2}\Big[d^{+}_{23} - d^{+}_{13}d_{12}\Big]b_{23}, &&\\
l'_{33} &= \frac{1}{4}\Big(b^{+}_{11}b^{2}_{13} + b^{+}_{22}b^{2}_{23}\Big) + \frac{1}{2}\Big[d^{+}_{12}d_{13} + 2b^{+}_{23}b_{33} - C^{23}(d^+, d)\Big]b_{23} && (6.16)\\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}\Big[b^{+}_{12}b_{23} + 2b^{+}_{13}b_{33} - C^{13}(d^+, d) - C^{23}(d^+, d)d_{12}\Big]b_{13} &&\\
&\quad + \big(b^{+}_{33}b_{33} + d^{+}_{13}d_{13} + d^{+}_{23}d_{23} + h^3\big)\,b_{33}, &&
\end{aligned}
$$

second for l'_{lm}, for $l < m$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
l'_{12} &= \frac{1}{4}\Big(\sum_{n=2}^{3}\big[b^{+}_{1n}b_{1n} + (1+\delta_{n2})b^{+}_{2n}b_{2n}\big] - d^{+}_{13}d_{13} - d^{+}_{23}d_{23} + h^1 + h^2\Big)b_{12} && (6.17)\\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2}\big[C^{12}(d^+, d) - \sum_{n=1}^{3}(1+\delta_{n2})b^{+}_{1n}b_{n2}\big]b_{11} - \frac{1}{4}\big[2d^{+}_{12}b_{22} + d^{+}_{13}b_{23}\big] &&\\
&\quad - \frac{1}{4}\Big[d^{+}_{23} - d^{+}_{13}d_{12} - \sum_{n=2}^{3}(1+\delta_{n2})b^{+}_{n3}b_{2n}\Big]b_{13}, &&\\
l'_{13} &= \frac{1}{4}\Big(b^{+}_{13}b_{13} + \sum_{n=2}^{3}(1+\delta_{n3})b^{+}_{n3}b_{n3} - d^{+}_{12}d_{12} + d^{+}_{23}d_{23} + h^1 + h^3\Big)b_{13} && (6.18)\\
&\quad + \frac{1}{4}\Big[\sum_{n=2}^{3}(1+\delta_{n3})b^{+}_{2n}b_{n3} + d^{+}_{12}d_{13} - C^{23}(d^+, d)\Big]b_{12} - \frac{1}{4}\Big[d^{+}_{12}b_{23} + 2d^{+}_{13}b_{33}\Big] &&\\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}\Big[\sum_{n=1}^{3}(1+\delta_{n3})b^{+}_{1n}b_{n3} - C^{13}(d^+, d) - C^{23}(d^+, d)d_{12}\Big]b_{11}, &&
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\begin{aligned} l'_{23} \;=\;& \frac{1}{4}\Big[\sum_{n=1}^{3}(1+\delta_{n3})b^+_{2n}b_{n3} - C^{13}(d^+,d) - C^{23}(d^+,d)d_{12}\Big]b_{12} \\ &+\frac{1}{2}\Big[\sum_{n=2}^{3}(1+\delta_{n3})b^+_{2n}b_{n3} + d^+_{12}d_{13} - C^{23}(d^+,d)\Big]b_{22} \\ &+\frac{1}{4}\Big(b^+_{23}b_{23} + 2b^+_{33}b_{33} + d^+_{12}d_{12} + d^+_{13}d_{13} + h^2 + h^3\Big)b_{23} \\ &-\frac{1}{4}\Big[C^{12}(d^+,d) - \sum_{n=2}^{3}(1+\delta_{n2})b^+_{1n}b_{2n}\Big]b_{13} - \frac{1}{2}\Big[d^+_{23} - d^+_{13}d_{12}\Big]b_{33}, \end{aligned} \tag{6.19}$$

and, third, for t'_{lm},

$$t'_{12} \;=\; C^{12}(d^+,d) - \sum\nolimits_{n=1}^{3}(1+\delta_{n2})b^+_{n1}b_{n2}\,, \tag{6.20}$$

$$t'_{13} \;=\; C^{13}(d^+,d) + C^{23}(d^+,d)d_{12} - \sum\nolimits_{n=1}^{3}(1+\delta_{n3})b^+_{n1}b_{n3}\,, \tag{6.21}$$

$$t'_{23} \;=\; -d^+_{12}d_{13} + C^{23}(d^+,d) - \sum\nolimits_{n=1}^{3}(1+\delta_{n3})b^+_{n2}b_{n3}\,. \tag{6.22}$$

Relations (6.8)–(6.22) together with Eqs. (3.2), (3.4) for the value of $k=3$ compose the scalar oscillator realization of $sp(6)$ algebra over Heisenberg-Weyl algebra A_9 with 18 independent operators $b^+_{ij}, b_{ij}, d^+_{rs}, d_{rs}$, for $i \leq j, r < m$.

At last, the Lagrangian equations of motion (5.17), set of reducible Abelian gauge transformations (5.18)–(5.19) and proper unconstrained action $\mathcal{S}_{(s)_3}$ (5.21) have the final respective form for the HS field of spin (s_1,s_2,s_3),

$$Q_{(s)_3}|\chi^0\rangle_{(s)_3} = 0; \tag{6.23}$$

$$\delta|\chi^0\rangle_{(s)_3} = Q_{(s)_3}|\chi^1\rangle_{(s)_3}\,, \qquad \delta|\chi^1\rangle_{(s)_3} = Q_{(s)_3}|\chi^2\rangle_{(s)_3}\,, \tag{6.24}$$

$$\ldots \quad \ldots \quad \ldots$$

$$\delta|\chi^{11}\rangle_{(s)_3} = Q_{(s)_3}|\chi^{12}\rangle_{(s)_3}\,, \qquad \delta|\chi^{12}\rangle_{(s)_3} = 0\,; \tag{6.25}$$

$$\mathcal{S}_{(s)_3} = \int d\eta_0 \;{}_{(s)_3}\langle\chi^0|K_{(s)_3}Q_{(s)_3}|\chi^0\rangle_{(s)_3}, \tag{6.26}$$

where operator $K_{(s)_3}$ is determined by the relations (3.14), (4.30), (B.11) for $k=3$. The corresponding Lagrangian formulation is at most 11-th stage reducible gauge theory for free arbitrary HS bosonic field subject to $Y(s_1,s_2,s_3)$ Young tableaux on Minkowski $\mathbb{R}^{1,d-1}$ space. We will use obtained Lagrangian formulation (6.23)–(6.26) to find the component Lagrangian formulation for the field $\Phi_{\mu\nu,\rho,\sigma}$ with spin $\mathbf{s} = (2,1,1)$.

6.3 Spin-$(2,1,1)$ mixed-symmetric massless field

Here, we apply the general prescriptions of our Lagrangian formulation for rank-4 tensor field, $\Phi_{\mu\nu,\rho,\sigma}$, to be symmetric in indices μ,ν, i.e. $\Phi_{\mu\nu,\rho,\sigma} = \Phi_{\nu\mu,\rho,\sigma}$, starting from the analysis of tower of gauge transformations on a base of cohomological resolution complex.

6.3.1 Reducible gauge transformations for the gauge parameters

In the case of spin-$(2,1,1)$ field, we have $(h^1,h^2,h^3) = (\{1-\frac{d}{2}\},\{4-\frac{d}{2}\},\{6-\frac{d}{2}\})$. Therefore, due to analysis of the system of three spin (6.5) and one (6.6) ghost number equations on all the indices of powers in the decomposition (5.7) and (2.7) for the field $|\chi^0\rangle_{(s)_3}$ and each of the gauge parameters $|\chi^l\rangle_{(s)_3}$, $(s)_3 = (2,1,1)$ and $l = 1,\ldots,12$, the gauge theory is the $L = 4$th stage of reducibility ($L = 5 < L_3 = 11$).

The first lowest and, therefore, independent gauge parameter $|\chi^5\rangle_{(s)_3}$ is determnined only one component scalar field $\phi^5(x)$ (D.1) (see Appendix D, where all the explicit expressions for the vectors $|\chi^l\rangle_{(s)_3}$, $l = 0,\ldots,5$ are derived from the general Eq. 5.7). For the reducible gauge parameter of the fourth level $|\chi^4\rangle_{(s)_3}$ given by the Eqs. (D.2), (D.3)–(D.5) we have from the equation in (5.19) or equivalently in (6.24), (6.25), $\delta|\chi^4\rangle_{(s)_3} = Q_{(s)3}|\chi^5\rangle_{(s)_3}$, the gauge transformations for the components of fourth level gauge parameter, with omitting coordinates $x, (x \in \mathbb{R}^{1,d-1})$ in arguments, has the form for component functions,

$$\delta\phi^4_0 = \Box\phi^5, \qquad \delta\phi^4_1 = -\phi^5, \qquad \delta\phi^4_2 = \phi^5, \tag{6.27}$$

$$\delta\phi^4_3 = -\phi^5, \qquad \delta\phi^4_4 = 2\phi^5, \qquad \delta\phi^4_{11} = 0, \tag{6.28}$$

$$\delta\phi^4_5 = -\delta\phi^4_6 = \phi^5, \qquad \delta\phi^4_{5|\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}\eta_{\mu\nu}\phi^5, \qquad \delta\phi^4_{9|\mu} = 0, \tag{6.29}$$

$$\delta\phi^4_{10|\mu} = -i\partial_\mu\phi^5, \qquad \delta\phi^4_{7|\mu} = i\partial_\mu\phi^5, \qquad \delta\phi'^4_{7|\mu} = \delta\phi^4_{8|\mu} = 0. \tag{6.30}$$

To derive Eqs.(6.27)–(6.30), we have taken into account the definition of BRST operator (6.7) and structure of the additional parts for constraints (6.8)–(6.22).

Then, we impose the gauge conditions (C.9)[14] for the first lowest dependent gauge parameter $|\chi^4\rangle_{(s)_3}$. As the solution of the equation, $b^+_{11}\mathcal{P}^+_{11}|\chi^4\rangle_{(s)_3} = 0$, obtained from general consideration, we have the vector $|\chi^4_g\rangle_{(s)_3}$ with vanishing function ϕ^4_5, so that the theory become by the third stage reducible gauge theory, with vanishing ϕ^5 and the rest independent component functions in $|\chi^4_g\rangle_{(s)_3}$ which has the form of the Eq.(D.2) but with $\phi^4_5 = 0$.

In turn, the general gauge conditions (C.10) applied to the second lowest dependent gauge parameter $|\chi^3\rangle_{(s)_3}$ given by the Eq. (D.6) and Eqs.(D.7)-(D.15), has the form

$$b_{11}\mathcal{P}^+_{11}|\chi^3\rangle_{(s)_3} = 0, \qquad b_{12}\mathcal{P}^+_{11}\mathcal{P}^+_{12}|\chi^3\rangle_{(s)_3} = 0, \tag{6.31}$$

and lead to vanishing of the component functions, ϕ^3_p, ϕ^3_{05}, ϕ'^3_{11}, $\phi^3_{b|\mu}$, $\phi'^3_{28|\mu}$, $\phi''^3_{28|\mu}$, for $p = 7,10,11,13,45$, $b = 28,29,36$.

To find the degree of freedom of which component functions in the independent reduced vector $|\chi^4_g\rangle_{(s)_3}$ correspond to the vanishing of the above third level components $\phi^3_{\ldots}$ we should to consider the explicit form of the gauge transformations for the components in $|\chi^3\rangle_{(s)_3}$. They are given by the Eqs. (6.24), (6.25), namely, $\delta|\chi^3\rangle_{(s)_3} = Q_{(s)3}|\chi^4_g\rangle_{(s)_3}$. We do not make here corresponding sequence of the component relations which follows from the above relation, but the restrictions on above $\phi^3_{\ldots}$ gauge parameters are due to used degrees of freedom from the vector $|\chi^4_g\rangle_{(s)_3}$ related respectively to the components ϕ^4_s, $\phi'^4_{7|\mu}$, $\phi^4_{t|\mu}$, for $s = 2,1,3,6,11,0,4$, $t = 8,10,9,7$ which we must set to 0 in (D.2) for $|\chi^4_g\rangle_{(s)_3}$. In addition, to the conditions (6.31) we may to gauge away the third level components $\phi^3_{7|\mu\nu}$ by means of the rest fourth level parameter $\phi^4_{5|\mu\nu}$ so that all the degrees of freedom from the vector $|\chi^4_g\rangle_{(s)_3}$ are completelu used. As the result, the theory becomes by the second-stage reducible theory. The only component fields from the reduced third level vector in $\mathcal{H}_{tot}$ $|\chi^3_g\rangle_{(s)_3}$ (D.6) survive with except for gauged away $\phi^3_{7|\mu\nu}$, ϕ^3_p, ϕ^3_{05}, $\phi^3_{b|\mu}$, ϕ'^3_{11}, $\phi'^3_{28|\mu}$, $\phi''^3_{28|\mu}$, for $p = 7,10,11,13,45$, $b = 28,29,36$.

Then, for the reducible gauge parameter of the second level $|\chi^2\rangle_{(s)_3}$ given by the Eqs.(x-2),

---

[14] General gauge-fixing procedure described in the Appendix C must be adapted because of as it is mentioned in the Appendix D our example of the field $\Phi_{\mu\nu,\rho,\sigma}$ has not the form of general mixed-symmetric field considered in the Appendix C which should be at least characterized by Young tableaux $Y(7,7,7)$ for $k = 3$ rows

(D.17)–(D.25) we impose the general gauge conditions (C.11) having for our case the form,

$$\Big(b_{11}\mathcal{P}^+_{11}, \quad b_{12}\mathcal{P}^+_{11}\mathcal{P}^+_{12}, \quad b_{13}\prod_i^3 \mathcal{P}^+_{1i}\Big)|\chi^2\rangle_{(s)_3} = 0. \tag{6.32}$$

Eqs. (6.32) lead to vanishing of the component functions, ϕ^2_p, ϕ'^2_r, ϕ''^2_1, ϕ'''^2_1, $\phi^2_{b|\mu}$, $\phi'^2_{c|\mu}, \phi''^2_{c|\mu}$, $\phi'''^2_{d|\mu}$, $\phi^{(iv)2}_{46|\mu}$, $\phi^{(v)2}_{46|\mu}$, $\phi^2_{e|\mu\nu}, \phi'^2_{100|\mu\nu}$, $\phi^2_{100|\mu,\nu}$ for $p = 1, 6, 7, 16, 47, 51, 53, 56, 69,\ 92, 95 - 100$, $r = 1, 16, 47, 100$, $b = 46, 50, 52, 55, 66, 67, 68, 75$, $c = 46, 52, 55,\ 67$, $d = 46, 55$, $e = 99, 100$. In turn, the η_0-dependent terms in $|\chi^2_0\rangle_{(s)_3}$ have the same structure as ones in $|\chi^3\rangle_{(s)_3}$ (D.6) and therefore the same components as in $|\chi^3\rangle_{(s)_3}$ should vanish in $|\chi^2_0\rangle_{(s)_3}$, i.e. of the ϕ^2_{0v}, $\phi^2_{0w|\mu}$, ϕ'^2_{011}, $\phi'^2_{028|\mu}$, $\phi''^2_{028|\mu}$ for $v = 7, 10, 11, 13, 45$, $w = 28, 29, 36$ and of $\phi^2_{07|\mu\nu}$.

The last restrictions are in one-to-one correspondence (due to homogeneity in η_0 the BRST operator Q (6.7)) with the used degrees of freedom from the vector $|\chi^3_0\rangle_{(s)_3}$ related to the components ϕ^3_{0s}, $\phi^3_{0t|\mu}$, for $s = 2, 1, 3, 4, 6, 11$, $t = 7, 8, 9, 10$, $\phi'^3_{07|\mu}$ and of $\phi^3_{05|\mu\nu}$ which we must set to 0 in $\eta_0|\chi^3_0\rangle_{(s)_3}$ in (D.6) so that η_0-dependent terms have used totally.

To make this conclusion we must obtain the explicit form of the gauge transformations for the component parameters in $|\chi^2\rangle_{(s)_3}$. They are generated by the standard Eqs. (6.24), (6.25), namely, $\delta|\chi^2\rangle_{(s)_3} = Q_{(s)_3}|\chi^3_g\rangle_{(s)_3}$. We do not write below corresponding sequence of the component relations (vanishing gauge transformations for $|\chi^2\rangle_{(s)_3}$), which forms due to the gauge (6.32) a system of not only the algebraic linear more than one hundred equations in all components (reminding the case of "unfolded" Vasiliev equations). Its solution is found by the Gauss-like procedure so that all the degrees of freedom from the vector $|\chi^3\rangle_{(s)_3}$ with except for the vectors $\phi^3_{1|\mu}, \phi^3_{8|\mu}$ and scalar ϕ^3_9 should be used to gauge away the above components from eta_0-independent part of $|\chi^2\rangle_{(s)_3}$.

Then, from the residual gauge transformations for the second level parameters in the vectors $|\phi^2_9\rangle_{(1,0,0)}, |\phi^2_{10}\rangle_{0_3}$ we find

$$\delta\phi^2_{9|\mu} = \phi^3_{8|\mu}, \qquad\qquad \delta\phi^2_{10} = \phi^3_9 \tag{6.33}$$

and therefore, the components $\phi^2_{9|\mu}$, ϕ^2_{10} should gauged away by means of respective third level parameters $\phi^3_{8|\mu}, \phi^3_9$.

As the result, the final gauge transformations with non-vanishing third level gauge parameter $\phi^3_{1|\mu}$ have the form

$$\delta\phi^2_{01|\mu} = \Box\phi^3_{1|\mu}, \qquad \delta\phi'''^2_{1|\mu,\nu} = -i\partial_\nu\phi^3_{1|\mu}, \qquad \delta\phi^2_{16|\mu,\nu} = i\partial_\nu\phi^3_{1|\mu}, \tag{6.34}$$

$$\delta\phi^2_{56|\mu\nu} = -\tfrac{i}{2}\partial_{\{\nu}\phi^3_{1|\mu\}}{}^{15}, \qquad \delta\phi^2_2 = i\partial^\mu\phi^3_{1|\mu}. \tag{6.35}$$

All the rest components in the gauge fixed vector $|\chi^2_g\rangle_{(s)_3}$ (obtained from $|\chi^2\rangle_{(s)_3}$ (D.16) in the result of the gauge procedure resolution above) do not contain totally or partially the components from the vectors $|\phi^2_{\ldots}\rangle_{(\ldots)}$ in $\mathcal{H}\bigotimes\mathcal{H}'$ whose components were gauged away.

Next, for the reducible gauge parameter of the first level $|\chi^1\rangle_{(s)_3}$ given by the Eqs.(D.26), (D.27)–(D.30) the general gauge conditions (C.14), for $k = 3$ and $l = 11$ having now the form,

$$\Big(b_{11}\mathcal{P}^+_{11}, \quad b_{12}\prod_i^2\mathcal{P}^+_{1i}, \quad b_{13}\prod_i^3\mathcal{P}^+_{1i}, \quad b_{22}\prod_i^3\mathcal{P}^+_{1i}\mathcal{P}^+_{22}\Big)|\chi^1\rangle_{(s)_3} = 0. \tag{6.36}$$

---

[15] we have used in the Eqs. (6.35) and will use later both for symmetrization and antisymmetrization of Lorentz indices the conventions, $A_{\{\mu,\nu\}} = A_{\mu,\nu} + A_{\nu,\mu}$, $A_{[\mu,\nu]} = A_{\mu,\nu} - A_{\nu,\mu}$, i.e. $A_{\mu,\nu} = \frac{1}{2}A_{\{\mu,\nu\}} + \frac{1}{2}A_{[\mu,\nu]}$

lead to vanishing of the component functions, ϕ^{1}_{p}, ϕ'^{1}_{r}, ϕ''^{1}_{t}, ϕ'''^{1}_{55}, ϕ'''^{1}_{154}, ϕ'''^{1}_{163}, $\phi^{1}_{b|\mu}$, $\phi'^{('')1}_{c|\mu}$, $\phi'''^{1}_{d|\mu}$, $\phi^{(iv)1}_{d|\mu}$, $\phi^{(v)1}_{75|\mu}$, $\phi^{(6)1}_{1|\mu}-\phi^{(12)1}_{1|\mu}$ $\phi^{1}_{e|\mu\nu}$, $\phi'^{1}_{f|\mu\nu}$, $\phi''^{1}_{h|\mu\nu}$, $\phi'''^{1}_{h|\mu\nu}$, $\phi^{1}_{g|\mu,\nu}$, $\phi'^{1}_{h|\mu,\nu}$ for $p = 13{-}15, 21, 49, 55, 59, 60, 62, 69, 70, 89, 91, 95, 96, 105, 136,\ 139, 146, 147, 150, 153, 154, 156,\ 159-163$; $r = 15, 49, 55, 69,\ 70, 95, 136, 150,\ 154, 159, 162, 163$; $t = 55, 69, 154, 163$; $b = 1, 54, 66-68,\ 74, 75, 94, 99, 100,\ 155, 157, 158$; $c = 1, 68, 74, 75, 100, 157$; $d = 1, 75$; $e = 154, 161-163$; $f = 154, 162, 163$; $h = 154, 163$; $g = 154, 162, 163$.

In turn, the same components from the η_0-dependent part of $|\chi^1\rangle_{(s)_3}$, i.e. in $|\chi^1_0\rangle_{(s)_3}$ should be gauged away as ones in the vector $|\chi^2\rangle_{(s)_3}$. Therefore the only vector $\phi^{2}_{01|\mu}$ in the vector $|\phi^{2}_{01}\rangle_{(1,0,0)}$ of η_0- dependent components from $|\chi^2\rangle_{(s)_3}$ survives.

Not presenting here explicitly a whole system of linear equations on the components (which should be vanished) of $|\chi^1\rangle_{(s)_3}$ to be easily derived from the standard gauge transformations given by the Eqs. (6.24), (6.25), namely, $\delta|\chi^1\rangle_{(s)_3} = Q_{(s)_3}|\chi^2_g\rangle_{(s)_3}$, we list the results of its Lorentz-covariant resolution.

First, the corresponding gauge transformations for η_0-dependent parameters in $|\chi^1_0\rangle_{(s)_3}$ has the same form as ones for $|\chi^2_g\rangle_{(s)_3}$ with only opposite sign "$-$" due to anticommuting η_0-multiplier and with addition of the terms proportional to d'Alamberian "$\Box$",

$$\delta\phi'''^{1}_{01|\mu,\nu} = i\partial_\nu\phi^{2}_{01|\mu} + \Box\phi'''^{2}_{1|\mu,\nu}, \qquad \delta\phi^{1}_{016|\mu,\nu} = -i\partial_\nu\phi^{2}_{01|\mu} + \Box\phi^{2}_{16|\mu,\nu}, \tag{6.37}$$
$$\delta\phi^{1}_{056|\mu\nu} = \tfrac{i}{2}\partial_{\{\nu}\phi^{2}_{01|\mu\}} + \Box\phi^{2}_{56|\mu\nu}, \qquad \delta\phi^{1}_{02} = -i\partial^\mu\phi^{2}_{01|\mu} + \Box\phi^{2}_{2}.$$

Second, from the resolution of this system it follows the relations

$$\phi^{2}_{56|\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{2}\phi^{2}_{16|\{\mu,\nu\}} \ \texttt{and}\ \phi'''^{2}_{1|\mu,\nu} \ = \ -\phi^{2}_{16|\mu,\nu}. \tag{6.38}$$

Third, all the component second level parameters from the vector $|\chi^2_g\rangle_{(s)_3}$ must be used (as the solution of this system) to gauge away above components from $|\chi^1\rangle_{(s)_3}$ except for the rest gauge parameters of the second level $\phi^{2}_{01|\mu}$, $\phi^{2}_{11|\mu}$, ϕ^{2}_{12}, $\phi^{2}_{13|\mu}$, $\phi'^{2}_{13|\mu}$, ϕ^{2}_{14}, ϕ^{2}_{15}, $\phi^{2}_{18|\mu}$, ϕ^{2}_{19}, $\phi^{2}_{48|\mu}$, $\phi'^{2}_{48|\mu}$, $\phi^{2}_{49|\mu}$, $\phi^{2}_{54|\mu}$, $\phi^{2}_{70|\mu}$, $\phi^{2}_{102|\mu}$ and gauge dependent $\phi'''^{2}_{1|\mu,\nu}$, $\phi^{2}_{16|\mu,\nu}$, $\phi^{2}_{56|\mu\nu}$ ϕ^{2}_{2}.

However, we have the residual gauge transformations on the not gauge-fixed (due to (6.36)) components in $|\chi^1\rangle_{(s)_3}$ to be obtained from the same general relation, $\delta|\chi^1\rangle_{(s)_3} = Q_{(s)_3}|\chi^2_g\rangle_{(s)_3}$,

$$\delta\phi^{1}_{20} = \tfrac{1}{2}\phi^{2}_{16|\mu,}{}^{\mu} - \tfrac{1}{2}\phi^{2}_{2} - \phi^{2}_{15}, \qquad \delta\phi^{1}_{12} = \phi^{2}_{15} - \phi^{2}_{12}, \tag{6.39}$$
$$\delta\phi^{1}_{8} = -\phi^{2}_{14}, \qquad \delta\phi'^{1}_{8} = \phi^{2}_{12} + \phi^{2}_{14}, \tag{6.40}$$
$$\delta\phi^{1}_{17|\mu} = i\partial^\nu\phi^{2}_{16|\nu,\mu} - i\partial_\mu\phi^{2}_{2} - \phi^{2}_{18|\mu}, \qquad \delta\phi'^{1}_{17|\mu} = \phi^{2}_{18|\mu}, \tag{6.41}$$
$$\delta\phi^{1}_{18|\mu} = \phi^{2}_{01|\mu} + i\partial^\nu\phi^{2}_{16|\mu,\nu} - \phi^{2}_{18|\mu}, \qquad \delta\phi^{1}_{7|\mu} = -\phi^{2}_{01|\mu} + i\partial^\nu\phi'''^{2}_{1|\mu,\nu} - \phi^{2}_{102|\mu}, \tag{6.42}$$
$$\delta\phi^{1}_{76|\mu} = -\phi^{2}_{01|\mu} + i\partial_\mu\phi^{2}_{2} + 2i\partial^\nu\phi^{2}_{56|\mu\nu}, \qquad \delta\phi^{1}_{19} = \phi^{2}_{19} - \phi^{2}_{14}, \tag{6.43}$$
$$\delta\phi'^{1}_{2|\mu} = -\phi^{2}_{11|\mu} + \phi^{2}_{13|\mu}, \qquad \delta\phi^{1}_{2|\mu} = i\partial^\nu\phi'''^{2}_{1|\nu,\mu} + i\partial_\mu\phi^{2}_{2} - \phi^{2}_{13|\mu}, \tag{6.44}$$
$$\delta\phi''^{1}_{2|\mu} = -\phi'^{2}_{13|\mu}, \qquad \delta\phi'''^{1}_{2|\mu} = \phi^{2}_{11|\mu} + \phi'^{2}_{13|\mu}, \tag{6.45}$$
$$\delta\phi^{1}_{72|\mu} = i\partial_\mu\phi^{2}_{15} + \phi^{2}_{49|\mu} - \phi^{2}_{48|\mu} + \tfrac{1}{2}\phi^{2}_{13|\mu}, \qquad \delta\phi^{1}_{71|\mu} = i\partial_\mu\phi^{2}_{14} - \phi^{2}_{48|\mu} + \phi'^{2}_{48|\mu}, \tag{6.46}$$
$$\delta\phi'^{1}_{71|\mu} = -\phi'^{2}_{48|\mu}, \qquad \delta\phi'^{1}_{72|\mu} = -\phi^{2}_{49|\mu} - \phi'^{2}_{48|\mu} + \tfrac{1}{2}\phi'^{2}_{13|\mu}, \tag{6.47}$$
$$\delta\phi^{1}_{52|\mu} = \phi^{2}_{54|\mu} + \phi^{2}_{48|\mu}, \qquad \delta\phi'^{1}_{52|\mu} = -\phi^{2}_{54|\mu} + \phi'^{2}_{48|\mu}, \tag{6.48}$$
$$\delta\phi^{1}_{97|\mu} = -\phi^{2}_{70|\mu} + \phi^{2}_{48|\mu} - \phi^{2}_{13|\mu}, \qquad \delta\phi'^{1}_{97|\mu} = \phi^{2}_{70|\mu} + \phi'^{2}_{48|\mu} - \phi'^{2}_{13|\mu}, \tag{6.49}$$
$$\delta\phi^{1}_{73|\mu} = \phi^{2}_{102|\mu} + 2\phi^{2}_{49|\mu}. \tag{6.50}$$

Now, we may remove the fields $\phi^{1}_{8}, \phi'^{1}_{8}$, $\phi^{1}_{12}, \phi^{1}_{19}$, $\phi'^{1}_{17|\mu}, \phi'^{1}_{2|\mu} - \phi'''^{1}_{2|\mu}$, $\phi^{1}_{71|\mu}, \phi^{1}_{73|\mu}, \phi'^{1}_{71|\mu}$ and $\phi'^{1}_{m|\mu}$ for $m = 72, 52, 97$ by means of ϕ^{2}_{n}, $n = 14, 12, 15, 19$, $\phi^{2}_{18|\mu}, \phi^{2}_{13|\mu}, \phi'^{2}_{13|\mu}, \phi^{2}_{11|\mu}$, $\phi^{2}_{48|\mu}, \phi'^{2}_{48|\mu}, \phi^{2}_{102|\mu}$ and $\phi^{2}_{l|\mu}$ for $l = 49, 54, 70$.

For the surviving in (6.39)–(6.50) components from the vectors $|\phi^1_d\rangle_{(s)_3}$, for $d = 2, 11, 17, 20$, 76, 77, we have the gauge transformations

$$\delta\phi^1_{2|\mu} = i\partial_\mu\phi^2_2 + i\partial^\nu\phi'''^2_{1|\nu,\mu}, \qquad \delta\phi^1_{7|\mu} = -\phi^2_{01|\mu} + i\partial^\nu\phi'''^2_{1|\mu,\nu}, \tag{6.51}$$

$$\delta\phi^1_{17|\mu} = i\partial^\nu\phi^2_{16|\nu,\mu} - i\partial_\mu\phi^2_2, \qquad \delta\phi^1_{20} = \tfrac{1}{2}\phi^2_{16|\mu,}{}^{\mu} - \tfrac{1}{2}\phi^2_2, \tag{6.52}$$

$$\delta\phi^1_{76|\mu} = -\phi^2_{01|\mu} + i\partial_\mu\phi^2_2 + 2i\partial^\nu\phi^2_{56|\mu\nu}, \qquad \delta\phi^1_{11} = \tfrac{1}{2}\phi'''^2_{1|\mu,}{}^{\mu} + \tfrac{1}{2}\phi^2_2, \tag{6.53}$$

$$\delta\phi^1_{77} = \phi^2_{56|\mu}{}^{\mu} + \phi^2_2. \tag{6.54}$$

From the relations (6.51), (6.53) we may gauge away the first level parameters $\phi^1_{7|\mu}$ and ϕ^1_{11} expressing the rest free second level parameters $\phi^2_2, \phi^2_{01|\mu}$ in terms of independent tensor $\phi'''^2_{1|\mu,\nu}$ as,

$$\phi^2_2 = -\phi'''^2_{1|\mu,}{}^{\mu}, \qquad \phi^2_{01|\mu} = i\partial^\nu\phi'''^2_{1|\mu,\nu}. \tag{6.55}$$

Summarizing, the final gauge transformations for the first level gauge parameters reads as follows,

$$\delta\phi^{(vi)1}_{1|\mu,\nu,\rho} = i\partial_\nu\phi'''^2_{1|\mu,\rho} - i\partial_\rho\phi'''^2_{1|\mu,\nu}, \qquad \delta\phi^1_{2|\mu} = -i\partial_\mu\phi'''^2_{1|\nu,}{}^{\nu} + i\partial^\nu\phi'''^2_{1|\nu,\mu}, \tag{6.56}$$

$$\delta\phi^1_{75|\mu\nu,\rho} = -\frac{i}{2}\partial_{\{\nu}\phi'''^2_{1|\mu\},\rho} + \frac{i}{2}\partial_\rho\phi'''^2_{1|\{\mu,\nu\}}, \qquad \delta\phi^1_{100|\mu\nu,\rho} = \tfrac{i}{2}\partial_{\{\nu}\phi'''^2_{1|\mu\},\rho} - \tfrac{i}{2}\partial_\rho\phi'''^2_{1|\{\mu,\nu\}}, \tag{6.57}$$

$$\delta\phi^1_{17|\mu} = i\partial_\mu\phi'''^2_{1|\nu,}{}^{\nu} - i\partial^\nu\phi'''^2_{1|\nu,\mu}, \qquad \delta\phi^1_{76|\mu} = i\partial^\nu\phi'''^2_{1|\nu,\mu} - i\partial_\mu\phi'''^2_{1|\nu,}{}^{\nu}, \tag{6.58}$$

and for η_0-dependent terms from (6.37) due to Eqs. (6.38), (6.55) as

$$\delta\phi'''^1_{01|\mu,\nu} = -\partial_\nu\partial^\rho\phi'''^2_{1|\mu,\rho} + \Box\phi'''^2_{1|\mu,\nu}, \qquad \delta\phi^1_{016|\mu,\nu} = \partial_\nu\partial^\rho\phi'''^2_{1|\mu,\rho} - \Box\phi'''^2_{1|\mu,\nu}, \tag{6.59}$$

$$\delta\phi^1_{056|\mu\nu} = -\tfrac{1}{2}\partial_{\{\nu}\partial^\rho\phi'''^2_{1|\mu\},\rho} + \tfrac{1}{2}\Box\phi'''^2_{1|\{\mu,\nu\}}, \qquad \delta\phi^1_{02} = \partial^\mu\partial^\nu\phi'''^2_{1|\mu,\nu} - \Box\phi'''^2_{1|\mu,}{}^{\mu}. \tag{6.60}$$

Note, the only 2-rank tensor $\phi'''^2_{1|\mu,\nu}$ left in the second level vector $|\chi^2_g\rangle_{(s)_3}$, and, the structure of first level vector $|\chi^1\rangle_{(s)_3}$ (D.26) is simplified to the reduced vector $|\chi^1_g\rangle_{(s)_3}$ having the contents as in (D.26) but without the componets which have been gauged due to general gauge (6.36) and after resolution of the equations (6.39)–(6.54).

Let us turn to the gauge transformation for the fields.

6.3.2 Gauge transformations for the fields

For the dependent field vector $|\chi\rangle_{(s)_3}$ the conditions (6.5), (6.6) allow one, first, to extract the dependence on the ghost variables as it was given in the Appendix 6.3 by the Eqs.(D.31), whose componets are determined by the relations (D.7)–(D.15), (D.17)–(D.25), (D.27)–(D.30) but for zeroth level vector and by the Eqs.(D.32)–(D.34). The general gauge conditions (C.21) applied for $k = 3$ and $l = 11$ have the form for $|\chi\rangle_{(s)_3}$,

$$\Bigl(b_{11}\mathcal{P}^+_{11},\ b_{12}\prod_i^2\mathcal{P}^+_{1i},\ b_{13}\prod_i^3\mathcal{P}^+_{1i},\ b_{22}\prod_i^3\mathcal{P}^+_{1i}\mathcal{P}^+_{22},\ b_{23}\prod_i^3\mathcal{P}^+_{1i}\mathcal{P}^+_{22}\mathcal{P}^+_{23},\Bigr)|\chi\rangle_{(s)_3} = 0, \tag{6.61}$$

$$\Bigl(d_{12}\prod_{i\le j}^3\mathcal{P}^+_{ij}\lambda^+_{12},\ d_{13}\prod_{i\le j}^3\mathcal{P}^+_{ij}\lambda^+_{12}\lambda^+_{13},\ d_{23}\prod_{i\le j}^3\mathcal{P}^+_{ij}\lambda^+_{12}\lambda^+_{13}\lambda^+_{23}\Bigr)|\chi\rangle_{(s)_3} = 0.$$

Eqs. (6.61) lead, first, to vanishing of all the component functions, from the vector $|\Psi\rangle_{2,1,1}$ (D.32) with except for initial tensor $\Phi_{\mu\nu,\rho,\sigma}$. Second, it leads to vanishing of the fields containing auxiliary oscilators b^+_{ij}, d^+_{rs} in the vectors $|\phi_1\rangle_{\ldots}$ – $|\phi_7\rangle_{\ldots}$, $|\phi_9\rangle_{\ldots}$ – $|\phi_{12}\rangle_{\ldots}$, $|\phi_n\rangle_{\ldots}$ for

$n = 14, 16, 18, 20, 22, 49, 50, 53, 59, 61, 63, 66-68, 70, 71, 73, 74, 76-80, 82-84, 92, 96, 98, 101-103, 105, 145, 154, 159, 162-170, 178-189$. As we have already discussed above the peculiarities of the gauge-fixing of η_0-dependent part of $|\chi^1_0\rangle_{(s)_3}$, the same components from $|\chi_0\rangle_{(s)_3}$ should be gauged away as ones in the η_0-independent part of the vector $|\chi^1\rangle_{(s)_3}$.

Following to the procedure developing in the previous subsection we wiil not write down explicitly the whole system of linear equations on the components (which should be vanished) from $|\chi\rangle_{(s)_3}$ to be easily derived from the standard gauge transformations given by the first relations in the Eqs. (6.24), i.e. by $\delta|\chi\rangle_{(s)_3} = Q_{(s)_3}|\chi^1_g\rangle_{(s)_3}$. Let us only demonstrate the results of its Lorentz-covariant resolution.

First, the only tensors $\phi^{(vi)}_{01|\mu,\nu,\rho}, \phi_{02|\mu}, \phi_{075|\mu\nu,\rho}, \ \phi_{0100|\mu\nu,\rho}, \phi_{017|\mu}, \phi_{076|\mu}$ in the η_0- dependent components from $|\chi\rangle_{(s)_3}$ survives. Their gauge transformations have the analogous form as ones for $|\chi^1_g\rangle_{(s)_3}$ with only opposite sign " $-$ " due to anticommuting of η_0-multiplier and adding of the terms proportional to d'Alamberian "$\Box$",

$$\delta\phi^{(vi)}_{01|\mu,\nu,\rho} = -i\partial_\nu\phi'''^1_{01|\mu,\rho} + i\partial_\rho\phi'''^1_{01|\mu,\nu} + \Box\phi^{(vi)1}_{1|\mu,\nu,\rho}, \tag{6.62}$$

$$\delta\phi_{02|\mu} = i\partial_\mu\phi'''^1_{01|\nu,}{}^{\nu} - i\partial^\nu\phi'''^1_{01|\nu,\mu} + \Box\phi^1_{2|\mu}, \tag{6.63}$$

$$\delta\phi_{075|\mu\nu,\rho} = \frac{i}{2}\partial_{\{\nu}\phi'''^1_{01|\mu\},\rho} - \frac{i}{2}\partial_\rho\phi'''^1_{01|\{\mu,\nu\}} + \Box\phi^1_{75|\mu\nu,\rho}, \tag{6.64}$$

$$\delta\phi_{0100|\mu\nu,\rho} = -\tfrac{i}{2}\partial_{\{\nu}\phi'''^1_{01|\mu\},\rho} + \tfrac{i}{2}\partial_\rho\phi'''^1_{01|\{\mu,\nu\}} + \Box\phi^1_{100|\mu\nu,\rho}, \tag{6.65}$$

$$\delta\phi_{017|\mu} = -i\partial_\mu\phi'''^1_{01|\nu,}{}^{\nu} + i\partial^\nu\phi'''^1_{01|\nu,\mu} + \Box\phi^1_{17|\mu}, \tag{6.66}$$

$$\delta\phi_{076|\mu} = -i\partial^\nu\phi'''^1_{01|\nu,\mu} + i\partial_\mu\phi'''^1_{01|\nu,}{}^{\nu} + \Box\phi^1_{76|\mu}. \tag{6.67}$$

Besides, the relations like (6.38), (6.55) hold

$$\phi^1_{056|\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{2}\phi^1_{016|\{\mu,\nu\}} \ \texttt{and} \ \phi'''^1_{01|\mu,\nu} \ = \ -\phi^1_{016|\mu,\nu}, \ \texttt{and} \ \phi^1_{02} = -\phi'''^1_{01|\mu,}{}^{\mu}. \tag{6.68}$$

Second, the resolution of the system implies the expression of the tensors $\phi^1_{75|\mu\nu,\rho}$, $\phi^1_{100|\mu\nu,\rho}$, $\phi'''^1_{01|\mu,\nu}$ and vectors $\phi^1_{17|\mu}$, $\phi^1_{2|\mu}$ in terms of one first level tensor components $\phi^{(vi)1}_{1|\mu,\rho,\nu}$ as follows,

$$\frac{1}{2}\phi^{(vi)1}_{1|\{\mu,\hat{\rho},\nu\}} = -\phi^1_{100|\mu\nu,\rho}, \quad \Big(\phi^{(vi)1}_{1|\mu,\{\nu,\rho\}} = 0 \Longrightarrow \phi^{(vi)1}_{1|\mu,[\nu,\rho]} \neq 0\Big) \ \texttt{and} \ \frac{1}{2}\phi^{(vi)1}_{1|\{\mu,\nu\},\rho} = -\phi^1_{75|\mu\nu,\rho}, \tag{6.69}$$

$$\phi^{(vi)1\ \nu}_{1|\nu,\mu,} = \phi^1_{17|\mu}, \qquad \phi^{(vi)1\nu}_{1|\nu, \quad ,\mu} = \phi^1_{2|\mu}, \qquad i\partial^\rho\phi^{(vi)1}_{1|\mu,\nu,\rho} = \phi'''^1_{01|\mu,\nu}, \tag{6.70}$$

where the sign "ˆ" in $\phi^{(vi)1}_{1|\{\mu,\hat{\rho},\nu\}}$ means the absence of symmetry with respect to index ρ and the second relation in (6.69) implies that tensor $\phi^{(vi)1}_{1|\mu,\nu,\rho}$ is by the antisymmetric in the last indices.

Third, all the component first level parameters from the vector $|\chi^1_g\rangle_{(s)_3}$ should be used (as the solution of this system) to gauge away following from the gauge (6.61) components from $|\chi\rangle_{(s)_3}$ except for the rest gauge parameters $\phi^1_{18|\mu}$, and gauge dependent $\phi^{(vi)1}_{1|\mu,\nu,\rho}$, $\phi^1_{76|\mu}$.

Fourth, we have the residual gauge transformations on the not gauge-fixed (due to (6.61)) components in $|\chi\rangle_{(s)_3}$ to be obtained from the same general relation, $\delta|\chi\rangle_{(s)_3} = Q_{(s)_3}|\chi^1_g\rangle_{(s)_3}$. So, from the gauge transformations for the fields $\phi_{8|\mu}$, $\phi_{104|\mu}$

$$\delta\phi_{8|\mu} = \frac{1}{2}\phi^1_{18|\mu}, \quad \delta\phi_{104|\mu} = -\phi^1_{100|\mu\nu,}{}^{\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\phi^1_{76|\mu} - \frac{1}{2}\phi^1_{18|\mu}. \tag{6.71}$$

we find, that fields $\phi_{8|\mu}$, $\phi_{104|\mu}$ are removed by means of the vector $\phi^1_{18|\mu}$ and the relation

$$\phi^1_{76|\mu} = -2\phi^1_{100|\mu\nu,}{}^{\nu} \Longrightarrow \phi^1_{76|\mu} = \frac{1}{2}\phi^{(vi)1\nu}_{1| \quad\quad ,[\nu,\mu]}. \tag{6.72}$$

Finally, we may write down all the gauge transformations which contain the only surviving tensors $\phi^{(vi)1}_{1|\mu,[\nu,\rho]}$, $\phi^{1}_{2|\mu}$, $\phi^{1}_{17|\mu}$, $\phi^{1}_{100|\mu\nu,\rho}$, $\phi^{1}_{75|\mu\nu,\rho}$, $\phi^{1}_{76|\mu}$, $\phi'''^{1}_{01|\mu,\nu}$ where the latter ones are expressed due to Eqs. (6.69), (6.70), (6.72) in terms of the components of single tensor $\phi^{(vi)1}_{1|\mu,[\nu,\rho]}$ as follows

$$\delta\Phi_{\mu\nu,\rho,\sigma} = -\tfrac{i}{4}\partial_{\{\mu}\phi^{(vi)1}_{1|\nu\},[\rho,\sigma]} + \tfrac{i}{4}\partial_{\rho}\phi^{(vi)1}_{1|\{\mu,[\nu\},\sigma]} + \tfrac{i}{4}\partial_{\sigma}\phi^{(vi)1}_{1|\{\mu,[\hat{\rho},\nu]\}}, \tag{6.73}$$

$$\delta\phi^{(v)}_{1|\mu,\nu} = \tfrac{i}{2}\partial_{\mu}\phi^{(vi)1}_{1|}{}^{\rho}{}_{,[\rho,\nu]} + \tfrac{i}{2}\partial_{\nu}\phi^{(vi)1}_{1|}{}^{\rho}{}_{,[\mu,\rho]} - \tfrac{i}{2}\partial^{\rho}\phi^{(vi)1}_{1|\rho,[\mu,\nu]}, \tag{6.74}$$

$$\delta\phi'''_{76|\mu,\nu} = \tfrac{i}{2}\partial_{\nu}\phi^{(vi)1}_{1|}{}^{\rho}{}_{,[\rho,\mu]} - \tfrac{i}{2}\partial_{\mu}\phi^{(vi)1}_{1|}{}^{\rho}{}_{,[\rho,\nu]} + \tfrac{i}{2}\partial^{\rho}\phi^{(vi)1}_{1|\rho,[\mu,\nu]}, \tag{6.75}$$

$$\delta\phi_{101|\mu,\nu} = -\Big(\tfrac{i}{2}\partial_{\nu}\phi^{(vi)1}_{1|}{}^{\rho}{}_{,[\rho,\mu]} - \tfrac{i}{2}\partial_{\mu}\phi^{(vi)1}_{1|}{}^{\rho}{}_{,[\rho,\nu]} + \tfrac{i}{2}\partial^{\rho}\phi^{(vi)1}_{1|\rho,[\mu,\nu]}\Big), \tag{6.76}$$

and for η_0-dependent terms in field vector $|\chi\rangle_{(s)_3}$ derived from the Eqs. (6.62)–(6.67)

$$\delta\phi^{(vi)}_{01|\mu,\nu,\rho} = -\tfrac{1}{2}\partial_{[\rho}\partial^{\sigma}\phi^{(vi)1}_{1|\mu,[\nu],\sigma]} + \tfrac{1}{2}\Box\phi^{(vi)1}_{1|\mu,[\nu,\rho]}, \tag{6.77}$$

$$\delta\phi_{02|\mu} = -\tfrac{1}{2}\partial_{\mu}\partial^{\rho}\phi^{(vi)1}_{1|}{}^{\nu}{}_{,[\nu,\rho]} + \tfrac{1}{2}\partial^{\nu}\partial^{\rho}\phi^{(vi)1}_{1|\nu,[\mu,\rho]} + \tfrac{1}{2}\Box\phi^{(vi)1}_{1|}{}^{\nu}{}_{,[\nu,\mu]}, \tag{6.78}$$

$$\delta\phi_{075|\mu\nu,\rho} = -\tfrac{1}{4}\partial_{\{\nu}\partial^{\sigma}\phi^{(vi)1}_{1|\mu\},[\rho,\sigma]} + \tfrac{1}{4}\partial_{\rho}\partial^{\sigma}\phi^{(vi)1}_{1|\{\mu,[\nu\},\sigma]} - \tfrac{1}{4}\Box\phi^{(vi)1}_{1|\{\mu,[\nu\},\rho]}, \tag{6.79}$$

$$\delta\phi_{0100|\mu\nu,\rho} = \tfrac{1}{4}\partial_{\{\nu}\partial^{\sigma}\phi^{(vi)1}_{1|\mu\},[\rho,\sigma]} - \tfrac{1}{4}\partial_{\rho}\partial^{\sigma}\phi^{(vi)1}_{1|\{\mu,[\nu,\sigma]} + \tfrac{1}{4}\Box\phi^{(vi)1}_{1|\{\mu,[\nu\},\rho]}, \tag{6.80}$$

$$\delta\phi_{017|\mu} = \tfrac{1}{2}\partial_{\mu}\partial^{\rho}\phi^{(vi)1}_{1|}{}^{\nu}{}_{,[\nu,\rho]} - \tfrac{1}{2}\partial^{\nu}\partial^{\rho}\phi^{(vi)1}_{1|\nu,[\mu,\rho]} - \tfrac{1}{2}\Box\phi^{(vi)1}_{1|}{}^{\nu}{}_{,[\nu,\mu]}, \tag{6.81}$$

$$\delta\phi_{076|\mu} = -\tfrac{1}{2}\partial_{\mu}\partial^{\rho}\phi^{(vi)1}_{1|}{}^{\nu}{}_{,[\nu,\rho]} + \tfrac{1}{2}\partial^{\nu}\partial^{\rho}\phi^{(vi)1}_{1|\nu,[\mu,\rho]} + \tfrac{1}{2}\Box\phi^{(vi)1}_{1|}{}^{\nu}{}_{,[\nu,\mu]}. \tag{6.82}$$

The gauge transformations for only independent (because of the Eqs. (6.68)) gauge parameters $\phi^{(vi)1}_{1|\rho,[\mu,\nu]}$ now reduce to the final answer

$$\delta\phi^{(vi)1}_{1|\mu,[\nu,\rho]} = 2i\partial_{[\nu}\phi'''^{2}_{1|\mu,\rho]} \quad \texttt{and} \quad \delta\phi'''^{2}_{1|\mu,\rho} = -i\partial_{\rho}\phi^{3}_{1|\mu]}. \tag{6.83}$$

The structure of the gauge parameter $|\chi^1_g\rangle_{(s)_3}$ now is completely determined, whereas the field vector $|\chi\rangle_{(s)_3}$ is reduced to $|\chi_g\rangle_{(s)_3}$ which has the same structure as in (D.31) but with sighnificantly less number of components. For instance, gauged vector $|\Psi_g\rangle_{(2,1,1)}$ contains only initial tensor, $|\Phi\rangle_{(2,1,1)} = a_1^{+\mu}a_1^{+\nu}a_2^{+\rho}a_3^{+\sigma}|0\rangle\Phi_{\mu\nu,\rho,\sigma}$ and $|\chi_{0|g}\rangle_{(s)_3}$ has the same structure as $|\chi^1_g\rangle_{(s)_3}$.

Let us turn to the removing of the rest auxiliary fields in the field vector $|\chi_g\rangle_{(s)_3}$ by means of the resolution of the part of the equations of motion.

6.3.3 Gauge-invariant unconstrained Lagrangian

Now, we should find the result of the BRST operator Q action on the gauged field vector $|\chi_g\rangle_{(s)_3}$, in order to solve algebraic equations of motion from the last general relation (6.23), i.e., $Q|\chi_g\rangle_{(s)_3} = 0$. We start from the obvious consequences that, all the fields $|\phi_n\rangle_{(s)_3}$, for $n = 26, \ldots, 42, 85, \ldots, 90$ and $n = 106, 108, \ldots, 161, 190$ with $\mathcal{P}^+_{ij}$ multipliers should vanish except for the already gauged scalars $\phi_{144} - \phi_{146}, \phi_{154}, \phi_{159}$ (as in case of gauge fixing procedure for $|\chi\rangle_{(s)_3}$). Second, we resolve η_0-dependent part of the equations as it have already done for the gauge vector $|\chi^1_g\rangle_{(s)_3}$ and find

$$\Big(\phi^{(vi)}_{01|\mu,\{\nu,\rho\}} = 0 \Longrightarrow \phi^{(vi)}_{01|\mu,[\nu,\rho]} \neq 0\Big), \quad \phi_{076|\mu} = \frac{1}{2}\phi^{(vi)}_{01|}{}^{\nu}{}_{,[\nu,\mu]}, \quad \frac{1}{2}\phi^{(vi)}_{01|}{}^{\nu}{}_{,[\mu,\nu]} = \phi_{017|\mu}, \tag{6.84}$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\phi^{(vi)}_{01|\{\mu,[\hat{\rho},\nu]\}} = \phi_{0100|\mu[\rho,\nu]}, \quad \frac{1}{2}\phi^{(vi)}_{01|}{}^{\nu}{}_{,[\nu,\mu]} = \phi_{02|\mu}, , \quad \frac{1}{2}\phi^{(vi)}_{01|\{\mu,[\nu\},\rho]} = -\phi_{075|\mu[\nu,\rho]}. \tag{6.85}$$

Therefore, the only gauge transformations (6.77) for the independent field $\phi^{(vi)}_{01|\mu,[\nu,\rho]}$ remains and are written as,

$$\delta\phi^{(vi)}_{01|\mu,[\nu,\rho]} = -\partial_{[\rho}\partial^{\sigma}\phi^{(vi)1}_{1|\mu,[\nu],\sigma]} + \Box\phi^{(vi)1}_{1|\mu,[\nu,\rho]}. \tag{6.86}$$

Then, from the system of the η_0-independent part of the Lagrangian equations of motion, $Q|\chi_g\rangle_{(s)_3} = 0$, considered for the linear terms in ghost $\mathcal{C}^I$, then in third $\mathcal{P}_K\mathcal{C}^I\mathcal{C}^J$ and finally, in the fifth $\mathcal{P}_{K_1}\mathcal{P}_{K_2}\mathcal{C}^I\mathcal{C}^J\mathcal{C}^K$ powers in ghost we get the set of equations, which permits to completely remove the rest auxiliary (gauge-independent) fields in $|\chi_g\rangle_{(s)_3}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\phi''_{1|\mu\nu}, \phi^{(iv)}_{1|\mu\nu}, \phi^{(6)}_{1|\mu,\nu}, \phi_{2|\mu,\nu}, \phi'''_{2|\mu,\nu}, \phi_{6|\mu}, \phi_{13}, \phi_{15|\mu} - \phi_{17|\mu}, \phi_{21}, \phi_{23}, \phi_{24|\mu}, \phi_{43|\mu}, \phi_{44|\mu}, \\
&\phi_{45} - \phi_{48}, \phi'_{45}, \phi_{49|\mu\nu} - \phi_{51|\mu\nu}, \phi'_{51|\mu,\nu}, \phi_{52}, \phi_{53|\mu\nu}, \phi_{54}, \phi_{55|\mu} - \phi_{58|\mu}, \phi'_{57|\mu}, \phi_{60|\mu}, \phi_{62}, \\
&\phi_{64}, \phi_{66|\mu}, \phi_{69}, \phi_{70|\mu\nu}, \phi_{70|\mu,\nu}, \phi_{72}, \phi_{73|\mu\nu}, \phi_{74|\mu}, \phi_{75|\mu}, \phi_{78|\mu\nu}, \phi_{79|\mu}, \phi''_{79|\mu}, \phi_{81|\mu}, \phi_{83|\mu\nu\rho}, \\
&\phi_{84|\mu\nu\rho}, \phi'_{84|\mu\nu,\rho}, \phi''_{84|\mu,\nu\rho}, \phi_{91}, \phi_{92|\mu\nu}, \phi_{93|\mu}, \phi_{94}, \phi_{95|\mu}, \phi_{97}, \phi_{98|\mu\nu}, \phi_{99}, \phi_{100|\mu}, \phi_{102|\mu\nu}, \\
&\phi_{103|\mu}, \phi_{104|\mu}, \phi_{105|\mu\nu\rho}, \phi_{107}, \phi_{162|\mu\nu,\rho}, \phi_{164|\mu\nu\rho}, \phi_{165|\mu\nu} - \phi'''_{165|\mu,\nu}, \phi_{166|\mu\nu}, \phi_{167|\mu\nu}, \phi_{169|\mu\nu\rho\sigma}, \\
&\phi_{170|\mu\nu\rho\sigma}, \phi_{170|\mu\nu\rho,\sigma}, \phi_{171|\mu}, \phi_{172|\mu\nu}, \phi_{173|\mu}, \phi'_{173|\mu}, \phi_{174|\mu}, \phi_{175|\mu\nu\rho}, \phi_{176|\mu}, \phi_{177}, \phi_{178|\mu\nu}, \\
&\phi_{179|\mu\nu\rho}, \phi_{179|\mu\nu,\rho}, \phi_{180|\mu\nu\rho}, \phi_{182|\mu\nu}, \phi_{183|\mu\nu\rho\sigma}, \phi_{184|\mu\nu\rho} - \phi''_{184|\mu,\nu\rho}, \phi_{185|\mu\nu\rho}, \phi_{185|\mu\nu,\rho}, \\
&\phi'_{186|\mu,\nu}, \phi_{189|\mu\nu\rho\sigma}, \phi_{189|\mu\nu\rho,\sigma}\text{[16]}
\end{aligned} \tag{6.87}$$

and to derive the relations

$$\Phi_{\mu\nu,\{\rho,\sigma\}} = 0 \Longrightarrow \Phi_{\mu\nu,[\rho,\sigma]} \neq 0, \qquad \Phi_{\{\mu\nu,\rho\},\sigma} = 0, \qquad \Phi_{\{\mu\nu,\hat{\sigma},\rho\}} = 0, \tag{6.88}$$

$$\Phi^{\rho}{}_{\rho,\mu,\nu} = \phi^{(v)}_{1|\mu,\nu}, \qquad \Phi^{\rho}{}_{\mu,\rho,\nu} = \frac{1}{2}\phi'''_{76|\mu,\nu}, \qquad \Phi^{\rho}{}_{\mu,\nu,\rho} = \frac{1}{2}\phi_{101|\mu,\nu} \tag{6.89}$$

$$\Big(\phi'''_{76|\mu,\nu} = -\phi_{101|\mu,\nu}, \phi^{(v)}_{1|\nu,\mu} = -\phi_{101|\mu,\nu}, \phi^{(v)}_{1|\{\mu,\nu\}} = 0\Big) \Longrightarrow \phi^{(v)}_{1|[\mu,\nu]} = \phi_{101|[\mu,\nu]} = \phi'''_{76|[\nu,\mu]}, \tag{6.90}$$

$$i\partial^{\nu}\phi^{(v)}_{1|\mu,\nu} = -\phi_{017|\mu}. \tag{6.91}$$

From the equations at η_1^+ (in $Q|\chi_g\rangle_{(s)3} = 0$) we may to express the fields $\phi^{(vi)}_{01|\mu,[\nu,\rho]}$ in terms of only initial tensor $\Phi_{\mu\nu,[\rho,\sigma]}$. To do this, we with using of the following from the Eqs. (6.88)–(6.90) expressions for $\phi'''_{76|\mu,\rho}, \phi^{(v)}_{1|\nu,\rho}, \phi_{101|\nu,\rho}$

$$\Phi^{\rho}{}_{\rho,[\mu,\nu]} = \phi^{(v)}_{1|[\mu,\nu]}, \quad \Phi^{\rho}{}_{[\mu,[\rho,\nu]]} = \phi'''_{76|[\mu,\nu]}, \quad \Phi^{\rho}{}_{[\mu,[\nu],\rho]} = \phi_{101|[\mu,\nu]}, \tag{6.92}$$

find

$$\phi^{(vi)}_{01|\mu,[\nu,\rho]} = -i\partial_{\nu}\Phi^{\sigma}{}_{[\mu,[\sigma,\rho]]} - i\partial_{\mu}\Phi^{\sigma}{}_{\sigma,[\nu,\rho]} - i\partial_{\rho}\Phi^{\sigma}{}_{[\mu,[\nu],\sigma]} + 2i\partial^{\sigma}\Phi_{\mu\sigma,[\nu,\rho]}. \tag{6.93}$$

The set of the relations 6.84, 6.85 (6.92), (6.93) together with one independent Young symmetry condition from the Eqs.(6.88) for nonvanishing components $\Phi_{\mu\sigma,[\nu,\rho]}$:

$$\Phi_{\{\mu\nu,[\rho\},\sigma]} = 0, \tag{6.94}$$

permit to express all the rest components from $|\chi_g\rangle_{(s)_3}$ in terms of only initial tensor $\Phi_{\mu\sigma,[\nu,\rho]}$. This tensor due to the property (6.94) is doubly traceless. Note, that equivalently, we may work with the tensor $\widehat{\Phi}_{\mu\nu,[\rho,\sigma]}$,

$$\widehat{\Phi}_{\mu\nu,[\rho,\sigma]} \equiv \Phi_{\mu\nu,[\rho,\sigma]} - \frac{1}{2}\Phi_{[\rho\mu,[\nu,\sigma]]} - \frac{1}{2}\Phi_{\mu[\rho,[\mu,\sigma]]} \tag{6.95}$$

---

[16] all the rest component fields in $|\chi\rangle_{(s)_3}$ was gauged away within the procedure described in the Subsection 6.3.2 except for the fields with nontrivial gauge transformations in (6.73)–(6.76)

which satisfies to the Eq.(6.94) identically.

The corresponding field vector $|\chi_g\rangle_{(s)_3}$ reduces to the form of $|\chi_f\rangle_{(s)_3}$, $(|\chi_f\rangle_{(s)_3} = |\chi_{fb}\rangle_{(s)_3} + \eta_0|\chi_{0f}\rangle_{(s)_3})$,

$$\begin{aligned}|\chi_f\rangle_{(s)_3} \;=\;& |\Phi\rangle_{(2,1,1)} + \mathcal{P}_1^+\eta_1^+|\phi_{1|f}\rangle_{(0,1,1)} + \mathcal{P}_2^+\eta_1^+|\phi_{76|f}\rangle_{(1,0,1)} + \mathcal{P}_3^+\eta_1^+|\phi_{101|f}\rangle_{(1,1,0)} \\ &+ \eta_0\Big[\mathcal{P}_1^+\Big\{|\phi_{01|f}\rangle_{(1,1,1)} - \eta_1^+\Big(\mathcal{P}_2^+|\phi_{02|f}\rangle_{(0,0,1)} + \mathcal{P}_3^+|\phi_{017|f}\rangle_{(0,1,0)}\Big)\Big\} \\ &+ \mathcal{P}_2^+\Big\{|\phi_{075|f}\rangle_{(2,0,1)} + \mathcal{P}_3^+\eta_1^+|\phi_{076|f}\rangle_{(1,0,0)}\Big\} + \mathcal{P}_3^+|\phi_{0100|f}\rangle_{(2,1,0)}\Big],\end{aligned} \tag{6.96}$$

where all the vectors $|\phi_{...|f}\rangle_{(s)_3}$ are expressed in terms of only components of $\Phi_{\mu\sigma,[\nu,\rho]}$. So, the vectors $|\phi_{0n|f}\rangle_{(s)_3}$ have the structure,

$$\phi_{0100|\mu[\rho,\nu]} = i\partial^\sigma\Phi_{\{\mu\sigma,[\rho,\nu\}]} - \frac{i}{2}\partial_{\{\nu}\Phi^\sigma{}_{\mu\},[\rho,\sigma]} - \frac{i}{2}\partial_{\{\mu}\Phi^\sigma{}_{\sigma,[\rho,\nu\}]} + \frac{i}{2}\partial_{\{\mu}\Phi^\sigma{}_{\rho,[\nu\},\sigma]}, \tag{6.97}$$

$$\phi_{076|\mu} = \frac{i}{2}\partial^\rho\Big(\Phi^\sigma{}_{\rho,[\sigma,\mu]} - \Phi^\sigma{}_{\sigma,[\rho,\mu]}\Big), \quad \phi_{076|\mu} = \phi_{02|\mu} = \; -\phi_{017|\mu}, \tag{6.98}$$

$$\phi_{0100|\mu[\rho,\nu]} = -\phi_{075|\mu[\rho,\nu]}.$$

Corresponding bra-vector ${}_{(s)_3}\langle\chi_f|$ from dual Fock space reads as,

$$\begin{aligned}{}_{(s)_3}\langle\chi_f| \;=\;& {}_{(2,1,1)}\langle\Phi| + {}_{(0,1,1)}\langle\phi_{1|f}|\eta_1\mathcal{P}_{1(1,0,1)} + {}_{(1,0,1)}\langle\phi_{76|f}|\eta_1\mathcal{P}_2 + {}_{(1,1,0)}\langle\phi_{101|f}|\eta_1\mathcal{P}_3 \\ &+\Big[\Big\{{}_{(1,1,1)}\langle\phi_{01|f}| - \Big({}_{(0,0,1)}\langle\phi_{02|f}|\mathcal{P}_2 + {}_{(0,1,0)}\langle\phi_{017|f}|\mathcal{P}_3\Big)|\eta_1\Big\}\mathcal{P}_1 \\ &+\Big\{{}_{(2,0,1)}\langle\phi_{075|f}| + {}_{(1,0,0)}\langle\phi_{076}|\eta_1\mathcal{P}_3\Big\}\mathcal{P}_2 + {}_{(2,1,0)}\langle\phi_{0100|f}|\mathcal{P}_3\Big]\eta_0,\end{aligned} \tag{6.99}$$

where, for instance, bra-vector ${}_{(2,1,1)}\langle\Phi|$ has the form,

$${}_{(2,1,1)}\langle\Phi| = \frac{1}{2}\Phi_{\mu\nu,[\rho,\sigma]}\langle 0|a_1^\mu a_1^\nu a_2^\rho a_3^\sigma. \tag{6.100}$$

Then the relation (6.26) with account for $K_{(2,1,1)} = 1$ on Fock space $\mathcal{H}\bigotimes\mathcal{H}_{gh}$ gives the following action for $(s)_3 = (2,1,1)$,

$$\mathcal{S}_{(s)_3} = {}_{(s)_3}\langle\chi_{fb}|\Big\{l_0|\chi_{fb}\rangle_{(s)_3} - \Delta Q|\chi_{0f}\rangle_{(s)_3}\Big\} - {}_{(s)_3}\langle\chi_{0f}|\Big\{\Delta Q|\chi_{fb}\rangle_{(s)_3} - \sum_i\eta_i^+\eta_i|\chi_{0f}\rangle_{(s)_3}\Big\}. \tag{6.101}$$

Explicitly Lagrangian looks in terms of the tensor $\Phi_{\mu\nu,[\rho,\sigma]}$ and all the auxiliary fields (which should be then expressed in terms of only $\Phi^{\mu\nu,[\rho,\sigma]}$) as[17],

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{(2,1,1)} \;=\;& \frac{1}{2}\Phi^{\mu\nu,[\rho,\sigma]}\Box\Phi_{\mu\nu,[\rho,\sigma]} - \frac{1}{4}\hat{\phi}_1^{(v)[\mu,\nu]}\Box\hat{\phi}^{(v)}_{1|[\mu,\nu]} + \frac{1}{4}\Phi^{\mu\nu,[\rho,\sigma]}\Big\{\partial_{\{\mu}\hat{\phi}^{(vi)}_{01|\nu\},[\rho,\sigma]} + 2\partial_\rho\hat{\phi}_{075|\mu[\nu,\sigma]} \\ &+2\partial_\sigma\hat{\phi}_{0100|\mu[\nu,\rho]}\Big\} - \frac{1}{2}\hat{\phi}_1^{(v)[\mu,\nu]}\Big\{\partial_\mu\hat{\phi}_{02|\nu} + \partial_\nu\hat{\phi}_{017|\mu} + \frac{1}{2}\partial^\rho\hat{\phi}^{(vi)}_{01|\rho,[\mu,\nu]}\Big\} \\ &-\frac{1}{4}\hat{\phi}_{01}^{(vi)\mu,[\nu,\rho]}\Big\{\partial_\mu\hat{\phi}^{(v)}_{1|[\nu,\rho]} + \partial_\nu\hat{\phi}'''_{76|[\mu,\rho]} + \partial_\rho\hat{\phi}_{101|[\mu,\nu]} + 2\partial^\sigma\Phi_{\sigma\mu,[\nu,\rho]} + \hat{\phi}^{(vi)}_{01|\mu,[\nu,\rho]}\Big\} \\ &+\frac{1}{4}\Big\{\hat{\phi}'''_{76}{}^{[\mu,\nu]} - \hat{\phi}_{101}{}^{[\mu,\nu]}\Big\}\partial^\rho\hat{\phi}^{(vi)}_{01|\mu,[\rho,\nu]} + \frac{1}{2}\Big\{\hat{\phi}^\mu_{02} - \hat{\phi}^\mu_{017}\Big\}\partial^\rho\hat{\phi}^{(v)}_{1|[\rho,\mu]} + \hat{\phi}^\mu_{02}\hat{\phi}_{02|\mu} + \hat{\phi}^\mu_{017}\hat{\phi}_{017|\mu}, \\ &-\frac{1}{2}\hat{\phi}_{075}{}^{\mu[\nu,\sigma]}\Big\{\hat{\phi}_{075|\mu[\nu,\sigma]} + \partial^\rho\Phi_{\mu\nu,[\rho,\sigma]}\Big\} - \frac{1}{2}\hat{\phi}_{0100}{}^{\mu[\nu,\sigma]}\Big\{\hat{\phi}_{0100|\mu[\nu,\sigma]} + \partial^\rho\Phi_{\mu\nu,[\sigma,\rho]}\Big\}.\end{aligned} \tag{6.102}$$

---

[17] in order to provide reality of the Lagrangian due to sign "-" in the commutation relations, $[a_i^\mu, a_j^{+\nu}] = -\eta^{\mu\nu}\delta_{ij}$, we should later to connect with each of the Lorentz index the imaginary unit for the vector, second, third, fourth and so on rank tensors by the rule, $\phi_{\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_n} \to \imath^n\hat{\phi}_{\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_n}$, so that $\phi_{\mu,\nu,\rho,\sigma} = \hat{\phi}_{\mu,\nu,\rho,\sigma}$, $\phi_{\mu,\nu,\rho} = -\imath\hat{\phi}_{\mu,\nu,\rho}$, $\phi_{\mu,\nu} = -\hat{\phi}_{\mu,\nu}$, $\phi_\mu = \imath\hat{\phi}_\mu$ and must change the corresponding signs in all above formulas with components with writing the hat "$\hat{}$" over the fields

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{(2,1,1)} \;=\;& \frac{1}{2}\Phi^{\mu\nu,[\rho,\sigma]}\Big\{\Box\Phi_{\mu\nu,[\rho,\sigma]} + \partial_{\{\mu}\big[\partial_\rho\Phi^{\tau}{}_{[\nu\},[\tau,\sigma]]} + \partial_{\nu\}}\Phi^{\tau}{}_{\tau,[\rho,\sigma]} + \partial_\sigma\Phi^{\tau}{}_{[\nu\},[\rho],\tau]} \qquad (6.103)\\
& -2\partial^\tau\Phi_{\nu\}\tau,[\rho,\sigma]}\big] + 2\partial_\rho\big[\partial_{\{\mu}\Phi^{\tau}{}_{\tau,[\sigma,\nu\}]} + \partial_{\{\nu}\Phi^{\tau}{}_{[\mu\},[\sigma],\tau]} - 2\partial^\tau\Phi_{\{\mu\tau,[\sigma,\nu\}]}\big]\Big\}\\
& -\frac{1}{4}\Phi_{\tau}{}^{\tau,[\mu,\nu]}\Big\{\Box\Phi^{\sigma}{}_{\sigma,[\mu,\nu]} - 2\partial_\nu\partial^\rho\Big[\Phi^{\sigma}{}_{\sigma,[\rho,\mu]} - \Phi^{\sigma}{}_{\rho,[\sigma,\mu]}\Big]\Big\}\\
& -2\Phi_{\sigma}{}^{[\mu,[\sigma,\nu]]}\partial^\rho\partial^\tau\Phi_{\mu\tau,[\rho,\nu]} - \Phi^{\sigma}{}_{\sigma,}{}^{[\mu,\nu]}\partial^\rho\partial^\tau\Phi_{\rho\tau,[\mu,\nu]} + 2\Phi^{\mu\nu,[\rho,\sigma]}\partial_\nu\partial^\tau\Phi_{\mu\tau,[\rho,\sigma]}\\
& +\frac{1}{2}\Phi_{\sigma}{}^{\mu}{}_{,}{}^{[\sigma,\nu]}\partial_\mu\partial^\rho\Big\{\Phi^{\tau}{}_{\rho,[\tau,\nu]} - \Phi^{\tau}{}_{\tau,[\rho,\nu]}\Big\}.
\end{aligned}$$

The Lagrangian (6.103) is invariant with accuracy up to total derivative with respect to the gauge transformations

$$\delta\Phi_{\mu\nu,[\rho,\sigma]} = -\tfrac{1}{2}\partial_{\{\mu}\phi^{(vi)1}_{1|\nu\},[\rho,\sigma]} + \tfrac{1}{2}\partial_\rho\phi^{(vi)1}_{1|\{\mu,[\nu\},\sigma]} + \tfrac{1}{2}\partial_\sigma\phi^{(vi)1}_{1|\{\mu,[\hat{\rho},\nu]\}}, \qquad (6.104)$$

where gauge transformations for the gauge parameters $\phi^{(vi)1}_{1|\rho,[\mu,\nu]}$ now reduce to the final form with omitting the ”ˆ” over the gauge parameters

$$\delta\phi^{(vi)1}_{1|\mu,[\nu,\rho]} = 2\partial_{[\nu}\phi'''^{2}_{1|\mu,\rho]} \;\texttt{and}\; \delta\phi'''^{2}_{1|\mu,\rho} = -\partial_\rho\phi^{3}_{1|\mu]}. \qquad (6.105)$$

Thus, we have obtained the gauge-invariant Lagrangian (6.103) in terms of only initial free massless mixed-symmetric tensor field $\Phi_{\mu\nu,[\rho,\sigma]}$. The resulting theory is the second-stage reducible gauge theory. The formulae (6.103)–(6.105) present our basic result in the Section 6.3. The case of massive tensor field of spin $(2, 1, 1)$ maybe explicitly derived from the Eqs. (6.103)–(6.105) by the dimensional reduction procedure.

7 Conclusions

In the given paper, we have constructed a gauge-invariant Lagrangian description of free integer HS fields belonging to an irreducible representation of the Poincare group $ISO(1, d-1)$ with the arbitrary Young tableaux having k rows in the “metric-like” formulation. The results of this study are the general and obtained on the base of universal method which is applied by the unique way to both massive and massless bosonic HS fields with a mixed symmetry in a Minkowski space of any dimension. One should be noted the Lagrangians for the massive arbitrary HS fields on a flat background have not been derived until now in “metric-like” and “frame-like” formulations[18].

We start from an embedding of bosonic HS fields into vectors of an auxiliary Fock space, we treat the fields as coordinates of Fock-space vectors and reformulate the theory in such terms. We realize the conditions that determine an irreducible Poincare-group representation with a given mass and generalized spin in terms of differential operator constraints imposed on the Fock space vectors. These constraints generate a closed Lie algebra of HS symmetry, which contains, with the exception of k basis generators of its Cartan subalgebra, a system of first- and second-class constraints. Above algebra coincides modulo isometry group generators with its Howe dual $sp(2k)$ symplectic algebra.

We demonstrate that the construction of a correct Lagrangian description requires a deformation of the initial symmetry algebra, in order to obtain from the system of mixed-class constraints a converted system with the same number of first-class constraints alone, whose structure provides the appearance of the necessary number of auxiliary tensor fields with lower generalized spins. We have shown that this purpose can be achieved with the help of an additional Fock space, by constructing an additive extension of a $sp(2k)$ symmetry subalgebra which consists of the subsystem of second-class constraints alone and of the generators of the Cartan subalgebra.

---

[18] In principle, the massive theory can be obtained from massless by dimensional reduction. However, we suggest that it will require the same amount of work as one for independent formulation.

We have realized the Verma module construction [53] in order to obtain an auxiliary representation in Fock space for the above algebra with second-class constraints. As a consequence, the converted Lie algebra of HS symmetry has the same algebraic relations as the initial algebra; however, these relations are realized in an enlarged Fock space. The generators of the converted Cartan subalgebra contain linearly k auxiliary independent number parameters, whose choice provides the vanishing of these generators in the corresponding subspaces of the total Hilbert space extended by the ghost operators in accordance with the minimal BFV–BRST construction for the converted HS symmetry algebra. Therefore, the above generators, enlarged by the ghost contributions up to the "particle number" operators in the total Hilbert space, covariantly determine Hilbert subspaces in each of which the converted symmetry algebra consists of the first-class constraints alone, labeled by the values of the above parameters, and constructed from the initial irreducible Poincare-group relations.

It is shown that the Lagrangian description corresponding to the BRST operator, which encodes the converted HS symmetry algebra, yields a consistent Lagrangian dynamics for bosonic fields of any generalized spin. The resulting Lagrangian description, realized concisely in terms of the total Fock space, presents a set of generating relations for the action and the sequence of gauge transformations for given bosonic HS fields with a sufficient set of auxiliary fields, and proves to be a reducible gauge theory with a finite number of reducibility stages, increasing with the value of number of rows in the Young tableaux.

It is proved the fact that the solutions of the Lagrangian equations of motion (5.17) after a partial gauge-fixing and resolution of the part of the equations of motion, correspond to the BRST cohomology space with a vanishing ghost number, which is determined only by the relations that extract the fields of an irreducible Poincare-group representation with a given value of generalized spin. One should be noted the case of totally antisymmetric tensors developed in Ref.[40] is contained in the general Lagrangian formulation for $s_1 = s_2 = ... = s_k = 1$, $k = [d/2]$.

We demonstrated that the general procedure contains as the particular case the Lagrangian formulation for the mixed-symmetry bosonic tensors subject to Young tableaux with two rows, developed earlier in [32], [39], and derived in the first time the new unconstrained Lagrangian formulation in (6.23)–(6.26) for the mixed-symmetry HS fields with three groups of symmetric indices subject to Young tableaux with three rows. We applied the latter algorithm to get new Lagrangian (6.103) and its reducible gauge symmetries (6.104), (6.105) for spin $(2, 1, 1)$ massless field in terms of only initial tensor of the fourth rank. Obtained result permits to immediatedly enlarge the found Lagrangian formulation on to one for HS tensor of spin $(2, 1, \ldots, 1)$) subject to Young tableaux with k rows.

There are many directions for extensions of the results obtained in this paper. We point out some of them. First, development of the analogous approach to fermionic HS fields with arbitrary Young tableaux. Second, Lagrangian construction for bosonic and fermionic fields with arbitrary index symmetry on AdS space. Third, derivation of component Lagrangians for simple enough but new cases. Fourth, developing the unconstrained formulation for fields with arbitrary Young tableaux analogously to component formulation with minimal number of auxiliary fields given in [11] for totally symmetric fields which as well (as it have shown in [11]) can be derived from the obtained general Lagrangian formulation by means of partial gauge fixing procedure. We are going to study these problems in the forthcoming works.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to V.A. Krykhtin, P.M. Lavrov and Yu.M. Zinoviev for discussions, to G. Bonelli, D. Francia, A. Sagnotti, W. Siegel, A. Waldron for correspondence and to referee for suggestion to consider the nontrivial example. A.R. is thankful to K. Alkalaev and V. Gershun for the comments on conversion procedure, structure of constraints and to D. Francia for useful comments of the results. I.L.B is grateful to CAPES for supporting his visit to to Physics Department of Universidade Federal de Juiz de Fora, Brazil where the final part of the work was done. The authors are grateful to RFBR grant, project No. 12-02-000121 and grant for LRSS, project No. 224.2012.2 for partial support. Work of I.L.B was supported in part by the RFBR-Ukraine grant, project No. 11-02-93986. Work of A.R. was partially supported by the RFBR grant, project No. 11-02-08283.

Appendix

A Additional parts construction for $sp(2k)$ algebra

In this appendix, we describe the method of auxiliary representation construction (known for mathematicians as Verma module [53]) for the symplectic algebra $sp(2k)$ with second-class constraints $\{o'_a, o'^+_a\} = \{l'_{ij}, , t'^{ij}, l'^+_{ij}, t'^+_{ij}\}$ and Cartan subalgebra elements g'^i_0 having in mind the identification of $sp(2k)$ elements and ones of HS symmetry algebra $\mathcal{A}(Y(k), R^{1,d-1})$ given by the Eqs.(2.26).

Following to Poincare–Birkhoff–Witt theorem, we start to construct Verma module, based on Cartan decomposition of $sp(2k)$ $(i \leq j,\ l < m,\ i,j,l,m = 1, ..., k)$

$$sp(2k) = \{l'^+_{ij}, t'^+_{lm}\} \oplus \{g'^i_0\} \oplus \{l'_{ij}, t'_{lm}\} \equiv \mathcal{E}^-_k \oplus H_k \oplus \mathcal{E}^+_k.\text{[19]} \tag{A.1}$$

Note, that in contrast to the case of totally-symmetric bosonic HS fields on $\mathbb{R}^{1,d-1}$ the negative root vectors from $\mathcal{E}^-_k$ do not commute already for $k \geq 2$ (see, Refs. [32], [39]). However, we consider highest weight representation of the symplectic algebra $sp(2k)$ with highest weight vector $|0\rangle_V$, which must annihilate by the positive roots $E^{\alpha_i} \in \mathcal{E}^+_k$, and being by the proper one for the Cartan elements g^i_0,

$$E^{\alpha_i}|0\rangle_V = 0 \qquad\qquad g^i_0|0\rangle_V = h^i|0\rangle_V. \tag{A.2}$$

The general vector of Verma module $V(sp(2k))$ compactly written as, $|\vec{N}\rangle_V$, has the form in terms of occupation numbers,$|\vec{N}\rangle_V = |\vec{n}_{ij}, \vec{p}_{rs}\rangle_V$,

$$|\vec{n}_{ij}, \vec{p}_{rs}\rangle_V = |n_{11}, ..., n_{1k}, n_{22}, ..., n_{2k}, ..., n_{kk}; p_{12}, \ldots, p_{1k}, p_{23}, \ldots, p_{2k}, \ldots, p_{k-1k}\rangle_V \ , \tag{A.3}$$

where the coordinates n_{ij}, p_{rs} mean the exponents of corresponding negative root vector $E^{-\alpha_i} \in \mathcal{E}^-_k$, determined as

$$|\vec{N}\rangle_V \equiv \prod_{i,j=1, i\leq j}^{k} (l'^+_{ij})^{n_{ij}} \prod_{r=1}^{k-1}\Big[\prod_{s=r+1}^{k} (t'^+_{rs})^{p_{rs}}\Big]|0\rangle_V, \tag{A.4}$$

---

[19] we may consider $sp(2k)$ in Cartan-Weyl basis for unified description, however without loss of generality the basis elements and structure constants of the algebra under consideration will be chosen as in the table 1.

and non-negative integers n_{ij}, p_{rs}. It is easy to obtain the result of the action of negative root vectors, i.e. $(l'^{+}_{i'j'}, t'^{+}_{r's'})$ and Cartan generators, g'^{i}_{0} on $|\vec{N}\rangle_V$

$$\begin{aligned}
l'^{+}_{i'j'}|\vec{N}\rangle_V &= \Big|\vec{N} + \delta_{i'j',ij}\rangle_V \ , && \text{(A.5)}\\
t'^{+}_{r's'}|\vec{N}\rangle_V &= |\vec{n}_{ij}, \vec{p}_{rs} + \delta_{r's',rs}\rangle_V - \sum_{k'=1}^{r'-1} p_{k'r'}\, |\vec{n}_{ij}, \vec{p}_{rs} - \delta_{k'r',rs} + \delta_{k's',rs}\rangle_V && \text{(A.6)}\\
&\quad - \sum_{k'=1}^{k} (1+\delta_{k'r'}) n_{r'k'} \Big|\vec{N} - \delta_{r'k',ij} + \delta_{s'k',ij}\rangle_V {}^{20}\ , &&\\
g'_{0i}|\vec{N}\rangle_V &= \Big(\sum_{l}(1+\delta_{il})n_{il} - \sum_{s>i} p_{is} + \sum_{r<i} p_{ri} + h^{i}\Big) \Big|\vec{N}\rangle_V \ . && \text{(A.7)}
\end{aligned}$$

In deriving, two last relations we have used the algebraic relations for $sp(2k)$ from table 1 and the formula for the product of operators A, B, $n \geq 0$,

$$AB^{n} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!} B^{n-k} \mathrm{ad}^{k}_{B} A\,, \ \ \mathrm{ad}^{k}_{B} A = [[\ldots[A, \overbrace{B\}, \ldots\}, B\}}^{k\,\text{times}}, \qquad \text{(A.8)}$$

Second, the Eq.(A.8) permits to find both the identities,

$$l'_{l'm'} \Big|\vec{0}_{ij}, \vec{p}_{rs}\rangle_V = 0 \qquad \text{(A.9)}$$

and the equation in acting of the positive root vectors $t'_{l'm'}$ on the vector $|\vec{0}_{ij}, \vec{p}_{rs}\rangle_V$ (due to non-commutativity of the negative root vectors t'^{+}_{rs} among each other) in the form,

$$\begin{aligned}
t'_{l'm'}|\vec{0}_{ij}, \vec{p}_{rs}\rangle_V &= \Big|C^{l'm'}_{\vec{p}_{rs}}\rangle_V - \sum_{n'=1}^{l'-1} p_{n'm'} \Big|\vec{0}_{ij}, \vec{p}_{rs} - \delta_{n'm',rs} + \delta_{n'l',rs}\rangle_V \\
&\quad + \sum_{k'=l'+1}^{m'-1} p_{l'k'} \Big[\prod_{r'<l', s'>r'} \ \prod_{r'=l', m'>s'>r'} \big(t'^{+}_{r's'}\big)^{p_{r's'} - \delta_{l'k',r's'}}\Big] t'_{k'm'} \\
&\quad \times \prod_{q'=l', t'\geq m'} \ \prod_{q'>l', t'>q'} \big(t'^{+}_{q't'}\big)^{p_{q't'}} \, |0\rangle_V \ , \qquad \text{(A.10)}
\end{aligned}$$

where the vector $\Big|C^{l'm'}_{\vec{p}_{rs}}\rangle_V$, $l' < m'$, is determined as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Big|C^{l'm'}_{\vec{p}_{rs}}\rangle_V &= p_{l'm'}\Big(h^{l'} - h^{m'} - \sum_{k'=m'+1}^{k} (p_{l'k'} + p_{m'k'}) + \sum_{k'=l'+1}^{m'-1} p_{k'm'} - p_{l'm'} + 1\Big) \times \\
&\quad \times \Big|\vec{0}_{ij}, \vec{p}_{rs} - \delta_{l'm',rs}\rangle_V + \sum_{k'=m'+1}^{k} p_{l'k'} \Big\{\Big|\vec{0}_{ij}, \vec{p}_{rs} - \delta_{l'k',rs} + \delta_{m'k',rs}\rangle_V \\
&\quad - \sum_{n'=1}^{m'-1} p_{n'm'} \Big|\vec{0}_{ij}, \vec{p}_{rs} - \delta_{l'k',rs} - \delta_{n'm',rs} + \delta_{n'k',rs}\rangle_V \Big\}. \qquad \text{(A.11)}
\end{aligned}$$

---

[20] explicitly, for instance, the notation for the vectors $\Big|\vec{N} + \delta_{i'j',ij}\rangle_V$ in the Eq.(A.5) means subject to definition (A.3) increasing of only the coordinate n_{ij} in the vector $|\vec{N}\rangle_V$, for $i = i', j = j'$, on unit with unchanged values of the rest ones, whereas the vector $|\vec{n}_{ij}, \vec{p}_{rs} - \delta_{k'r',rs} + \delta_{k's',rs}\rangle_V$ implies increasing of the coordinate p_{rs}, for $r = k', s = s'$, on unit and decreasing on unit the coordinate p_{rs}, for $r = k', s = r'$, with unchanged values of the rest coordinates in $|\vec{N}\rangle_V$.

The recurrent relation (A.10) maybe easily resolved so the solution has the form,

$$
\begin{aligned}
t'_{l'm'}|\vec{0}_{ij},\vec{p}_{rs}\rangle_V \;=\;& \sum_{p=0}^{m'-l'-1}\sum_{k'_1=l'+1}^{m'-1}\cdots\sum_{k'_p=l'+p}^{m'-1}\prod_{j=1}^{p} p_{k'_{j-1}k'_j}\left|C^{k'_p m'}_{\vec{p}_{rs}-\sum_{j=1}^{p+1}\delta_{k'_{j-1}k'_j,rs}}\right\rangle_V \\
&-\sum_{n'=1}^{l'-1} p_{n'm'}\left|\vec{0}_{ij},\vec{p}_{rs}-\delta_{n'm',rs}+\delta_{n'l',rs}\right\rangle_V\ , \qquad k'_0\equiv l'.
\end{aligned}
\tag{A.12}
$$

Therefore the final result for the action of $t'_{l'm'}$ on a vector $|\vec{N}\rangle_V$ maybe written as follows,

$$
\begin{aligned}
t'_{l'm'}|\vec{N}\rangle_V \;=\;& -\sum_{k'=1}^{k}(1+\delta_{k'm'})n_{k'm'}|\vec{n}_{ij}-\delta_{k'm',ij}+\delta_{k'l',ij},\vec{p}_{rs}\rangle_V \\
&+\sum_{p=0}^{m'-l'-1}\sum_{k'_1=l'+1}^{m'-1}\cdots\sum_{k'_p=l'+p}^{m'-1}\prod_{j=1}^{p} p_{k'_{j-1}k'_j}\left|C^{k'_p m'}_{\vec{n}_{ij},\vec{p}_{rs}-\sum_{j=1}^{p+1}\delta_{k'_{j-1}k'_j,rs}}\right\rangle_V \\
&-\sum_{n'=1}^{l'-1} p_{n'm'}\,|\vec{n}_{ij},\vec{p}_{rs}-\delta_{n'm',rs}+\delta_{n'l',rs}\rangle_V\ .
\end{aligned}
\tag{A.13}
$$

Now, it is easy to derive the rest formulae for the positive root vectors $l'_{l'm'}$, for $l'=m'$, account of the relations (A.9)

$$
\begin{aligned}
l'_{l'l'}|\vec{N}\rangle_V = &-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{k'=1}^{l'-1} n_{k'l'}\Bigg[-\sum_{n'=1}^{k'-1} p_{n'l'}\,|\vec{n}_{ij}-\delta_{k'l',ij},\vec{p}_{rs}-\delta_{n'l',rs}+\delta_{n'k',rs}\rangle_V \\
&+\sum_{p=0}^{l'-k'-1}\sum_{k'_1=k'+1}^{l'-1}\cdots\sum_{k'_p=k'+p}^{l'-1}\prod_{j=1}^{p} p_{k'_{j-1}k'_j}\left|C^{k'_p l'}_{\vec{n}_{ij}-\delta_{k'l',ij},\vec{p}_{rs}-\sum_{j=1}^{p+1}\delta_{k'_{j-1}k'_j,rs}}\right\rangle_V \\
&-\sum_{n'=k'+1}^{k}(1+\delta_{n'l'})n_{n'l'}|\vec{n}_{ij}-\delta_{k'l',ij}-\delta_{n'l',ij}+\delta_{k'n',ij},\vec{p}_{rs}\rangle_V\Bigg] \\
&+n_{l'l'}\left(n_{l'l'}-1+\sum_{k'>l'}n_{k'l'}-\sum_{s>l'}p_{l's}+\sum_{r<l'}p_{rl'}+h^{l'}\right)\left|\vec{N}-\delta_{l'l',lm}\right\rangle_V \\
&-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{k'=l'+1}^{k} n_{l'k'}\Bigg[|\vec{n}_{ij}-\delta_{l'k',ij},\vec{p}_{rs}+\delta_{l'k',rs}\rangle_V \\
&-\sum_{n'=1}^{l'-1} p_{n'l'}\,|\vec{n}_{ij}-\delta_{l'k',ij},\vec{p}_{rs}-\delta_{n'l',rs}+\delta_{n'k',rs}\rangle_V \\
&-\sum_{n'=k'+1}^{k}(1+\delta_{n'l'})n_{n'l'}\left|\vec{N}-\delta_{l'k',lm}-\delta_{l'n',lm}+\delta_{k'n',lm}\right\rangle_V\Bigg] \\
&+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{k'=1,k'\neq l'}^{k}\frac{n_{l'k'}(n_{l'k'}-1)}{2}\left|\vec{N}-2\delta_{l'k',lm}+\delta_{k'k',lm}\right\rangle_V\ ,
\end{aligned}
\tag{A.14}
$$

and for $l' \neq m'$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
l'_{l'm'}\big|\vec{N}\big\rangle_V = {} & -\frac{1}{4}\sum_{k'=1}^{m'-1}(1+\delta_{k'l'})n_{k'l'}\Bigg[-\sum_{n'=1}^{k'-1} p_{n'm'}\,|\vec{n}_{ij}-\delta_{k'l',ij},\vec{p}_{rs}-\delta_{n'm',rs}+\delta_{n'k',rs}\rangle_V \\
& + \sum_{p=0}^{m'-k'-1}\ \sum_{k'_1=k'+1}^{m'-1}\cdots\sum_{k'_p=k'+p}^{m'-1}\prod_{j=1}^{p} p_{k'_{j-1}k'_j}\,\Big|C^{k'_p m'}_{\vec{n}_{ij}-\delta_{k'l',ij},\vec{p}_{rs}-\sum_{j=1}^{p+1}\delta_{k'_{j-1}k'_j,rs}}\Big\rangle_V \\
& - \sum_{n'=k'}^{k}(1+\delta_{n'm'})n_{n'm'}|\vec{n}_{ij}-\delta_{k'l',ij}-\delta_{n'm',ij}+\delta_{k'n',ij},\vec{p}_{rs}\rangle_V\Bigg] \\
& -\frac{1}{4}\sum_{k'=m'+1}^{k} n_{l'k'}\Bigg[|\vec{n}_{ij}-\delta_{l'k',ij},\vec{p}_{rs}+\delta_{m'k',rs}\rangle_V \\
& - \sum_{n'=1}^{m'-1} p_{n'm'}\,|\vec{n}_{ij}-\delta_{l'k',ij},\vec{p}_{rs}-\delta_{n'm',rs}+\delta_{n'k',rs}\rangle_V \\
& - \sum_{n'=l'+1}^{k}(1+\delta_{n'm'})n_{m'n'}\,|\vec{n}_{ij}-\delta_{l'k',ij}-\delta_{n'm',ij}+\delta_{k'n',ij}\rangle_V\Bigg] \\
& +\frac{1}{4}n_{l'm'}\Big(n_{l'm'}-1+\sum_{k'>l'}(1+\delta_{k'm'})n_{k'm'}+\sum_{k'>m'}n_{l'k'}-\sum_{s>l'}p_{l's} \\
& -\sum_{s>m'}p_{m's}+\sum_{r<l'}p_{rl'}+\sum_{r<m'}p_{rm'}+h^{l'}+h^{m'}\Big)\big|\vec{n}_{ij}-\delta_{l'm',ij},\vec{p}_{rs}\big\rangle_V \\
& -\frac{1}{4}\sum_{k'=1}^{l'-1} n_{k'm'}\Bigg[-\sum_{n'=1}^{k'-1} p_{n'l'}\,|\vec{n}_{ij}-\delta_{k'm',ij},\vec{p}_{rs}-\delta_{n'l',rs}+\delta_{n'k',rs}\rangle_V \\
& + \sum_{p=0}^{l'-k'-1}\ \sum_{k'_1=k'+1}^{l'-1}\cdots\sum_{k'_p=k'+p}^{l'-1}\prod_{j=1}^{p} p_{k'_{j-1}k'_j}\,\Big|C^{k'_p l'}_{\vec{n}_{ij}-\delta_{k'm',ij},\vec{p}_{rs}-\sum_{j=1}^{p+1}\delta_{k'_{j-1}k'_j,rs}}\Big\rangle_V \\
& - \sum_{n'=k'+1}^{k}(1+\delta_{n'l'})n_{n'l'}|\vec{n}_{ij}-\delta_{k'm',ij}-\delta_{n'l',ij}+\delta_{k'n',ij},\vec{p}_{rs}\rangle_V\Bigg] \\
& -\frac{1}{4}\sum_{k'=l'+1}^{k}(1+\delta_{k'm'})n_{m'k'}\Bigg[|\vec{n}_{ij}-\delta_{m'k',ij},\vec{p}_{rs}+\delta_{l'k',rs}\rangle_V \\
& - \sum_{n'=1}^{l'-1} p_{\ n'l'}\,|\vec{n}_{ij}-\delta_{m'k',ij},\vec{p}_{rs}-\delta_{n'l',rs}+\delta_{n'k',rs}\rangle_V\Bigg].
\end{aligned}
\tag{A.15}
$$

So, the formulae (A.5)– (A.7), (A.13) – (A.15) completely solve the problem of auxiliary representation (Verma module) construction for the symplectic $sp(2k)$ algebra.

A.1 note on additional parts construction for massive HS fields

To solve the same problem as above described in the Appendix A, but for auxiliary representation construction for HS symmetry massive algebra $\mathcal{A}(Y(k),\mathbb{R}^{1,d-1})$ we may to enlarge the Cartan decomposition (A.1) up to one for $\mathcal{A}(Y(k),\mathbb{R}^{1,d-1})$. Then we could make all the same steps again with only the fact, that the Cartan subalgebra would now contain the element l'_0

whereas the highest weight vector $|0\rangle_V$ and basis vector $|\vec{N}^m\rangle_V$ of $\mathcal{A}(Y(k),\mathbb{R}^{1,d-1})$ in addition to definitions (A.2)–(A.4) determines as follows,

$$l'_i|0\rangle_V = 0 \qquad\qquad l'_0|0\rangle_V = m^2|0\rangle_V, \tag{A.16}$$

$$|\vec{N}^m\rangle_V \sim \prod_i^k\bigl(\tfrac{l'^+_i}{m_i}\bigr)^{n_i}|\vec{N}\rangle_V, \tag{A.17}$$

for some parametes $m_i \in \mathbb{R}_+$ of dimension of mass, so that central charge m^2 in the initial algebra $\mathcal{A}(Y(k),\mathbb{R}^{1,d-1})$ will vanish in the converted algebra $\mathcal{A}_c(Y(k),\mathbb{R}^{1,d-1})$ because of the additive composition law

$$m^2 \to M^2 = m^2 + m'^2 = 0, \qquad\qquad l_0 \to L_0 = l_0 + l'_0 = l_0 + m^2, \tag{A.18}$$

for the central elements m^2, m'^2 and Casimir operators l_0, l'_0 respectively of the original algebra of o_I and algebra of additional parts o'_I.

B Oscillator realization of the additional parts in a new Fock space

Following general Burdik's result of Refs. [55] and making use of the mapping between basis of Verma module for $sp(2k)$ given by $|\vec{N}\rangle_V$ (A.4) and one in new Fock space $\mathcal{H}'$,

$$|\vec{n}_{ij},\vec{p}_{rs}\rangle_V \leftrightarrow |\vec{n}_{ij},\vec{p}_{rs}\rangle\,, \qquad |\vec{n}_{ij},\vec{p}_{rs}\rangle = \prod_{i,j\geq i}^k \bigl(b^+_{ij}\bigr)^{n_{ij}} \prod_{r,s,s>r}^k \bigl(d^+_{rs}\bigr)^{p_{rs}}|0\rangle\,, \tag{B.1}$$

where the vector $|\vec{n}_{ij},\vec{p}_{rs}\rangle$, first, has the same structure as the vector $|\vec{N}\rangle_V$ in the Eq.(A.3), for $n_{ij}, p_{rs} \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and, second, appears by the basis vectors of a Fock space $\mathcal{H}'$ generated by new bosonic, $b^+_{ij}, d^+_{rs}, b_{ij}, d_{rs}$, $i,j,r,s = 1,\ldots,k; i\leq j; r<s$, creation and annihilation operators with the only nonvanishing commutation relations

$$[b_{i_1j_1}, b^+_{i_2j_2}] = \delta_{i_1i_2}\delta_{j_1j_2}\,, \qquad [d_{r_1s_1}\,, d^+_{r_2s_2}] = \delta_{r_1r_2}\delta_{s_1s_2}\,, \tag{B.2}$$

we can represent the action of the elements o'_I on $|\vec{N}\rangle_V$ given by the Eqs. (A.5)– (A.7), (A.13) – (A.15) as polynomials in the creation operators of the Fock space $\mathcal{H}'$. The only requirement on the number of pairs of the above bosonic operators that it must coincides with one for pairs of second-class constraints, i.e. with the numbers of negative (or positive) root vectors in Cartan decomposition of $sp(2k)$.

Finally, the oscillator realization of the elements o'_I may be uniquely presented as follows, for Cartan elements and negative root vectors,

$$g'^i_0 \;=\; \sum_{l\leq m} b^+_{lm}b_{lm}(\delta^{il}+\delta^{im}) + \sum_{r<s} d^+_{rs}d_{rs}(\delta^{is}-\delta^{ir}) + h^i\,, \tag{B.3}$$

$$t'^+_{lm} \;=\; d^+_{lm} - \sum_{n=1}^{l-1} d_{nl}d^+_{nm} - \sum_{n=1}^{k}(1+\delta_{nl})b^+_{nm}b_{ln}\,, \tag{B.4}$$

$$l'^+_{ij} \;=\; b^+_{ij}\,, \tag{B.5}$$

for the elements l'_{lm} of upper-triangular subalgebra $\mathcal{E}^{+}_{k}$ separately, for $l = m$ and for $l < m$

$$\begin{aligned}
l'_{ll} \ =\ & \frac{1}{4}\sum_{n=1,n\neq l}^{k} b^{+}_{nn} b^{2}_{ln} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{n=1}^{l-1}\Big[\sum_{n'=1}^{n-1} d^{+}_{n'n} d_{n'l} + \sum_{n'=n+1}^{k}(1+\delta_{n'l}) b^{+}_{nn'} b_{n'l} \qquad \text{(B.6)}\\
& - \sum_{p=0}^{l-n-1}\sum_{k_1=n+1}^{l-1}\ldots\sum_{k_p=n+p}^{l-1} C^{k_p l}(d^{+},d)\prod_{j=1}^{p} d_{k_{j-1}k_j}\Big] b_{nl}\\
& + \left(\sum_{n=l}^{k} b^{+}_{nl} b_{nl} - \sum_{s>l} d^{+}_{ls} d_{ls} + \sum_{r<l} d^{+}_{rl} d_{rl} + h^{l}\right) b_{ll}\\
& -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{n=l+1}^{k}\Big[d^{+}_{ln} - \sum_{n'=1}^{l-1} d^{+}_{n'n} d_{n'l} - \sum_{n'=n+1}^{k}(1+\delta_{n'l}) b^{+}_{n'n} b_{n'l}\Big] b_{ln},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
l'_{lm} \ =\ & -\frac{1}{4}\textstyle\sum\limits_{n=1}^{m-1}(1+\delta_{nl})\Big[-\textstyle\sum\limits_{n'=1}^{n-1} d^{+}_{n'n} d_{n'm} - \sum_{n'=n}^{k}(1+\delta_{n'm}) b^{+}_{n'n} b_{n'm} \qquad \text{(B.7)}\\
& + \sum_{p=0}^{m-n-1}\sum_{k_1=n+1}^{m-1}\ldots\sum_{k_p=n+p}^{m-1} C^{k_p m}(d^{+},d)\prod_{j=1}^{p} d_{k_{j-1}k_j}\Big] b_{nl}\\
& -\frac{1}{4}\textstyle\sum\limits_{n=m+1}^{k}\Big[d^{+}_{mn} - \textstyle\sum\limits_{n'=1}^{m-1} d^{+}_{n'n} d_{n'm} - \sum_{n'=l+1}^{k}(1+\delta_{n'm}) b^{+}_{n'n} b_{mn'}\Big] b_{ln}\\
& +\frac{1}{4}\Big(\sum_{n=m}^{k} b^{+}_{ln} b_{ln} + \sum_{n=l+1}^{k}(1+\delta_{nm}) b^{+}_{nm} b_{nm} - \sum_{s>l} d^{+}_{ls} d_{ls} - \sum_{s>m} d^{+}_{ms} d_{ms}\\
& + \sum_{r<l} d^{+}_{rl} d_{rl} + \sum_{r<m} d^{+}_{rm} d_{rm} + h^{l} + h^{m}\Big) b_{lm}\\
& -\frac{1}{4}\textstyle\sum\limits_{n=1}^{l-1}\Big[-\textstyle\sum\limits_{n'=1}^{n-1} d^{+}_{n'n} d_{n'l} + \sum_{p=0}^{l-n-1}\sum_{k_1=n+1}^{l-1}\ldots\sum_{k_p=n+p}^{l-1} C^{k_p l}(d^{+},d)\prod_{j=1}^{p} d_{k_{j-1}k_j}\\
& - \sum_{n'=n+1}^{k}(1+\delta_{n'l}) b^{+}_{n'n} b_{n'l}\Big] b_{nm} - \frac{1}{4}\textstyle\sum\limits_{n=l+1}^{k}(1+\delta_{nm})\Big[d^{+}_{ln} - \textstyle\sum\limits_{n'=1}^{l-1} d^{+}_{n'n} d_{n'l}\Big] b_{mn},
\end{aligned}$$

and for the "mixed symmetry" elements t'_{lm},

$$\begin{aligned}
t'_{lm} \ =\ & -\sum_{n=1}^{l-1} d^{+}_{nl} d_{nm} + \sum_{p=0}^{m-l-1}\sum_{k_1=l+1}^{m-1}\ldots\sum_{k_p=l+p}^{m-1} C^{k_p m}(d^{+},d)\prod_{j=1}^{p} d_{k_{j-1}k_j} \qquad \text{(B.8)}\\
& -\sum_{n=1}^{k}(1+\delta_{nm}) b^{+}_{nl} b_{nm}\,, \qquad k_0 \equiv l,
\end{aligned}$$

where the vector $\big|C^{lm}_{\vec{p}_{rs}}\rangle_V$, $l < m$ given in (A.11), is transformed to the operator $C^{lm}(d, d^{+})$ given by the Eq. (3.8).

Thus, we have obtained the expressions of the additional parts $o'_I(B, B^{+})$ (3.2)–(3.7) for the operator algebra $sp(2k)$ given by the table 1.

Let us find an explicit expression for the operator K' used in the definition of the scalar product (3.12) and given in an exact form in (3.14).

One can show by direct calculation that the following relation holds true:

$$ {}_V\langle \vec{n}'_{lm}, \vec{p}'_{rs}\, |\vec{n}_{lm}, \vec{p}_{rs}\rangle_V \sim \prod_{l=1}^{k} \delta^{\sum_i (1+\delta_{il}) n_{il} - \sum_{i>l} p_{li} + \sum_{i<l} p_{il}}_{\sum_i (1+\delta_{il}) n'_{il} - \sum_{i>l} p'_{li} + \sum_{i<l} p'_{il}}\,. \qquad \text{(B.9)}$$

For practical calculations for low values of sets of k numbers

$$(\sum_i(1+\delta_{i1})n_{1i}-\sum_{i>1}p_{1i},\sum_i(1+\delta_{i2})n_{2i}-\sum_{i>2}p_{2i}+p_{12},...,\sum_i(1+\delta_{ik})n_{ik}+\sum_{i<k}p_{ik}), \quad \text{(B.10)}$$

with p_{rt}, n_{ij} being the numbers of "particles" associated with d^+_{rt}, b^+_{ij} for $i \leq j, r < t$ (where d^+_{rt} reduces the spin number s_r by one unit and increases the spin number s_t by one unit simultaneously), the operator K' reads with use of normalization condition ${}_V\langle 0|0\rangle_V = 1$

$$\begin{aligned}
K' \;&=\; |0\rangle\langle 0| + \sum_{r<s}(h^r-h^s)d^+_{rs}|0\rangle\langle 0|d_{rs} + \sum_{i\leq j}\Big(h^i(1+2\delta^{ij})+h^j\Big)b^+_{ij}|0\rangle\langle 0|b_{ij} \qquad \text{(B.11)}\\
&+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{l<i}(h^i-h^l)\Big(b^+_{ii}|0\rangle\langle 0|b_{li}d_{li}+b^+_{li}d^+_{li}0\rangle\langle 0|b_{ii}\Big)\\
&+\frac{1}{4}\sum_{i<j}b^+_{ij}|0\rangle\langle 0|\Big(\sum_{l<i}b_{lj}d_{li}(h^i-h^l)+(1+\delta^{li})\sum_{l<j}(h^j-h^l)b_{il}d_{lj}\Big)\\
&+\frac{1}{4}\sum_{i<j}\Big(\sum_{l<i}b^+_{lj}d^+_{li}|0\rangle\langle 0|(h^i-h^l)+\sum_{l<j}b^+_{lj}d^+_{li}|0\rangle\langle 0|(1+\delta^{li})(h^j-h^l)\Big)b_{ij}+\ldots.
\end{aligned}$$

The above expression for the operator K' may be used to construct LF for HS fields with low value of spin.

Thus, we have found the auxiliary scalar representation of the symplectic algebra $sp(2k)$ for the additional parts of the constraints o'_I in the new Fock space $\mathcal{H}'$.

C Reduction to the initial irreducible relations

Here, we consider preferably massive case, making then comments on massless HS fields. We show that the equations of motion (3.15), (2.3)–(2.5) [or equivalently (2.8), for $\tilde{l}_0 = l_0 + m^2$, and (2.9)] can be obtained from the Lagrangian (5.21) after gauge-fixing and removing the auxiliary fields by using a part of the equations of motion. Let us start with gauge-fixing.

C.1 Gauge-fixing

Let us consider the field $|\chi^l\rangle$, for $l = 1, ..., k(k+1)$, at some fixed values of the spin $(n_1, \ldots, n_k)$. In this section we will omit the subscripts associated with the eigenvalues of the σ_i operators (5.16). Then we extract dependence of Q (5.2) on zero-mode ghosts η_0 and $\mathcal{P}_0$

$$Q \;=\; \eta_0 L_0 + \imath\sum_m \eta^+_m\eta^m\mathcal{P}_0 + \Delta Q \qquad \text{(C.1)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta Q \;&=\; \eta^+_i L^i + \sum_{l\leq m}\eta^+_{lm}L^{lm} + \sum_{l<m}\vartheta^+_{lm}T^{lm} - \sum_{i<l<j}(\vartheta^+_{lj}\vartheta^{+l}_i - \vartheta^+_{il}\vartheta^{+l}{}_j)\lambda^{ij} \qquad \text{(C.2)}\\
&- \sum_{l<n<m}\vartheta^+_{lm}\vartheta^l{}_n\lambda^{nm} + \sum_{n<l<m}\vartheta^+_{lm}\vartheta_n{}^m\lambda^{+nl} - \sum_{n,l<m}(1+\delta_{ln})\vartheta^+_{lm}\eta^{l+}{}_n\mathcal{P}^{mn}\\
&+ \sum_{n,l<m}(1+\delta_{mn})\vartheta^+_{lm}\eta^m{}_n\mathcal{P}^{+ln} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{l<m,n\leq m}\eta^+_{nm}\eta^n{}_l\lambda^{lm}\\
&-\Big(\frac{1}{2}\sum_{l\leq m}(1+\delta_{lm})\eta^m\eta^+_{lm} + \sum_{l<m}\vartheta_{lm}\eta^{+m} + \sum_{m<l}\vartheta^+_{ml}\eta^{+m}\Big)\mathcal{P}^l + Herm.C.
\end{aligned}$$

and do the same for the fields and gauge parameters

$$|\chi^l\rangle = |S^l\rangle + \eta_0|B^l\rangle. \tag{C.3}$$

Then the equations of motion and gauge transformations (5.18)–(5.19) can be rewritten as follows

$$\delta|S^{l-1}\rangle = \Delta Q|S^l\rangle - \sum_m \eta^+_m\eta^m|B^l\rangle \qquad \delta|S^{-1}\rangle \equiv 0, \tag{C.4}$$

$$\delta|B^{l-1}\rangle = L_0|S^l\rangle - \Delta Q|B^l\rangle \qquad \delta|B^{-1}\rangle \equiv 0, \tag{C.5}$$

for $l = 0, \ldots, k(k+1)$.

As the following step, we consider the lowest level gauge transformation

$$\delta|S^{k(k+1)-1}\rangle = \Delta Q|S^{k(k+1)}\rangle, \qquad \delta|B^{k(k+1)-1}\rangle = L_0|S^{k(k+1)}\rangle, \tag{C.6}$$

where due to the ghost number restriction one has used that $|B^{k(k+1)}\rangle \equiv 0$. Extracting explicitly dependence of the gauge parameters and of the operator ΔQ (C.3) on η_{11}, $\mathcal{P}^+_{11}$ ghost coordinate and momentum

$$|\chi^l\rangle = |\chi^l_0\rangle + P^+_{11}|\chi^l_1\rangle, \qquad \Delta Q = \Delta Q_{11} + \eta_{11}T^+_{11} + U_{11}\mathcal{P}^+_{11}, \tag{C.7}$$

where the quantities $|\chi^l_0\rangle$, $|\chi^l_1\rangle$, T^+_{11}, U_{11}, ΔQ_{11} do not depend on η_{11}, $\mathcal{P}^+_{11}$ we obtain the gauge transformation of $|S^{k(k+1)-1}_0\rangle$ [with the same decomposition for $|S^{k(k+1)-1}\rangle$, $|S^{k(k+1)-1}\rangle = |S^{k(k+1)-1}_0\rangle + \mathcal{P}^+_{11}|S^{k(k+1)-1}_1\rangle$ as one for $|\chi^l\rangle$ (C.7)]

$$\delta|S^{k(k+1)-1}_0\rangle \;=\; T^+_{11}|S^{k(k+1)}_1\rangle. \tag{C.8}$$

Here we have used that $|S^{k(k+1)}_0\rangle \equiv 0$ due to the ghost number restriction. Since $T^+_{11} = L^+_{11} + O(\mathcal{C}) = b^+_{11} + \ldots$, as it's follows from the structure of ΔQ in Eq. (C.3), we can remove dependence of $|S^{k(k+1)-1}_0\rangle$ on b^+_{11} operator using all the degrees of freedom of $|S^{k(k+1)}_1\rangle$. Therefore, after the gauge fixing at the lowest level of the gauge transformations we have conditions on $|S^{k(k+1)-1}_0\rangle$

$$b_{11}|S^{k(k+1)-1}_0\rangle = 0 \iff b_{11}\mathcal{P}^+_{11}|\chi^{k(k+1)-1}\rangle = 0, \tag{C.9}$$

so that the theory became by the $k(k+1)-1$-reducible gauge theory.

Let us turn to the next level of the gauge transformation. Extracting explicit dependence of the gauge parameters and ΔQ on η_{11}, $\mathcal{P}^+_{11}$, η_{12}, $\mathcal{P}^+_{12}$ and using similar arguments as at the previous level of the gauge transformation one can show that the gauge on $|\chi^{k(k+1)-2}\rangle$

$$b_{11}\mathcal{P}^+_{11}|\chi^{k(k+1)-2}\rangle = 0, \qquad b_{12}\mathcal{P}^+_{11}\mathcal{P}^+_{12}|\chi^{k(k+1)-2}\rangle = 0. \tag{C.10}$$

can be imposed. To obtain these gauge conditions all degrees of freedom of the gauge parameters $|\chi^{k(k+1)-1}\rangle$ restricted by the Eq.(C.9) have to be used.

Applying an above described procedure one can obtain step by step, first, for $l = k(k+1)-3$,

$$b_{11}\mathcal{P}^+_{11}|\chi^l\rangle = 0, \quad b_{12}\mathcal{P}^+_{11}\mathcal{P}^+_{12}|\chi^l\rangle = 0, \quad b_{13}\prod_i^3 \mathcal{P}^+_{1i}|\chi^l\rangle = 0. \tag{C.11}$$

Then, for $l = k(k+1)-4$

$$\left(b_{11}\mathcal{P}^+_{11},\ b_{12}\prod_i^2 \mathcal{P}^+_{1i},\ b_{13}\prod_i^3 \mathcal{P}^+_{1i},\ b_{14}\prod_i^4 \mathcal{P}^+_{1i}\right)|\chi^l\rangle = 0. \tag{C.12}$$

Defining the set of the operators used in (C.9)–(C.12) by the rule,

$$[\mathcal{A}^l] = \Big(b_{11}\mathcal{P}^+_{11}, ..., b_{1k}\prod_{i}^{k}\mathcal{P}^+_{1i}, \ldots, b_{k-1k}\prod_{i}^{k-1}\mathcal{P}^+_{ik}\prod_{i,j=1, i\leq j}^{k-1}\mathcal{P}^+_{ij}, b_{kk}\prod_{i,j=1, i\leq j}^{k}\mathcal{P}^+_{ij}\Big), l = 1, ..., \tfrac{k(k+1)}{2}, \tag{C.13}$$

(where, for instance $(k+1)$-th component of the set $[\mathcal{A}^l]$ is equal to $\mathcal{A}^{k+1} = b_{22}\mathcal{P}^+_{22}\prod_i^k \mathcal{P}^+_{1i}$) we may rewrite equivalently gauge conditions (C.9)–(C.12) and all subsequent ones which are based on the decomposition of the gauge parameters in all the ghost momenta $P^+_{ij}, i \leq j$, as follows,

$$[\mathcal{A}^l]|\chi^{k(k+1)-l}\rangle = 0, \ \ \texttt{for} \ l = 1, \ldots, \frac{k(k+1)}{2}. \tag{C.14}$$

Next, we apply the same procedure as above but starting from the gauge parameter $|\chi^{\frac{k(k+1)}{2}-1}\rangle$ and extract from it, from the operator ΔQ (C.3) of the ghost coordinates and momenta η_{ij}, $\mathcal{P}^+_{ij}$, $i \leq j$ and η_1, $\mathcal{P}^+_1$. As a result, we have obtained the set of the gauge conditions on the parameter $|\chi^{\frac{k(k+1)}{2}-1}\rangle$,

$$\Big([\mathcal{A}^{\frac{1}{2}k(k+1)}], b_1\mathcal{P}^+_1\prod_{i,j=1, i\leq j}^{k}\mathcal{P}^+_{ij}\Big)|\chi^{\frac{k(k+1)}{2}-1}\rangle = 0. \tag{C.15}$$

Continue the process with ghosts η_1, η_2 $\mathcal{P}^+_1$, $\mathcal{P}^+_2$ and so on extraction we obtain the k sets of the gauge conditions on the parameters $|\chi^{\frac{k(k+1)}{2}-m}\rangle$, $m = 1, \ldots, k$

$$\Big([\mathcal{A}^{\frac{1}{2}k(k+1)}], b_1\mathcal{P}^+_1\prod_{i,j=1, i\leq j}^{k}\mathcal{P}^+_{ij}, b_2\prod_{m}^{2}\mathcal{P}^+_m\prod_{i,j=1, i\leq j}^{k}\mathcal{P}^+_{ij}\Big)|\chi^{\frac{k(k+1)}{2}-2}\rangle = 0, \tag{C.16}$$

$$\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots$$

$$\Big([\mathcal{A}^{\frac{1}{2}k(k+1)}], b_1\mathcal{P}^+_1\prod_{i,j=1, i\leq j}^{k}\mathcal{P}^+_{ij}, \ldots, b_k\prod_{m}^{k}\mathcal{P}^+_m\prod_{i,j=1, i\leq j}^{k}\mathcal{P}^+_{ij}\Big)|\chi^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}}\rangle = 0. \tag{C.17}$$

At last, realizing the same algorithm as above but initiating from the gauge parameter $|\chi^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}-1}\rangle$ and extract from it, from the operator ΔQ (C.3) of the ghost coordinates and momenta η_m, $\mathcal{P}^+_m$, η_{ij}, $\mathcal{P}^+_{ij}$, $i \leq j$ and ϑ_{ps}, λ^+_{ps}, for $p < s$, we have obtained the $\frac{1}{2}k(k-3)$ sets of the gauge conditions on the parameter $|\chi^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}-m}\rangle$, for $m = 1, \ldots, \frac{1}{2}k(k-1) - 1$

$$\Big([\mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{2}k(k+3)}], d_{12}\lambda^+_{12}\prod_{m}^{k}\mathcal{P}^+_m\prod_{i,j=1, i\leq j}^{k}\mathcal{P}^+_{ij}\Big)|\chi^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}-1}\rangle = 0, \tag{C.18}$$

$$\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots\ldots$$

$$\Big([\mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{2}k(k+3)}], d_{12}\lambda^+_{12}\prod_{m}^{k}\mathcal{P}^+_m\prod_{i,j=1, i\leq j}^{k}\mathcal{P}^+_{ij}, \ldots, d_{k-2k}\prod_{r}^{k-2}\lambda^+_{rk}\prod_{p,s=1, p<s}^{k-1}\lambda^+_{ps}\prod_{m}^{k}\mathcal{P}^+_m\prod_{i,j=1, i\leq j}^{k}\mathcal{P}^+_{ij}\Big)|\chi^1\rangle = 0. \tag{C.19}$$

In the Eqs. (C.18), (C.19) the set of the operators $[\mathcal{B}^p]$ is determined from the Eqs. (C.17) as

$$[\mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{2}k(k+3)}] = \Big([\mathcal{A}^{\frac{1}{2}k(k+1)}], b_1\mathcal{P}^+_1\prod_{i,j=1, i\leq j}^{k}\mathcal{P}^+_{ij}, \ldots, b_k\prod_{m}^{k}\mathcal{P}^+_m\prod_{i,j=1, i\leq j}^{k}\mathcal{P}^+_{ij}\Big) \tag{C.20}$$

And finally we obtain gauge conditions on the field $|\chi^0\rangle$

$$\Big([\mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{2}k(k+3)}], d_{12}\lambda^+_{12}\prod_{m}^{k}\mathcal{P}^+_m\prod_{i,j=1, i\leq j}^{k}\mathcal{P}^+_{ij}, \ldots, d_{k-1k}\prod_{p,s=1, p<s}^{k}\lambda^+_{ps}\prod_{m}^{k}\mathcal{P}^+_m\prod_{i,j=1, i\leq j}^{k}\mathcal{P}^+_{ij}\Big)|\chi^0\rangle = 0. \tag{C.21}$$

Let us now turn to removing the auxiliary fields using the equations of motion.

C.2 Removing auxiliary fields by resolution of equations of motion

As the, first step, we decompose the fields $|S^0\rangle$ as follows

$$|S^0\rangle = |S^0_0\rangle + \mathcal{P}^+_{11}|S^0_1\rangle, \qquad |S^0_{(0)_{\frac{1}{2}k(k+1)}}\rangle = |S^0_{(0)_{\frac{1}{2}k(k+1)}0}\rangle + \mathcal{P}^+_1|S^0_{(0)_{\frac{1}{2}k(k+1)}1}\rangle, \tag{C.22}$$

$$|S^0_0\rangle = |S^0_{00}\rangle + \mathcal{P}^+_{12}|S^0_{01}\rangle, \qquad |S^0_{(0)_{\frac{1}{2}k(k+1)}0}\rangle = |S^0_{(0)_{\frac{1}{2}k(k+1)+2}}\rangle + \mathcal{P}^+_2|S^0_{(0)_{\frac{1}{2}k(k+1)}01}\rangle, \tag{C.23}$$

$$\ldots, \qquad\qquad \ldots,$$

$$|S^0_{(0)_k}\rangle = |S^0_{(0)_k0}\rangle + \mathcal{P}^+_{1k}|S^0_{(0)_k1}\rangle, \qquad |S^0_{(0)_{\frac{1}{2}k(k+3)}}\rangle = |S^0_{(0)_{\frac{1}{2}k(k+3)}0}\rangle + \mathcal{P}^+_k|S^0_{(0)_{\frac{1}{2}k(k+3)}1}\rangle, b \tag{C.24}$$

$$|S^0_{(0)_{k+1}}\rangle = |S^0_{(0)_{k+2}}\rangle + \mathcal{P}^+_{22}|S^0_{(0)_{k+1}1}\rangle, \qquad |S^0_{(0)_{\frac{1}{2}k(k+3)}0}\rangle = |S^0_{(0)_{\frac{1}{2}k(k+3)}00}\rangle + \lambda^+_{12}|S^0_{(0)_{\frac{1}{2}k(k+3)}01}\rangle, \tag{C.25}$$

$$\ldots, \qquad\qquad \ldots,$$

$$|S^0_{(0)_{\frac{1}{2}k(k+1)-1}}\rangle = |S^0_{(0)_{\frac{1}{2}k(k+1)}}\rangle + \mathcal{P}^+_{kk}|S^0_{(0)_{\frac{1}{2}k(k+1)-1}1}\rangle, \; |S^0_{(0)_{k(k+1)-1}}\rangle = |S^0_{(0)_{k(k+1)}}\rangle + \lambda^+_{k-1k}|S^0_{(0)_{k(k+1)-1}1}\rangle \tag{C.26}$$

and make the same for the vector $|B^0\rangle$

$$\begin{aligned} |B^0\rangle \;=\; & \mathcal{P}^+_{11}|B^0_1\rangle + \ldots . + \mathcal{P}^+_{1k}|B^0_{(0)_k1}\rangle + \mathcal{P}^+_{22}|B^0_{(0)_{k+1}1}\rangle + \ldots + \mathcal{P}^+_{kk}|B^0_{(0)_{\frac{1}{2}k(k+1)-1}1}\rangle \\ & + \mathcal{P}^+_1|B^0_{(0)_{\frac{1}{2}k(k+1)}1}\rangle + \ldots + \mathcal{P}^+_k|B^0_{(0)_{\frac{1}{2}k(k+3)}1}\rangle \\ & + \lambda^+_{12}|B^0_{(0)_{\frac{1}{2}k(k+3)}01}\rangle + \ldots + \lambda^+_{k-1k}|B^0_{(0)_{k(k+1)-1}1}\rangle, \end{aligned} \tag{C.27}$$

where the term independent of the ghost momenta is absent due to the ghost number condition, $gh(|B^0\rangle) = -1$ and spin value. One should be noted that due to $gh(|S^0\rangle) = 0$ and spin value, vector $|S^0_{(0)_{k(k+1)}}\rangle$ do not depend on the ghost coordinates and momenta as a consequence of the gauge conditions (C.21), $|S^0_{(0)_{k(k+1)}}\rangle = |\Phi\rangle$, with $|\Phi\rangle$ being the physical field (2.7).

After that, analogously to the fields we extract in ΔQ (C.3) first dependence on η_{11}, $\mathcal{P}^+_{11}$, η_{12}, $\mathcal{P}^+_{12}$, …, η_{1k}, $\mathcal{P}^+_{1k}$, next dependence on η_l, $\mathcal{P}^+_l$, $l = 1, \ldots, k$, and on ϑ_{ps}, λ^+_{ps}, $p < s$ respectively.

Substituting these $k(k+1)$ decompositions into the equation of motion

$$\tilde{l}_0|S^0\rangle - \Delta Q|B^0\rangle = 0 \tag{C.28}$$

and using the gauge conditions (C.21) one can show that first $|B^0_{(0)_{k(k+1)-1}1}\rangle = 0$, then $|B^0_{(0)_{k(k+1)-2}1}\rangle$ $= 0$, and so on till $|B^0_1\rangle = 0$ which means that

$$\tilde{l}_0|S^0\rangle = 0, \qquad |B^0\rangle = 0. \tag{C.29}$$

Analogously we consider the second equation of motion

$$\Delta Q|S^0\rangle = 0, \tag{C.30}$$

where $|B^0\rangle = 0$ has been used. After the same decomposition we derive one after another that

$$|S^0_{(0)_{k(k+1)-1}1}\rangle = |S^0_{(0)_{k(k+1)-2}1}\rangle = \ldots = |S^0_{01}\rangle = |S^0_1\rangle = 0. \tag{C.31}$$

Eqs. (C.29) and (C.31) mean that all the auxiliary fields vanish and as a result we have $|\chi^0\rangle_{(s)_k} = |\Phi\rangle$ and the equations of motion (3.15), (2.3)–(2.5) hold true. Therefore we proved that the space of BRST cohomologies of the operator Q (5.2) with a vanishing ghost number is determined only by the constraints corresponding to an irreducible Poincare-group representation.

One should be noted, that for the massless case the above proof of one-to-one correspondence of the Lagrangian equations of motion (5.17) to the Eqs. (2.2)–(2.5) is changed non-significantly because of the k gauge fixing conditions (C.15)–(C.17) do not hold and in the rest of the Eqs.(C.15)–(C.21) there are not the operators $b_k\mathcal{P}^+_l$. However, we can straightforward show on the validity of the same conclusion as for the massive HS fields for the Lagrangian formulation of the massless HS fields as well.

D Decomposition of field and gauge Fock space vectors for spin $\mathbf{s} = (2,1,1)$ tensor

We consider here only the structure of corresponding Fock space $\mathcal{H}_{tot}$ vectors $|\chi^0\rangle_{(2,1,1)}, |\chi^1\rangle_{(2,1,1)}, \ldots, |\chi^{12}\rangle_{(2,1,1)}$ in (5.18)–(5.19) for the example of Subsection 6.3 to be used for Lagrangian formulation for massless tensor $\Phi_{\mu\nu,\rho,\sigma}$ in d-dimensional flat space-time which is characterized by the Poincare group irreducible coniditions (2.2)–(2.5) and Young tableux $\begin{array}{|c|c|}\hline \mu & \nu \\ \hline \rho \\ \cline{1-1} \sigma \\ \cline{1-1}\end{array}$.

One should be noted, the maximal stage of reducibility $L_k = k(k+1) - 1$ for the tensor with k group of symmetric indices is reached only starting from the rectangular Young tableaux with length of any row equal to $(2k+1)$. Thus, for the case of $k = 3$ rows a value $L_3 = 11$ for nonvanishing vector $|\chi^{12}\rangle_{(s_1,s_2,s_3)}$ may appears for the Lagrangian construction starting from tensor with spin $\mathbf{s} = (7,7,7)$. In our case, of the tensor with spin $\mathbf{s} = (2,1,1)$, the nonvanishing gauge vector with minimal ghost number $gh_{\min}$ appears for $gh_{\min} = -5$. Indeed, it is easy to show that Eqs. (6.5), (6.6) have not admissible solutions among the set of non-negative integers $(n_l, n_{ij}, p_{rs}, n_{f0}, n_{fi}, n_{pj}, n_{flm}, n_{pno}, n_{frs}, n_{\lambda tu}, p_i)$, for $l,i,j,r,s,l,m,n,o,t,u = 1,2,3,\ i \le j, r < s, l \le m, n \le o, t < u$, in (5.7) and (2.7) for the vectors $|\chi^l\rangle_{(s)_3}$, $l > 5$.

Therefore, the gauge vector $|\chi^5\rangle_{(2,1,1)}$ from the general expression (5.7) subject to the conditions (6.5), (6.6) for the respective values $\mathbf{s} = (2,1,1)$ and $l = 5$ has the representation (below, we suppose for this example only that $(s)_3 \equiv (2,1,1)$)

$$|\chi^5\rangle_{(s)_3} \;=\; \mathcal{P}_1^+\mathcal{P}_2^+\mathcal{P}_{11}^+\lambda_{12}^+\lambda_{23}^+|\phi^5\rangle_{(0)}, \quad |\phi^5\rangle_{(0)} = |0\rangle\phi^5(x), \tag{D.1}$$

where, we have used the notation, $(0) \equiv (0,0,0)$, for $|\phi^5\rangle_{(0)} \equiv |\phi^5\rangle_{(0,0,0)}$.

In turn, for the reducible gauge parameter $|\chi^4\rangle_{(s)_3}$ of the fourth level for $l = 4$ in the Eq.(6.6) and the same Eqs.(6.5) for spin components distribution, we have the decomposition in even powers of ghosts from $(11+1)$ summands with the fourth order in momenta $\mathcal{P}_I$ in η_0-independent terms,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\chi^4\rangle_{(s)_3} \;=\;& \mathcal{P}_1^+\Big[\mathcal{P}_2^+\Big\{\mathcal{P}_{11}^+\Big(\lambda_{13}^+|\phi_1^4\rangle_{(0)} + \lambda_{12}^+|\phi_2^4\rangle_{(0,-1,1)} + \lambda_{23}^+|\phi_3^4\rangle_{(-1,1,0)}\Big) + \mathcal{P}_{12}^+\lambda_{23}^+|\phi_4^4\rangle_{(0)} \\
&+ \lambda_{12}^+\lambda_{23}^+|\phi_5^4\rangle_{(2,0,0)}\Big\} + \mathcal{P}_3^+\mathcal{P}_{11}^+\lambda_{12}^+|\phi_6^4\rangle_{(0)} + \mathcal{P}_{11}^+\lambda_{12}^+\big(\lambda_{23}^+|\phi_7^4\rangle_{(0,1,0)} + \lambda_{13}^+|\phi_8^4\rangle_{(1,0,0)}\big) \\
&+ \mathcal{P}_{12}^+\lambda_{12}^+\lambda_{23}^+|\phi_9^4\rangle_{(1,0,0)}\Big] + \mathcal{P}_2^+\mathcal{P}_{11}^+\lambda_{12}^+\lambda_{23}^+|\phi_{10}^4\rangle_{(1,0,0)} + \mathcal{P}_{11}^+\mathcal{P}_{12}^+\lambda_{12}^+\lambda_{23}^+|\phi_{11}^4\rangle_{(0)} + \eta_0|\chi_0^4\rangle_{(s)_3}\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{D.2}$$

where, first, the vector $|\chi_0^4\rangle_{(s)_3}$, $|\phi_0^4\rangle_{(s)_3} = |0\rangle\phi_0^4(x)$, has the same definition and properties as $|\chi^5\rangle_{(s)_3}$ in the Eq. (D.1) and, second, the decomposition of ghost-independent vectors in powers of initial and auxiliary creation operators from $\mathcal{H}\bigotimes\mathcal{H}'$ reads

$$|\phi_n^4\rangle_{(0)} = |0\rangle\phi_n^4(x)\,, \qquad |\phi_2^4\rangle_{(0,-1,1)} = d_{23}^+|0\rangle\phi_2^4(x)\,, \tag{D.3}$$

$$|\phi_3^4\rangle_{(-1,1,0)} = d_{12}^+|0\rangle\phi_3^4(x)\,, \qquad |\phi_5^4\rangle_{(2,0,0)} = a_1^{+\mu}a_1^{+\nu}|0\rangle\phi_{5|\mu\nu}^4(x) + b_{11}^+|0\rangle\phi_5^4(x)\,, \tag{D.4}$$

$$|\phi_p^4\rangle_{(1,0,0)} = a_1^{+\mu}|0\rangle\phi_{p|\mu}^4(x)\,, \qquad |\phi_7^4\rangle_{(0,1,0)} = a_2^{+\mu}|0\rangle\phi_{7|\mu}^4(x) + a_1^{+\mu}d_{12}^+|0\rangle\phi_{7|\mu}^{\prime 4}(x)\,, \tag{D.5}$$

for $n = 0,1,4,6,11$ and $p = 8,9,10$.

For the reducible gauge parameter of the third level $|\chi^3\rangle_{(s)_3}$ for $l = 3$ in the Eq.(6.6) and for the same spin value $(2,1,1)$ in the Eqs.(6.5) we get from the general expression (5.7) the decomposition in odd powers of ghosts from $(45+11)$ summands starting from the third order in $\mathcal{P}_I$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\chi^3\rangle_{(s)_3} = {} & \mathcal{P}_1^+ \Big[\mathcal{P}_2^+ \Big\{\mathcal{P}_3^+ |\phi_1^3\rangle_{(1,0,0)} + \mathcal{P}_{11}^+ \Big(|\phi_2^3\rangle_{(-1,0,1)} + \vartheta_{23}^+ \lambda_{12}^+ |\phi_3^3\rangle_{(0)} + \vartheta_{12}^+ \lambda_{23}^+ |\phi_4^3\rangle_{(0)}\Big) \\
& + \mathcal{P}_{12}^+ |\phi_5^3\rangle_{(0,-1,1)} + \mathcal{P}_{13}^+ |\phi_6^3\rangle_{(0)} + \lambda_{12}^+ |\phi_7^3\rangle_{(2,-1,1)} + \lambda_{12}^+ \lambda_{23}^+ \Big(\eta_1^+ |\phi_8^3\rangle_{(1,0,0)_3} + \eta_{11}^+ |\phi_9^3\rangle_{(0)}\Big) \\
& + \lambda_{13}^+ |\phi_{10}^3\rangle_{(2,0,0)} + \lambda_{23}^+ |\phi_{11}^3\rangle_{(1,1,0)}\Big\} + \mathcal{P}_3^+ \Big\{\mathcal{P}_{12}^+ |\phi_{12}^3\rangle_{(0)} + \lambda_{12}^+ |\phi_{13}^3\rangle_{(2,0,0)} + \mathcal{P}_{11}^+ |\phi_{14}^3\rangle_{(-1,1,0)}\Big\} \\
& + \mathcal{P}_{11}^+ \Big\{\lambda_{12}^+ |\phi_{15}^3\rangle_{(0,0,1)} + \eta_1^+ \lambda_{12}^+ \lambda_{13}^+ |\phi_{16}^3\rangle_{(0)} + \lambda_{12}^+ \lambda_{23}^+ \Big(\eta_1^+ |\phi_{17}^3\rangle_{(-1,1,0)_3} + \eta_2^+ |\phi_{18}^3\rangle_{(0)} \\
& + \vartheta_{12}^+ |\phi_{19}^3\rangle_{(1,0,0)_3}\Big) + \lambda_{13}^+ |\phi_{20}^3\rangle_{(0,1,0)} + \lambda_{23}^+ |\phi_{21}^3\rangle_{(-1,2,0)}\Big\} \\
& + \mathcal{P}_{12}^+ \Big\{\lambda_{23}^+ |\phi_{22}^3\rangle_{(0,1,0)} + \lambda_{12}^+ |\phi_{23}^3\rangle_{(1,-1,1)} + \lambda_{13}^+ |\phi_{24}^3\rangle_{(1,0,0)} + \eta_1^+ \lambda_{12}^+ \lambda_{23}^+ |\phi_{25}^3\rangle_{(0)}\Big\} \\
& + \mathcal{P}_{13}^+ \lambda_{12}^+ |\phi_{26}^3\rangle_{(1,0,0)} + \mathcal{P}_{22}^+ \lambda_{23}^+ |\phi_{27}^3\rangle_{(1,0,0)} + \lambda_{12}^+ \Big\{\lambda_{23}^+ |\phi_{28}^3\rangle_{(2,1,0)} + \lambda_{13}^+ |\phi_{29}^3\rangle_{(3,0,0)}\Big\}\Big] \\
& + \mathcal{P}_2^+ \Big[\mathcal{P}_3^+ \mathcal{P}_{11}^+ |\phi_{30}^3\rangle_{(0)} + \mathcal{P}_{11}^+ \Big\{\lambda_{23}^+ |\phi_{31}^3\rangle_{(0,1,0)} + \lambda_{13}^+ |\phi_{32}^3\rangle_{(1,0,0)} + \lambda_{12}^+ |\phi_{33}^3\rangle_{(1,-1,1)} \\
& + \eta_1^+ \lambda_{12}^+ \lambda_{23}^+ |\phi_{34}^3\rangle_{(0)}\Big\} + \mathcal{P}_{12}^+ \lambda_{23}^+ |\phi_{35}^3\rangle_{(1,0,0)} + \lambda_{12}^+ \lambda_{23}^+ |\phi_{36}^3\rangle_{(3,0,0)}\Big] + \mathcal{P}_3^+ \mathcal{P}_{11}^+ \lambda_{12}^+ |\phi_{37}^3\rangle_{(1,0,0)} \\
& + \mathcal{P}_{11}^+ \Big[\mathcal{P}_{12}^+ \Big\{\lambda_{12}^+ |\phi_{38}^3\rangle_{(0,-1,1)} + \lambda_{13}^+ |\phi_{39}^3\rangle_{(0)} + \lambda_{23}^+ |\phi_{40}^3\rangle_{(-1,1,0)}\Big\} + \mathcal{P}_{13}^+ \lambda_{12}^+ |\phi_{41}^3\rangle_{(0)} \\
& + \mathcal{P}_{22}^+ \lambda_{23}^+ |\phi_{42}^3\rangle_{(0)} + \lambda_{12}^+ \lambda_{13}^+ |\phi_{43}^3\rangle_{(2,0,0)} + \lambda_{12}^+ \lambda_{23}^+ |\phi_{44}^3\rangle_{(1,1,0)}\Big] + \mathcal{P}_{12}^+ \lambda_{12}^+ \lambda_{23}^+ |\phi_{45}^3\rangle_{(2,0,0)} \\
& + \eta_0 |\chi_0^3\rangle_{(s)_3} \,,
\end{aligned}
\tag{D.6}
$$

where, the vector $|\chi_0^3\rangle_{(s)_3}$ has the same definition and properties as $|\chi^4\rangle_{(s)_3}$ in the Eqs. (D.2), (D.3)–(D.4) and the decomposition of ghost-independent vectors from $\mathcal{H}\bigotimes\mathcal{H}'$ looks like,

$$|\phi_l^3\rangle_{(1,0,0)} = a_1^{+\mu}|0\rangle\phi_{l|\mu}^3 \,, \qquad |\phi_r^3\rangle_{(0,1,0)} = a_2^{+\mu}|0\rangle\phi_{r|\mu}^3 + a_1^{+\mu} d_{12}^+ |0\rangle\phi_{r|\mu}'^3 \,, \tag{D.7}$$

$$|\phi_n^3\rangle_{(0)} = |0\rangle\phi_n^3 \,, \qquad |\phi_p^3\rangle_{(2,0,0)} = a_1^{+\mu} a_1^{+\nu}|0\rangle\phi_{p|\mu\nu}^3 + b_{11}^+|0\rangle\phi_p^3 \,, \tag{D.8}$$

$$|\phi_t^3\rangle_{(0,-1,1)} = d_{23}^+|0\rangle\phi_t^3 \,, \qquad |\phi_d^3\rangle_{(-1,1,0)} = d_{12}^+|0\rangle\phi_d^3 \,, \tag{D.9}$$

$$|\phi_a^3\rangle_{(1,-1,1)} = a_1^{+\mu} d_{23}^+|0\rangle\phi_{a|\mu}^3 \,, \qquad |\phi_{21}^3\rangle_{(-1,2,0)} = d_{12}^+\big(a_2^{+\mu}|0\rangle\phi_{21|\mu}^3 + a_1^{+\mu} d_{12}^+|0\rangle\phi_{21|\mu}'^3\big) \,, \tag{D.10}$$

$$|\phi_2^3\rangle_{(-1,0,1)} = d_{13}^+|0\rangle\phi_2^3 + d_{12}^+ d_{23}^+|0\rangle\phi_2'^3 \,, \qquad |\phi_7^3\rangle_{(2,-1,1)} = d_{23}^+\big(a_1^{+\mu} a_1^{+\nu}|0\rangle\phi_{7|\mu\nu}^3 + b_{11}^+|0\rangle\phi_7^3\big) \,, \tag{D.11}$$

$$|\phi_{15}^3\rangle_{(0,0,1)} = a_3^{+\mu}|0\rangle\phi_{15|\mu}^3 + a_2^{+\mu} d_{23}^+|0\rangle\phi_{15|\mu}'^3 + a_1^{+\mu} d_{13}^+|0\rangle\phi_{15|\mu}''^3 + a_1^{+\mu} d_{12}^+ d_{23}^+|0\rangle\phi_{15|\mu}'''^3 \,, \tag{D.12}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\phi_{28}^3\rangle_{(2,1,0)} = {} & a_1^{+\mu} a_1^{+\nu}\big(a_2^{+\rho}|0\rangle\phi_{28|\mu\nu,\rho}^3 + a_1^{+\rho} d_{12}^+|0\rangle\phi_{28|\mu\nu\rho}^3\big) + b_{11}^+ a_2^{+\mu}|0\rangle\phi_{28|\mu}''^3 \\
& + a_1^{+\mu}\big(b_{11}^+ d_{12}^+|0\rangle\phi_{28|\mu}^3 + b_{12}^+|0\rangle\phi_{28|\mu}'^3\big) \,,
\end{aligned}
\tag{D.13}
$$

$$|\phi_y^3\rangle_{(1,1,0)} = a_1^{+\mu}\big(a_2^{+\nu}|0\rangle\phi_{y|\mu,\nu}^3 + a_1^{+\nu} d_{12}^+|0\rangle\phi_{y|\mu\nu}^3\big) + b_{11}^+ d_{12}^+|0\rangle\phi_y^3 + b_{12}^+|0\rangle\phi_y'^3 \,, \tag{D.14}$$

$$|\phi_b^3\rangle_{(3,0,0)} = a_1^{+\mu}\big(a_1^{+\nu} a_1^{+\rho}|0\rangle\phi_{b|\mu\nu\rho}^3 + b_{11}^+|0\rangle\phi_{b|\mu}^3\big), \tag{D.15}$$

for $l = 1, 8, 19, 24, 26, 27, 32, 35, 37$, $p = 10, 13, 43, 45$, $n = 3, 4, 6, 9, 12, 16, 18, 25, 30, 34, 39, 41, 42$, $r = 20, 22, 31$, $t = 5, 38$, $a = 23, 33$, $d = 14, 17, 40$, $y = 11, 44$ and $b = 29, 36$.

Then, for the reducible gauge parameter of the second level $|\chi^2\rangle_{(s)_3}$ for the value $l = 2$ in the Eq.(6.6) and for the spin value $(2, 1, 1)$ in the Eqs.(6.5) we have decomposition in even powers of ghosts from $(102+45)$ summands starting from the second order in $\mathcal{P}_I$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\chi^2\rangle_{(s)_3} \ = \ & \mathcal{P}_1^+\Big[\mathcal{P}_2^+\Big\{|\phi_1^2\rangle_{(1,0,1)} + \eta_1^+\mathcal{P}_3^+|\phi_2^2\rangle_{(0)} + \mathcal{P}_{11}^+\Big(\vartheta_{13}^+|\phi_3^2\rangle_{(0)} + \vartheta_{23}^+|\phi_4^2\rangle_{(-1,1,0)} \qquad \text{(D.16)}\\
& +\vartheta_{12}^+|\phi_5^2\rangle_{(0,-1,1)}\Big) + \vartheta_{23}^+\lambda_{12}^+|\phi_6^2\rangle_{(2,0,0)} + \vartheta_{12}^+\lambda_{23}^+|\phi_7^2\rangle_{(2,0,0)} + \vartheta_{23}^+\mathcal{P}_{12}^+|\phi_8^2\rangle_{(0)} + \lambda_{13}^+\Big(\eta_1^+|\phi_9^2\rangle_{(1,0,0)}\\
& +\eta_{11}^+|\phi_{10}^2\rangle_{(0)}\Big) + \lambda_{12}^+\Big(\eta_1^+|\phi_{11}^2\rangle_{(1,-1,1)} + \eta_{11}^+|\phi_{12}^2\rangle_{(0,-1,1)}\Big) + \lambda_{23}^+\Big(\eta_1^+|\phi_{13}^2\rangle_{(0,1,0)} + \eta_2^+|\phi_{102}^2\rangle_{(1,0,0)}\\
& +\eta_{11}^+|\phi_{14}^2\rangle_{(-1,1,0)} + \eta_{12}^+|\phi_{15}^2\rangle_{(0)}\Big)\Big\} + \mathcal{P}_3^+\Big\{|\phi_{16}^2\rangle_{(1,1,0)} + \mathcal{P}_{11}^+\vartheta_{12}^+|\phi_{17}^2\rangle_{(0)} + \lambda_{12}^+[\eta_1^+|\phi_{18}^2\rangle_{(1,0,0)}\\
& +\eta_{11}^+|\phi_{19}^2\rangle_{(0)}\Big\} + \mathcal{P}_{11}^+\Big\{|\phi_{20}^2\rangle_{(-1,1,1)} + \eta_3^+\lambda_{12}^+|\phi_{21}^2\rangle_{(0)} + \eta_2^+\big(\lambda_{12}^+|\phi_{22}^2\rangle_{(0,-1,1)} + \lambda_{13}^+|\phi_{23}^2\rangle_{(0)}\\
& +\lambda_{23}^+|\phi_{24}^2\rangle_{(-1,1,0)}\big) + \eta_1^+\big(\lambda_{12}^+|\phi_{25}^2\rangle_{(-1,0,1)} + \lambda_{13}^+|\phi_{26}^2\rangle_{(-1,1,0)} + \lambda_{23}^+|\phi_{27}^2\rangle_{(-2,2,0)}\big)\\
& +\vartheta_{23}^+\lambda_{12}^+|\phi_{28}^2\rangle_{(0,1,0)} + \vartheta_{12}^+\lambda_{13}^+|\phi_{29}^2\rangle_{(1,0,0)} + \vartheta_{13}^+\lambda_{12}^+|\phi_{30}^2\rangle_{(1,0,0)} + \vartheta_{12}^+\lambda_{23}^+|\phi_{31}^2\rangle_{(0,1,0)}\\
& +\vartheta_{12}^+\lambda_{12}^+\big[|\phi_{32}^2\rangle_{(1,-1,1)} + \eta_1^+\lambda_{23}^+|\phi_{33}^2\rangle_{(0)}\big]\Big\} + \mathcal{P}_{12}^+\Big\{|\phi_{34}^2\rangle_{(0,0,1)} + \eta_1^+\big(\lambda_{12}^+|\phi_{35}^2\rangle_{(0,-1,1)}\\
& +\lambda_{13}^+|\phi_{36}^2\rangle_{(0)} + \lambda_{23}^+|\phi_{37}^2\rangle_{(-1,1,0)}\big) + \eta_2^+\lambda_{23}^+|\phi_{38}^2\rangle_{(0)} + \vartheta_{23}^+\lambda_{12}^+|\phi_{39}^2\rangle_{(1,0,0)} + \vartheta_{12}^+\lambda_{23}^+|\phi_{40}^2\rangle_{(1,0,0)}\Big\}\\
& +\mathcal{P}_{22}^+\Big\{|\phi_{41}^2\rangle_{(1,-1,1)} + \eta_1^+\lambda_{23}^+|\phi_{42}^2\rangle_{(0)}\Big\} + \mathcal{P}_{13}^+\Big\{|\phi_{43}^2\rangle_{(0,1,0)} + \eta_1^+\lambda_{12}^+|\phi_{44}^2\rangle_{(0)}\Big\} + \mathcal{P}_{23}^+|\phi_{45}^2\rangle_{(1,0,0)}\\
& +\lambda_{12}^+|\phi_{46}^2\rangle_{(2,0,1)} + \lambda_{12}^+\lambda_{23}^+\Big(\eta_1^+|\phi_{47}^2\rangle_{(1,1,0)} + \eta_{11}^+|\phi_{48}^2\rangle_{(0,1,0)} + \eta_{12}^+|\phi_{49}^2\rangle_{(1,0,0)} + \vartheta_{12}^+|\phi_{50}^2\rangle_{(3,0,0)}\\
& +\eta_2^+|\phi_{51}^2\rangle_{(2,0,0)}\Big) + \lambda_{13}^+\Big\{|\phi_{52}^2\rangle_{(2,1,0)} + \eta_1^+\lambda_{12}^+|\phi_{53}^2\rangle_{(2,0,0)} + \eta_{11}^+\lambda_{12}^+|\phi_{54}^2\rangle_{(1,0,0)}\Big\} + \lambda_{23}^+|\phi_{55}^2\rangle_{(1,2,0)}\Big]\\
& +\mathcal{P}_2^+\Big[\mathcal{P}_3^+|\phi_{56}^2\rangle_{(2,0,0)} + \mathcal{P}_{11}^+\Big\{|\phi_{57}^2\rangle_{(0,0,1)} + \eta_2^+\lambda_{23}^+|\phi_{58}^2\rangle_{(0)} + \eta_1^+\lambda_{13}^+|\phi_{59}^2\rangle_{(0)}\\
& +\eta_1^+\lambda_{12}^+|\phi_{60}^2\rangle_{(0,-1,1)} + \vartheta_{23}^+\lambda_{12}^+|\phi_{61}^2\rangle_{(1,0,0)} + \vartheta_{12}^+\lambda_{23}^+|\phi_{62}^2\rangle_{(1,0,0)} + \eta_1^+\lambda_{23}^+|\phi_{63}^2\rangle_{(-1,1,0)}\Big\}\\
& +\mathcal{P}_{12}^+\Big\{|\phi_{64}^2\rangle_{(1,-1,1)} + \eta_1^+\lambda_{23}^+|\phi_{65}^2\rangle_{(0)}\Big\} + \lambda_{13}^+|\phi_{66}^2\rangle_{(3,0,0)} + \lambda_{23}^+|\phi_{67}^2\rangle_{(2,1,0)}\\
& +\lambda_{12}^+\Big\{|\phi_{68}^2\rangle_{(3,-1,1)} + \eta_1^+\lambda_{23}^+|\phi_{69}^2\rangle_{(2,0,0)} + \eta_{11}^+\lambda_{23}^+|\phi_{70}^2\rangle_{(1,0,0)}\Big\} + \mathcal{P}_{13}^+|\phi_{71}^2\rangle_{(1,0,0)}\Big]\\
& +\mathcal{P}_3^+\Big[\mathcal{P}_{11}^+\Big\{|\phi_{72}^2\rangle_{(0,1,0)} + \eta_1^+\lambda_{12}^+|\phi_{73}^2\rangle_{(0)}\Big\} + \mathcal{P}_{12}^+|\phi_{74}^2\rangle_{(1,0,0)} + \lambda_{12}^+|\phi_{75}^2\rangle_{(3,0,0)}\Big]\\
& +\mathcal{P}_{11}^+\Big[\mathcal{P}_{12}^+\Big\{|\phi_{76}^2\rangle_{(-1,0,1)} + \vartheta_{23}^+\lambda_{12}^+|\phi_{77}^2\rangle_{(0)} + \vartheta_{12}^+\lambda_{23}^+|\phi_{78}^2\rangle_{(0)}\Big\} + \mathcal{P}_{13}^+|\phi_{79}^2\rangle_{(-1,1,0)}\\
& +\mathcal{P}_{22}^+|\phi_{80}^2\rangle_{(0,-1,1)} + \mathcal{P}_{23}^+|\phi_{81}^2\rangle_{(0)} + \lambda_{12}^+\Big\{|\phi_{82}^2\rangle_{(1,0,1)} + \eta_1^+\lambda_{13}^+|\phi_{83}^2\rangle_{(1,0,0)} + \eta_{11}^+\lambda_{13}^+|\phi_{84}^2\rangle_{(0)}\\
& +\eta_1^+\lambda_{23}^+|\phi_{85}^2\rangle_{(0,1,0)} + \eta_2^+\lambda_{23}^+|\phi_{101}^2\rangle_{(1,0,0)} + \eta_{11}^+\lambda_{23}^+|\phi_{86}^2\rangle_{(-1,1,0)} + \eta_{12}^+\lambda_{23}^+|\phi_{87}^2\rangle_{(0)}\\
& +\vartheta_{12}^+\lambda_{23}^+|\phi_{88}^2\rangle_{(2,0,0)}\Big\} + \lambda_{13}^+|\phi_{89}^2\rangle_{(1,1,0)} + \lambda_{23}^+|\phi_{90}^2\rangle_{(0,2,0)}\Big]\\
& +\mathcal{P}_{12}^+\Big[\mathcal{P}_{13}^+|\phi_{91}^2\rangle_{(0)} + \lambda_{12}^+\Big\{|\phi_{92}^2\rangle_{(2,-1,1)} + \eta_1^+\lambda_{23}^+|\phi_{93}^2\rangle_{(1,0,0)} + \eta_{11}^+\lambda_{23}^+|\phi_{94}^2\rangle_{(0)}\Big\}\\
& +\lambda_{13}^+|\phi_{95}^2\rangle_{(2,0,0)} + \lambda_{23}^+|\phi_{96}^2\rangle_{(1,1,0)}\Big] + \mathcal{P}_{22}^+\lambda_{23}^+|\phi_{97}^2\rangle_{(2,0,0)} + \mathcal{P}_{13}^+\lambda_{12}^+|\phi_{98}^2\rangle_{(2,0,0)}\\
& +\lambda_{12}^+\Big[\lambda_{13}^+|\phi_{99}^2\rangle_{(4,0,0)} + \lambda_{23}^+|\phi_{100}^2\rangle_{(3,1,0)}\Big] \ + \ \eta_0|\chi_0^2\rangle_{(s)_3}\,,
\end{aligned}
$$

where, the vector $|\chi_0^2\rangle_{(s)_3}$ has the same definition and properties as $|\chi^3\rangle_{(s)_3}$ in the Eqs. (D.6), (D.7)–(D.15) without η_0-dependent terms and the decomposition of ghost-independent vectors in $\mathcal{H}\bigotimes\mathcal{H}'$ with different values of spins than in (D.7)–(D.15) writes as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\phi^2_l\rangle_{(1,0,1)} = {}& a^{+\mu}_1\Big(a^{+\nu}_1\big\{d^+_{13}|0\rangle\phi^2_{l|\mu\nu} + d^+_{12}d^+_{23}|0\rangle\phi'^2_{l|\mu\nu}\big\} + a^{+\nu}_2 d^+_{23}|0\rangle\phi''^2_{l|\mu,\nu} + a^{+\nu}_3|0\rangle\phi'''^2_{l\mu,\nu}\Big)\\
&+b^+_{11}\big\{d^+_{13}|0\rangle\phi^2_l + d^+_{12}d^+_{23}|0\rangle\phi'^2_l\big\} + b^+_{12}d^+_{23}|0\rangle\phi''^2_l + b^+_{13}|0\rangle\phi'''^2_l\,,
\end{aligned}\tag{D.17}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
|\phi^2_m\rangle_{(-1,1,1)} = {}& a^{+\mu}_1 d^+_{12}\big\{d^+_{13}|0\rangle\phi^2_{m|\mu} + d^+_{12}d^+_{23}|0\rangle\phi'^2_{m|\mu}\big\} + a^{+\mu}_2\big\{d^+_{13}|0\rangle\phi''^2_{m|\mu}\\
&+d^+_{12}d^+_{23}|0\rangle\phi'''^2_{m|\mu}\big\} + a^{+\mu}_3 d^+_{12}|0\rangle\phi^{(iv)2}_{m|\mu}\,,
\end{aligned}\tag{D.18}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
|\phi^2_p\rangle_{(2,0,1)} = {}& a^{+\mu}_1 a^{+\nu}_1\Big(a^{+\rho}_3|0\rangle\phi^2_{p|\mu\nu,\rho} + a^{+\rho}_1\big\{d^+_{13}|0\rangle|0\rangle\phi'^2_{p|\mu\nu\rho} + d^+_{12}d^+_{23}|0\rangle\phi''^2_{p|\mu\nu\rho}\big\}\\
&+a^{+\rho}_2 d^+_{23}|0\rangle\phi'''^2_{p|\mu\nu,\rho}\Big) + b^+_{11}\Big(a^{+\mu}_3|0\rangle\phi^2_{p|\mu} + a^{+\mu}_2 d^+_{23}|0\rangle\phi'^2_{p|\mu} + a^{+\mu}_1 d^+_{13}|0\rangle\phi''^2_{p|\mu}\\
&+a^{+\mu}_1 d^+_{12}d^+_{23}|0\rangle\phi'''^2_{p|\mu}\Big) + a^{+\mu}_1\Big(b^+_{13}|0\rangle\phi^{(iv)2}_{p|\mu} + b^+_{12}d^+_{23}|0\rangle\phi^{(v)2}_{p|\mu}\Big),
\end{aligned}\tag{D.19}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
|\phi^2_r\rangle_{(1,2,0)} = {}& a^{+\mu}_1\big(a^{+\nu}_1 a^{+\rho}_1(d^+_{12})^2|0\rangle\phi^2_{r|\mu\nu\rho} + a^{+\nu}_1 a^{+\rho}_2 d^+_{12}|0\rangle\phi'^2_{r|\mu\nu,\rho} + a^{+\nu}_2 a^{+\rho}_2|0\rangle\phi''^2_{r|\mu,\nu\rho}\\
&+b^+_{22}|0\rangle\phi^{(iv)2}_{r|\mu} + b^+_{11}(d^+_{12})^2|0\rangle\phi^2_{r|\mu} + b^+_{12}d^+_{12}|0\rangle\phi'^2_{r|\mu}\big) + a^{+\mu}_2\big(b^+_{11}d^+_{12}|0\rangle\phi''^2_{r|\mu} + b^+_{12}|0\rangle\phi'''^2_{r|\mu}\big),
\end{aligned}\tag{D.20}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
|\phi^2_{100}\rangle_{(3,1,0)} = {}& a^{+\mu}_1 a^{+\nu}_1\Big(a^{+\rho}_1 a^{+\sigma}_1 d^+_{12}|0\rangle\phi^2_{100|\mu\nu\rho\sigma} + a^{+\rho}_1 a^{+\sigma}_2|0\rangle\phi'^2_{100|\mu\nu\rho,\sigma} + b^+_{12}|0\rangle\phi'^2_{100|\mu\nu}\\
&+b^+_{11}d^+_{12}|0\rangle\phi^2_{100|\mu\nu}\Big) + a^{+\mu}_1 a^{+\nu}_2 b^+_{11}|0\rangle\phi^2_{100|\mu,\nu} + b^+_{11}\big(b^+_{11}d^+_{12}|0\rangle\phi^2_{100} + b^+_{12}|0\rangle\phi'^2_{100}\big),
\end{aligned}\tag{D.21}$$

$$|\phi^2_{99}\rangle_{(4,0,0)} = a^{+\mu}_1 a^{+\nu}_1\big(a^{+\rho}_1 a^{+\sigma}_1|0\rangle\phi^2_{99|\mu\nu\rho\sigma} + b^+_{11}|0\rangle\phi^2_{99|\mu\nu}\big) + (b^+_{11})^2|0\rangle\phi^2_{99},\tag{D.22}$$

$$|\phi^3_t\rangle_{(3,-1,1)} = a^{+\mu}_1 d^+_{23}\big(a^{+\nu}_1 a^{+\rho}_1|0\rangle\phi^3_{t|\mu\nu\rho} + b^+_{11}|0\rangle\phi^3_{t|\mu}\big),\tag{D.23}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
|\phi^2_u\rangle_{(0,2,0)} = {}& a^{+\mu}_1\big(a^{+\nu}_1(d^+_{12})^2|0\rangle\phi^2_{u|\mu\nu} + a^{+\nu}_2 d^+_{12}|0\rangle\phi'^2_{u|\mu,\nu}\big) + a^{+\mu}_2 a^{+\nu}_2|0\rangle\phi''^2_{u|\mu\nu}\\
&+b^+_{11}(d^+_{12})^2|0\rangle\phi^2_u + b^+_{12}d^+_{12}|0\rangle\phi'^2_u + b^+_{22}|0\rangle\phi''^2_u,
\end{aligned}\tag{D.24}$$

$$|\phi^2_{27}\rangle_{(-2,2,0)} = (d^+_{12})^2|0\rangle|\phi^2_{27}\tag{D.25}$$

for $l = 1, 82, m = 20, p = 46, r = 55, u = 90, t = 68$.

Analogously, we decompose the gauge parameter $|\chi^1\rangle_{(s)_3}$ for values $l = 1$ and $(s)_3 = (2, 1, 1)$ in the Eq.(6.6) and Eqs.(6.5) respectively, in odd powers of ghosts from $(164 + 102)$ summands starting from the first order in momenta $\mathcal{P}_I$,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\chi^1\rangle_{(s)_3} \;=\; & \mathcal{P}^+_1\Big[|\phi^1_1\rangle_{(1,1,1)} + \mathcal{P}^+_2\Big\{\eta^+_1\big(|\phi^1_2\rangle_{(0,0,1)} + \eta^+_2\lambda^+_{23}|\phi^1_3\rangle_{(0)} + \vartheta^+_{12}\lambda^+_{23}|\phi^1_4\rangle_{(1,0,0)}\\
&+\vartheta^+_{23}\lambda^+_{12}|\phi^1_5\rangle_{(1,0,0)}\big) + \eta^+_2|\phi^1_6\rangle_{(1,-1,1)} + \eta^+_3|\phi^1_7\rangle_{(1,0,0)} + \eta^+_{11}\big(|\phi^1_8\rangle_{(-1,0,1)} + \vartheta^+_{12}\lambda^+_{23}|\phi^1_9\rangle_{(0)}\\
&+\vartheta^+_{23}\lambda^+_{12}|\phi^1_{10}\rangle_{(0)}\big) + \eta^+_{13}|\phi^1_{11}\rangle_{(0)} + \eta^+_{12}|\phi^1_{12}\rangle_{(0,-1,1)} + \vartheta^+_{12}|\phi^1_{13}\rangle_{(2,-1,1)} + \vartheta^+_{13}|\phi^1_{14}\rangle_{(2,0,0)}\\
&+\vartheta^+_{23}\big(|\phi^1_{15}\rangle_{(1,1,0)} + \mathcal{P}^+_{11}\vartheta^+_{12}|\phi^1_{16}\rangle_{(0)}\big)\Big\} + \mathcal{P}^+_3\Big\{\eta^+_1|\phi^1_{17}\rangle_{(0,1,0)} + \eta^+_2|\phi^1_{18}\rangle_{(1,0,0)} + \eta^+_{11}|\phi^1_{19}\rangle_{(-1,1,0)}\\
&+\eta^+_{12}|\phi^1_{20}\rangle_{(0)} + \vartheta^+_{12}|\phi^1_{21}\rangle_{(2,0,0)}\Big\} + \mathcal{P}^+_{11}\Big\{\eta^+_1\big(|\phi^1_{22}\rangle_{(-2,1,1)} + \vartheta^+_{12}\lambda^+_{23}|\phi^1_{23}\rangle_{(-1,1,0)}\\
&+\vartheta^+_{23}\lambda^+_{12}|\phi^1_{24}\rangle_{(-1,1,0)} + \vartheta^+_{12}\lambda^+_{13}|\phi^1_{25}\rangle_{(0)} + \vartheta^+_{13}\lambda^+_{12}|\phi^1_{26}\rangle_{(0)} + \vartheta^+_{12}\lambda^+_{12}|\phi^1_{27}\rangle_{(0,-1,1)}\big)\\
&+\eta^+_2\big(|\phi^1_{28}\rangle_{(-1,0,1)} + \vartheta^+_{12}\lambda^+_{23}|\phi^1_{29}\rangle_{(0)} + \vartheta^+_{23}\lambda^+_{12}|\phi^1_{30}\rangle_{(0)}\big) + \eta^+_3|\phi^1_{31}\rangle_{(-1,1,0)} + \vartheta^+_{13}|\phi^1_{32}\rangle_{(0,1,0)}\\
&+\vartheta^+_{12}\big(|\phi^1_{33}\rangle_{(0,0,1)} + \vartheta^+_{23}\lambda^+_{12}|\phi^1_{34}\rangle_{(1,0,0)}\big) + \vartheta^+_{23}|\phi^1_{35}\rangle_{(-1,2,0)}\Big\} + \mathcal{P}^+_{12}\Big\{\eta^+_1\big(|\phi^1_{36}\rangle_{(-1,0,1)}\\
&+\vartheta^+_{12}\lambda^+_{23}|\phi^1_{37}\rangle_{(0)}\big) + \eta^+_2|\phi^1_{38}\rangle_{(0,-1,1)} + \eta^+_3|\phi^1_{39}\rangle_{(0)} + \vartheta^+_{12}|\phi^1_{40}\rangle_{(1,-1,1)} + \vartheta^+_{13}|\phi^1_{41}\rangle_{(1,0,0)}\\
&+\vartheta^+_{23}\big(|\phi^1_{42}\rangle_{(0,1,0)} + \eta^+_1\lambda^+_{12}|\phi^1_{43}\rangle_{(0)}\big)\Big\} + \mathcal{P}^+_{22}\Big\{\eta^+_1|\phi^1_{44}\rangle_{(0,-1,1)} + \vartheta^+_{23}|\phi^1_{45}\rangle_{(1,0,0)}\Big\}\\
&+\mathcal{P}^+_{13}\Big\{\eta^+_1|\phi^1_{46}\rangle_{(-1,1,0)} + \eta^+_2|\phi^1_{47}\rangle_{(0)} + \vartheta^+_{12}|\phi^1_{164}\rangle_{(1,0,0)}\Big\} + \eta^+_1\mathcal{P}^+_{23}|\phi^1_{48}\rangle_{(0)} + \lambda^+_{13}\Big\{\eta^+_1\big(|\phi^1_{49}\rangle_{(1,1,0)}
\end{aligned}\tag{D.26}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\eta_{11}^{+}\lambda_{12}^{+}|\phi_{50}^{1}\rangle_{(0)}\big) + \eta_2^{+}|\phi_{51}^{1}\rangle_{(2,0,0)} + \eta_{11}^{+}|\phi_{52}^{1}\rangle_{(0,1,0)} + \eta_{12}^{+}|\phi_{53}^{1}\rangle_{(1,0,0)} + \vartheta_{12}^{+}|\phi_{54}^{1}\rangle_{(3,0,0)}\Big\} \\
&+\lambda_{12}^{+}\Big\{\eta_1^{+}\big(|\phi_{55}^{1}\rangle_{(1,0,1)} + \eta_{11}^{+}\lambda_{23}^{+}|\phi_{56}^{1}\rangle_{(-1,1,0)} + \eta_2^{+}\lambda_{23}^{+}|\phi_{57}^{1}\rangle_{(1,0,0)} + \eta_{12}^{+}\lambda_{23}^{+}|\phi_{58}^{1}\rangle_{(0)} + \vartheta_{12}^{+}\lambda_{23}^{+}|\phi_{59}^{1}\rangle_{(2,0,0)}\big) \\
&+\eta_2^{+}\big(|\phi_{60}^{1}\rangle_{(2,-1,1)} + \eta_{11}^{+}\lambda_{23}^{+}|\phi_{61}^{1}\rangle_{(0)}\big) + \eta_3^{+}|\phi_{62}^{1}\rangle_{(2,0,0)} + \eta_{11}^{+}\big(|\phi_{63}^{1}\rangle_{(0,0,1)} + \vartheta_{12}^{+}\lambda_{23}^{+}|\phi_{64}^{1}\rangle_{(1,0,0)}\big) \\
&+\eta_{12}^{+}|\phi_{65}^{1}\rangle_{(1,-1,1)} + \vartheta_{12}^{+}|\phi_{66}^{1}\rangle_{(3,-1,1)} + \vartheta_{13}^{+}|\phi_{67}^{1}\rangle_{(3,0,0)} + \vartheta_{23}^{+}|\phi_{68}^{1}\rangle_{(2,1,0)}\Big\} + \lambda_{23}^{+}\Big\{\eta_1^{+}|\phi_{69}^{1}\rangle_{(0,2,0)} \\
&+\eta_2^{+}|\phi_{70}^{1}\rangle_{(1,1,0)} + \eta_{11}^{+}|\phi_{71}^{1}\rangle_{(-1,2,0)} + \eta_{12}^{+}|\phi_{72}^{1}\rangle_{(0,1,0)} + \eta_{22}^{+}|\phi_{73}^{1}\rangle_{(1,0,0)} + \vartheta_{12}^{+}|\phi_{74}^{1}\rangle_{(2,1,0)}\Big\}\Big] \\
+\mathcal{P}_2^{+}&\Big[|\phi_{75}^{2}\rangle_{(2,0,1)} + \mathcal{P}_3^{+}\Big\{\eta_1^{+}|\phi_{76}^{1}\rangle_{(1,0,0)} + \eta_{11}^{+}|\phi_{77}^{1}\rangle_{(0)}\Big\} + \mathcal{P}_{11}^{+}\Big\{\eta_1^{+}\big(|\phi_{78}^{1}\rangle_{(-1,0,1)} \\
&+\vartheta_{12}^{+}\lambda_{23}^{+}|\phi_{79}^{1}\rangle_{(0)} + \vartheta_{23}^{+}\lambda_{12}^{+}|\phi_{80}^{1}\rangle_{(0)}\big) + \eta_2^{+}|\phi_{81}^{1}\rangle_{(0,-1,1)} + \eta_3^{+}|\phi_{82}^{1}\rangle_{(0)} + \vartheta_{12}^{+}|\phi_{83}^{1}\rangle_{(1,-1,1)} \\
&+\vartheta_{13}^{+}|\phi_{84}^{1}\rangle_{(1,0,0)} + \vartheta_{23}^{+}|\phi_{85}^{1}\rangle_{(0,1,0)}\Big\} + \mathcal{P}_{12}^{+}\Big\{\eta_1^{+}|\phi_{86}^{1}\rangle_{(0,-1,1)} + \vartheta_{23}^{+}|\phi_{87}^{1}\rangle_{(1,0,0)}\Big\} \\
&+\mathcal{P}_{13}^{+}\eta_1^{+}|\phi_{88}^{1}\rangle_{(0)} + \lambda_{13}^{+}\Big\{\eta_1^{+}|\phi_{89}^{1}\rangle_{(2,0,0)} + \eta_{11}^{+}|\phi_{90}^{1}\rangle_{(1,0,0)}\Big\} + \lambda_{12}^{+}\Big\{\eta_1^{+}\big(|\phi_{91}^{1}\rangle_{(2,-1,1)} \\
&+\eta_{11}^{+}\lambda_{23}^{+}|\phi_{92}^{1}\rangle_{(0)}\big) + \eta_{11}^{+}|\phi_{93}^{1}\rangle_{(1,-1,1)} + \vartheta_{23}^{+}|\phi_{94}^{1}\rangle_{(3,0,0)}\Big\} + \lambda_{23}^{+}\Big\{\eta_1^{+}|\phi_{95}^{1}\rangle_{(1,1,0)} \\
&+\eta_2^{+}|\phi_{96}^{1}\rangle_{(2,0,0)} + \eta_{11}^{+}|\phi_{97}^{1}\rangle_{(0,1,0)} + \eta_{12}^{+}|\phi_{98}^{1}\rangle_{(1,0,0)} + \vartheta_{12}^{+}|\phi_{99}^{1}\rangle_{(3,0,0)}\Big\}\Big] \\
+\mathcal{P}_3^{+}&\Big[|\phi_{100}^{1}\rangle_{(2,1,0)} + \mathcal{P}_{11}^{+}\Big\{\eta_1^{+}|\phi_{101}^{1}\rangle_{(-1,1,0)} + \eta_2^{+}|\phi_{102}^{1}\rangle_{(0)} + \vartheta_{12}^{+}|\phi_{103}^{1}\rangle_{(1,0,0)}\Big\} \\
&+\mathcal{P}_{12}^{+}\eta_1^{+}|\phi_{104}^{1}\rangle_{(0)} + \lambda_{12}^{+}\Big\{\eta_1^{+}|\phi_{105}^{1}\rangle_{(2,0,0)} + \eta_{11}^{+}|\phi_{106}^{1}\rangle_{(1,0,0)}\Big\}\Big] \\
+\mathcal{P}_{11}^{+}&\Big[|\phi_{107}^{1}\rangle_{(0,1,1)} + \mathcal{P}_{12}^{+}\big\{\vartheta_{12}^{+}|\phi_{108}^{1}\rangle_{(0,-1,1)} + \vartheta_{13}^{+}|\phi_{109}^{1}\rangle_{(0)} + \vartheta_{23}^{+}|\phi_{110}^{1}\rangle_{(-1,1,0)}\big\} \\
&+\mathcal{P}_{22}^{+}\vartheta_{23}^{+}|\phi_{111}^{1}\rangle_{(0)} + \mathcal{P}_{13}^{+}\vartheta_{12}^{+}|\phi_{112}^{1}\rangle_{(0)} + \lambda_{12}^{+}\Big\{\eta_1^{+}\big(|\phi_{113}^{1}\rangle_{(0,0,1)} + \eta_2^{+}\lambda_{23}^{+}|\phi_{114}^{1}\rangle_{(0)} \\
&+\vartheta_{12}^{+}\lambda_{23}^{+}|\phi_{115}^{1}\rangle_{(1,0,0)}\big) + \eta_2^{+}|\phi_{116}^{1}\rangle_{(1,-1,1)} + \eta_3^{+}|\phi_{117}^{1}\rangle_{(1,0,0)} + \eta_{11}^{+}\big(|\phi_{118}^{1}\rangle_{(-1,0,1)} + \eta_{13}^{+}|\phi_{121}^{1}\rangle_{(0)} \\
&+\vartheta_{12}^{+}\lambda_{23}^{+}|\phi_{119}^{1}\rangle_{(0)}\big) + \eta_{12}^{+}|\phi_{120}^{1}\rangle_{(0,-1,1)} + \vartheta_{12}^{+}|\phi_{122}^{1}\rangle_{(2,-1,1)} + \vartheta_{13}^{+}|\phi_{123}^{1}\rangle_{(2,0,0)} + \vartheta_{23}^{+}|\phi_{124}^{1}\rangle_{(1,1,0)}\Big\} \\
&+\lambda_{13}^{+}\Big\{\eta_1^{+}|\phi_{125}^{1}\rangle_{(0,1,0)} + \eta_2^{+}|\phi_{126}^{1}\rangle_{(1,0,0)} + \eta_{11}^{+}|\phi_{127}^{1}\rangle_{(-1,1,0)} + \eta_{12}^{+}|\phi_{128}^{1}\rangle_{(0)} + \vartheta_{12}^{+}|\phi_{129}^{1}\rangle_{(2,0,0)}\Big\} \\
&+\lambda_{23}^{+}\Big\{\eta_1^{+}|\phi_{130}^{1}\rangle_{(-1,2,0)} + \eta_2^{+}|\phi_{131}^{1}\rangle_{(0,1,0)} + \eta_{11}^{+}|\phi_{132}^{1}\rangle_{(-2,2,0)} + \eta_{12}^{+}|\phi_{133}^{1}\rangle_{(-1,1,0)} \\
&+\eta_{22}^{+}|\phi_{134}^{1}\rangle_{(0)} + \vartheta_{12}^{+}|\phi_{135}^{1}\rangle_{(1,1,0)}\Big\}\Big] \\
+\mathcal{P}_{12}^{+}&\Big[|\phi_{136}^{1}\rangle_{(1,0,1)} + \lambda_{12}^{+}\Big\{\eta_1^{+}|\phi_{137}^{1}\rangle_{(1,-1,1)} + \eta_{11}^{+}|\phi_{138}^{1}\rangle_{(0,-1,1)} + \vartheta_{23}^{+}|\phi_{139}^{1}\rangle_{(2,0,0)}\Big\} \\
&+\lambda_{13}^{+}\Big\{\eta_1^{+}|\phi_{140}^{1}\rangle_{(1,0,0)} + \eta_{11}^{+}|\phi_{141}^{1}\rangle_{(0)}\Big\} + \lambda_{23}^{+}\Big\{\eta_1^{+}|\phi_{142}^{1}\rangle_{(0,1,0)} + \eta_2^{+}|\phi_{143}^{1}\rangle_{(1,0,0)} + \eta_{11}^{+}|\phi_{144}^{1}\rangle_{(-1,1,0)} \\
&+\eta_{12}^{+}|\phi_{145}^{1}\rangle_{(0)} + \vartheta_{12}^{+}|\phi_{146}^{1}\rangle_{(2,0,0)}\Big\}\Big] + \mathcal{P}_{22}^{+}\Big[|\phi_{147}^{1}\rangle_{(2,-1,1)} + \lambda_{23}^{+}\Big\{\eta_1^{+}|\phi_{148}^{1}\rangle_{(1,0,0)} + \eta_{11}^{+}|\phi_{149}^{1}\rangle_{(0)}\Big\}\Big] \\
&+\mathcal{P}_{13}^{+}\Big[|\phi_{150}^{1}\rangle_{(1,1,0)} + \lambda_{12}^{+}\Big\{\eta_1^{+}|\phi_{151}^{1}\rangle_{(1,0,0)} + \eta_{11}^{+}|\phi_{152}^{1}\rangle_{(0)}\Big\}\Big] + \mathcal{P}_{23}^{+}|\phi_{153}^{1}\rangle_{(2,0,0)} + \lambda_{12}^{+}\Big[|\phi_{154}^{1}\rangle_{(3,0,1)} \\
&+\lambda_{13}^{+}\Big\{\eta_1^{+}|\phi_{155}^{1}\rangle_{(3,0,0)} + \eta_{11}^{+}|\phi_{156}^{1}\rangle_{(2,0,0)}\Big\} + \lambda_{23}^{+}\Big\{\eta_1^{+}|\phi_{157}^{1}\rangle_{(2,1,0)} + \eta_2^{+}|\phi_{158}^{1}\rangle_{(3,0,0)} \\
&+\eta_{11}^{+}|\phi_{159}^{1}\rangle_{(1,1,0)} + \eta_{12}^{+}|\phi_{160}^{1}\rangle_{(2,0,0)} + \vartheta_{12}^{+}|\phi_{161}^{1}\rangle_{(4,0,0)}\Big\}\Big] + \lambda_{13}^{+}|\phi_{162}^{1}\rangle_{(3,1,0)} \\
&+\lambda_{23}^{+}|\phi_{163}^{1}\rangle_{(2,2,0)} + \eta_0|\chi_0^{1}\rangle_{(s)_3}
\end{aligned}
$$

where, as an usual the vector $|\chi_0^{1}\rangle_{(s)_3}$ has the same definition and properties as the η_0-independent part of $|\chi^2\rangle_{(s)_3}$ in the Eqs. (D.16), (D.17)–(D.25) and the decomposition of ghost-independent vectors in $\mathcal{H}\bigotimes\mathcal{H}'$ with only different values of spins than in (D.7)–(D.15), (D.17)–(D.25) writes as follows, for $l=107$, $m=1$, $r=163$, $t=154$,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\phi^1_l\rangle_{(0,1,1)} = {}& a_1^{+\mu}\Big(a_3^{+\nu}d^+_{12}|0\rangle\phi^1_{l|\mu,\nu} + a_1^{+\nu}d^+_{12}\{d^+_{13}|0\rangle\phi'^1_{l|\mu\nu} + d^+_{12}d^+_{23}|0\rangle\phi''^1_{l|\mu\nu}\} \\
&+a_2^{+\nu}\{d^+_{13}|0\rangle\phi'''^1_{l|\mu,\nu} + d^+_{12}d^+_{23}|0\rangle\phi^{(iv)1}_{l|\mu,\nu}\}\Big) + a_2^{+\mu}\Big(a_3^{+\nu}|0\rangle\phi^{(v)1}_{l|\mu,\nu} + a_2^{+\nu}d^+_{23}|0\rangle\phi^{(6)1}_{l|\mu\nu}\Big) \\
&+b^+_{11}d^+_{12}\{d^+_{13}|0\rangle\phi^1_l + d^+_{12}d^+_{23}|0\rangle\phi'^1_l\} + b^+_{12}\{d^+_{13}|0\rangle\phi''^1_l + d^+_{12}d^+_{23}|0\rangle\phi'''^1_l\} + b^+_{13}d^+_{12}\phi^{(iv)1}_l \\
&+b^+_{22}d^+_{23}\phi^{(v)1}_l + b^+_{23}\phi^{(6)1}_l\,,
\end{aligned}\tag{D.27}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
|\phi^1_m\rangle_{(1,1,1)} = {}& a_1^{+\mu}\Big(a_1^{+\nu}a_1^{+\rho}d^+_{12}\{d^+_{13}|0\rangle\phi^1_{m|\mu\nu\rho} + d^+_{12}d^+_{23}|0\rangle\phi'^1_{m|\mu\nu\rho}\} + a_1^{+\nu}a_2^{+\rho}\{d^+_{13}|0\rangle\phi''^1_{m|\mu\nu,\rho} \\
&+d^+_{12}d^+_{23}|0\rangle\phi'''^1_{m|\mu\nu,\rho}\} + a_1^{+\nu}a_3^{+\rho}d^+_{12}|0\rangle\phi^{(iv)1}_{m|\mu\nu,\rho} + a_2^{+\nu}a_2^{+\rho}d^+_{23}|0\rangle\phi^{(v)1}_{m|\mu,\nu\rho} + a_2^{+\nu}a_3^{+\rho}|0\rangle\phi^{(vi)1}_{m|\mu,\nu,\rho} \\
&+b^+_{11}d^+_{12}\{d^+_{13}|0\rangle\phi^1_{m|\mu} + d^+_{12}d^+_{23}|0\rangle\phi'^1_{m|\mu}\} + b^+_{12}\{d^+_{13}|0\rangle\phi''^1_{m|\mu} + d^+_{12}d^+_{23}|0\rangle\phi'''^1_{m|\mu}\} + b^+_{22}d^+_{23}\phi^{(iv)1}_{m|\mu} \\
&+b^+_{23}|0\rangle\phi^{(v)1}_{m|\mu}\Big) + b^+_{11}\Big(a_2^{+\mu}\{d^+_{13}|0\rangle\phi^{(6)1}_{m|\mu} + d^+_{12}d^+_{23}|0\rangle\phi^{(7)1}_{m|\mu}\} + a_3^{+\mu}d^+_{12}|0\rangle\phi^{(8)1}_{m|\mu}\Big) \\
&+b^+_{12}\Big(a_2^{+\mu}d^+_{23}|0\rangle\phi^{(9)1}_{m|\mu} + a_3^{+\mu}|0\rangle\phi^{(10)1}_{m|\mu}\Big) + b^+_{13}\Big(a_2^{+\mu}|0\rangle\phi^{(11)1}_{m|\mu} + a_1^{+\mu}d^+_{12}|0\rangle\phi^{(12)1}_{m|\mu}\Big)\,,
\end{aligned}\tag{D.28}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
|\phi^1_r\rangle_{(2,2,0)} = {}& a_1^{+\mu}a_1^{+\nu}\Big(a_1^{+\rho}a_1^{+\sigma}(d^+_{12})^2|0\rangle\phi^1_{r|\mu\nu\rho\sigma} + a_1^{+\rho}a_2^{+\sigma}d^+_{12}|0\rangle\phi'^1_{r|\mu\nu\rho,\sigma} \\
&+a_2^{+\rho}a_2^{+\sigma}|0\rangle\phi''^1_{r|\mu\nu,\rho\sigma} + b^+_{11}(d^+_{12})^2|0\rangle\phi^1_{r|\mu\nu} + b^+_{12}d^+_{12}|0\rangle\phi'^1_{r|\mu\nu} + b^+_{22}|0\rangle\phi''^1_{r|\mu\nu}\Big) \\
&+b^+_{11}\Big(a_1^{+\mu}a_2^{+\nu}d^+_{12}|0\rangle\phi^1_{r|\mu,\nu} + a_2^{+\mu}a_2^{+\nu}|0\rangle\phi'''^1_{r|\mu\nu} + b^+_{11}(d^+_{12})^2|0\rangle\phi^1_r + b^+_{12}d^+_{12}|0\rangle\phi'^1_r \\
&+b^+_{22}|0\rangle\phi''^1_r\Big) + b^+_{12}\Big(a_1^{+\mu}a_2^{+\nu}|0\rangle\phi'^1_{r|\mu,\nu} + b^+_{12}|0\rangle\phi'''^1_r\Big),
\end{aligned}\tag{D.29}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
|\phi^1_t\rangle_{(3,0,1)} = {}& a_1^{+\mu}a_1^{+\nu}a_1^{+\rho}\Big(a_1^{+\sigma}\{d^+_{13}|0\rangle\phi^1_{t|\mu\nu\rho\sigma} + d^+_{12}d^+_{23}|0\rangle\phi'^1_{t|\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\} + a_2^{+\sigma}d^+_{23}|0\rangle\phi''^1_{t|\mu\nu\rho,\sigma} \\
&+a_3^{+\sigma}|0\rangle\phi'''^1_{t|\mu\nu\rho,\sigma}\Big) + a_1^{+\mu}a_1^{+\nu}\Big(b^+_{11}\{d^+_{13}|0\rangle\phi^1_{t|\mu\nu} + d^+_{12}d^+_{23}|0\rangle\phi'^1_{t|\mu\nu}\} + b^+_{12}d^+_{23}|0\rangle\phi''^1_{t|\mu\nu} \\
&+b^+_{13}|0\rangle\phi'''^1_{t|\mu\nu}\Big) + a_1^{+\mu}b^+_{11}\Big(a_2^{+\nu}d^+_{23}|0\rangle\phi^1_{t|\mu,\nu} + a_3^{+\nu}|0\rangle\phi'^1_{t|\mu,\nu}\Big) + b^+_{11}\big(b^+_{11}\{d^+_{13}|0\rangle\phi^1_t \\
&+d^+_{12}d^+_{23}|0\rangle\phi'^1_t\} + b^+_{12}d^+_{23}|0\rangle\phi''^1_t + b^+_{13}|0\rangle\phi'''^1_t\big).
\end{aligned}\tag{D.30}$$

At last, the conditions (6.6) and (6.5) applied for $l=0$ and $(s)_3=(2,1,1)$ permit one to decompose the field vector $|\chi\rangle_{(s)_3}$ to be derived from general Eq.(5.7), in even powers of ghosts from $(190+164)$ summands starting from the ghost-independent vector $|\Psi\rangle_{(2,1,1)}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\chi\rangle_{(s)_3} \;=\; {}& |\Psi\rangle_{(2,1,1)} + \mathcal{P}^+_1\Big[\eta^+_1|\phi_1\rangle_{(0,1,1)} + \eta^+_2|\phi_2\rangle_{(1,0,1)} + \eta^+_3|\phi_3\rangle_{(1,1,0)} + \eta^+_{11}|\phi_4\rangle_{(-1,1,1)} \\
&+\eta^+_{12}|\phi_5\rangle_{(0,0,1)} + \eta^+_{13}|\phi_6\rangle_{(0,1,0)} + \eta^+_{22}|\phi_7\rangle_{(1,-1,1)} + \eta^+_{23}|\phi_8\rangle_{(1,0,0)} + \vartheta^+_{12}|\phi_9\rangle_{(2,0,1)} \\
&+\vartheta^+_{13}|\phi_{10}\rangle_{(2,1,0)} + \vartheta^+_{23}|\phi_{11}\rangle_{(1,2,0)} + \mathcal{P}^+_2\Big\{\eta^+_1\Big(\eta^+_2|\phi_{12}\rangle_{(0,-1,1)} + \eta^+_3|\phi_{13}\rangle_{(0)} + \vartheta^+_{12}|\phi_{14}\rangle_{(1,-1,1)} \\
&+\vartheta^+_{13}|\phi_{15}\rangle_{(1,0,0)} + \vartheta^+_{23}|\phi_{16}\rangle_{(0,1,0)}\Big) + \eta^+_2\vartheta^+_{23}|\phi_{17}\rangle_{(1,0,0)} + \eta^+_{11}\Big(\vartheta^+_{12}|\phi_{18}\rangle_{(0,-1,1)} \\
&+\vartheta^+_{13}|\phi_{19}\rangle_{(0)} + \vartheta^+_{23}|\phi_{20}\rangle_{(-1,1,0)}\Big) + \eta^+_{12}\vartheta^+_{23}|\phi_{21}\rangle_{(0)} + \vartheta^+_{12}\vartheta^+_{23}|\phi_{22}\rangle_{(2,0,0)}\Big\} \\
&+\mathcal{P}^+_3\Big\{\eta^+_1\Big(\eta^+_2|\phi_{23}\rangle_{(0)} + \vartheta^+_{12}|\phi_{24}\rangle_{(1,0,0)}\Big) + \eta^+_{11}\vartheta^+_{12}|\phi_{25}\rangle_{(0)}\Big\} + \mathcal{P}^+_{11}\Big\{\eta^+_1\big(\vartheta^+_{12}\big[|\phi_{26}\rangle_{(-1,0,1)} \\
&+\vartheta^+_{23}\lambda^+_{12}|\phi_{27}\rangle_{(0)}\big] + \vartheta^+_{13}|\phi_{28}\rangle_{(-1,1,0)} + \vartheta^+_{23}|\phi_{29}\rangle_{(-2,2,0)}\big) + \eta^+_2\big(\vartheta^+_{12}|\phi_{30}\rangle_{(0,-1,1)} \\
&+\vartheta^+_{13}|\phi_{31}\rangle_{(0)} + \vartheta^+_{23}|\phi_{32}\rangle_{(-1,1,0)}\big) + \eta^+_3\vartheta^+_{12}|\phi_{33}\rangle_{(0)} + \vartheta^+_{12}\big(\vartheta^+_{13}|\phi_{34}\rangle_{(1,0,0)} + \vartheta^+_{23}|\phi_{35}\rangle_{(0,1,0)}\big)\Big\}
\end{aligned}\tag{D.31}$$

$$+\mathcal{P}_{12}^+\Big\{\eta_1^+\big(\vartheta_{12}^+|\phi_{36}\rangle_{(0,-1,1)} + \vartheta_{13}^+|\phi_{37}\rangle_{(0)} + \vartheta_{23}^+|\phi_{38}\rangle_{(-1,1,0)}\big) + \eta_2^+\vartheta_{23}^+|\phi_{39}\rangle_{(0)}$$

$$+\vartheta_{12}^+\vartheta_{23}^+|\phi_{40}\rangle_{(1,0,0)}\Big\} + \mathcal{P}_{13}^+\eta_1^+\vartheta_{12}^+|\phi_{41}\rangle_{(0)} + \mathcal{P}_{22}^+\eta_1^+\vartheta_{23}^+|\phi_{42}\rangle_{(0)} + \lambda_{12}^+\Big\{\eta_1^+\Big(\eta_2^+|\phi_{43}\rangle_{(1,-1,1)}$$

$$+\eta_3^+|\phi_{44}\rangle_{(1,0,0)} + \eta_{11}^+\big[|\phi_{45}\rangle_{(-1,0,1)} + \vartheta_{12}^+\lambda_{23}^+|\phi_{46}\rangle_{(0)}\big] + \eta_{12}^+|\phi_{47}\rangle_{(0,-1,1)} + \eta_{13}^+|\phi_{48}\rangle_{(0)}$$

$$+\vartheta_{12}^+|\phi_{49}\rangle_{(2,-1,1)} + \vartheta_{13}^+|\phi_{50}\rangle_{(2,0,0)} + \vartheta_{23}^+|\phi_{51}\rangle_{(1,1,0)}\Big) + \eta_2^+\Big(\eta_{11}^+|\phi_{52}\rangle_{(0,-1,1)} + \vartheta_{23}^+|\phi_{53}\rangle_{(2,0,0)}\Big)$$

$$+\eta_3^+\eta_{11}^+|\phi_{54}\rangle_{(0)} + \eta_{11}^+\Big(\vartheta_{12}^+|\phi_{55}\rangle_{(1,-1,1)} + \vartheta_{13}^+|\phi_{56}\rangle_{(1,0,0)} + \vartheta_{23}^+|\phi_{57}\rangle_{(0,1,0)}\Big)$$

$$+\eta_{12}^+\vartheta_{23}^+|\phi_{58}\rangle_{(1,0,0)} + \vartheta_{12}^+\vartheta_{23}^+|\phi_{59}\rangle_{(3,0,0)}\Big\} \; + \; \lambda_{13}^+\Big\{\eta_1^+\Big(\eta_2^+|\phi_{60}\rangle_{(1,0,0)} + \eta_{11}^+|\phi_{61}\rangle_{(-1,1,0)}$$

$$+\eta_{12}^+|\phi_{62}\rangle_{(0)} + \vartheta_{12}^+|\phi_{63}\rangle_{(2,0,0)}\Big) + \eta_2^+\eta_{11}^+|\phi_{64}\rangle_{(0)} + \eta_{11}^+\vartheta_{12}^+|\phi_{65}\rangle_{(1,0,0)}\Big\}$$

$$+\lambda_{23}^+\Big\{\eta_1^+\Big(\eta_2^+|\phi_{66}\rangle_{(0,1,0)} + \eta_{11}^+|\phi_{67}\rangle_{(-2,2,0)} + \eta_{12}^+|\phi_{68}\rangle_{(-1,1,0)} + \eta_{22}^+|\phi_{69}\rangle_{(0)} + \vartheta_{12}^+|\phi_{70}\rangle_{(1,1,0)}\Big)$$

$$+\eta_2^+\big(\eta_{11}^+|\phi_{71}\rangle_{(-1,1,0)} + \eta_{12}^+|\phi_{72}\rangle_{(0)} + \vartheta_{12}^+|\phi_{73}\rangle_{(2,0,0)}\big) + \eta_{11}^+\vartheta_{12}^+|\phi_{74}\rangle_{(0,1,0)} + \eta_{12}^+\vartheta_{12}^+|\phi_{75}\rangle_{(1,0,0)}\Big\}\bigg]$$

$$+\mathcal{P}_2^+\bigg[\eta_1^+|\phi_{76}\rangle_{(1,0,1)} + \eta_2^+|\phi_{77}\rangle_{(2,-1,1)} + \eta_3^+|\phi_{78}\rangle_{(2,0,0)} + \eta_{11}^+|\phi_{79}\rangle_{(0,0,1)} + \eta_{12}^+|\phi_{80}\rangle_{(1,-1,1)}$$

$$+\eta_{13}^+|\phi_{81}\rangle_{(1,0,0)} + \vartheta_{12}^+|\phi_{82}\rangle_{(3,-1,1)} + \vartheta_{13}^+|\phi_{83}\rangle_{(3,0,0)} + \vartheta_{23}^+|\phi_{84}\rangle_{(2,1,0)}$$

$$+\mathcal{P}_{11}^+\Big\{\eta_1^+\Big(\vartheta_{12}^+|\phi_{85}\rangle_{(0,-1,1)} + \vartheta_{13}^+|\phi_{86}\rangle_{(0)} + \vartheta_{23}^+|\phi_{87}\rangle_{(-1,1,0)}\Big) + \eta_2^+\vartheta_{23}^+|\phi_{88}\rangle_{(0)}$$

$$+\vartheta_{12}^+\vartheta_{23}^+|\phi_{89}\rangle_{(1,0,0)}\Big\} + \mathcal{P}_{12}^+\eta_1^+\vartheta_{23}^+|\phi_{90}\rangle_{(0)} + \lambda_{12}^+\Big\{\eta_1^+\Big(\eta_{11}^+|\phi_{91}\rangle_{(0,-1,1)} + \vartheta_{23}^+|\phi_{92}\rangle_{(2,0,0)}\Big)$$

$$+\eta_{11}^+\vartheta_{23}^+|\phi_{93}\rangle_{(1,0,0)}\Big\} + \lambda_{13}^+\eta_1^+\eta_{11}^+|\phi_{94}\rangle_{(0)} + \lambda_{23}^+\Big\{\eta_1^+\Big(\eta_2^+|\phi_{95}\rangle_{(1,0,0)} + \eta_{11}^+|\phi_{96}\rangle_{(-1,1,0)}$$

$$+\eta_{12}^+|\phi_{97}\rangle_{(0)} + \vartheta_{12}^+|\phi_{98}\rangle_{(2,0,0)}\Big) + \eta_2^+\eta_{11}^+|\phi_{99}\rangle_{(0)} + \eta_{11}^+\vartheta_{12}^+|\phi_{100}\rangle_{(1,0,0)}\Big\}\bigg]$$

$$+\mathcal{P}_3^+\bigg[\eta_1^+|\phi_{101}\rangle_{(1,1,0)} + \eta_2^+|\phi_{102}\rangle_{(2,0,0)} + \eta_{11}^+|\phi_{103}\rangle_{(0,1,0)} + \eta_{12}^+|\phi_{104}\rangle_{(1,0,0)} + \vartheta_{12}^+|\phi_{105}\rangle_{(3,0,0)}$$

$$+\mathcal{P}_{11}^+\eta_1^+\vartheta_{12}^+|\phi_{106}\rangle_{(0)} + \lambda_{12}^+\eta_1^+\eta_{11}^+|\phi_{107}\rangle_{(0)}\bigg]$$

$$+\mathcal{P}_{11}^+\bigg[\eta_1^+|\phi_{108}\rangle_{(-1,1,1)} + \eta_2^+|\phi_{109}\rangle_{(0,0,1)} + \eta_3^+|\phi_{110}\rangle_{(0,1,0)} + \eta_{11}^+|\phi_{111}\rangle_{(-2,1,1)} + \eta_{12}^+|\phi_{112}\rangle_{(-1,0,1)}$$

$$+\eta_{13}^+|\phi_{190}\rangle_{(-1,1,0)} + \eta_{22}^+|\phi_{113}\rangle_{(0,-1,1)} + \eta_{23}^+|\phi_{114}\rangle_{(0)} + \vartheta_{12}^+|\phi_{115}\rangle_{(1,0,1)} + \vartheta_{13}^+|\phi_{116}\rangle_{(1,1,0)}$$

$$+\vartheta_{23}^+|\phi_{117}\rangle_{(0,2,0)} + \mathcal{P}_{12}^+\vartheta_{12}^+\vartheta_{23}^+|\phi_{118}\rangle_{(0)} + \lambda_{12}^+\Big\{\eta_1^+\Big(\eta_2^+|\phi_{119}\rangle_{(0,-1,1)} + \eta_3^+|\phi_{120}\rangle_{(0)}$$

$$+\vartheta_{12}^+|\phi_{121}\rangle_{(1,-1,1)} + \vartheta_{13}^+|\phi_{122}\rangle_{(1,0,0)} + \vartheta_{23}^+|\phi_{123}\rangle_{(0,1,0)}\Big) + \eta_2^+\vartheta_{23}^+|\phi_{124}\rangle_{(1,0,0)}$$

$$+\eta_{11}^+\Big(\vartheta_{12}^+|\phi_{125}\rangle_{(0,-1,1)} + \vartheta_{13}^+|\phi_{126}\rangle_{(0)} + \vartheta_{23}^+|\phi_{127}\rangle_{(-1,1,0)}\Big) + \eta_{12}^+\vartheta_{23}^+|\phi_{128}\rangle_{(0)} + \vartheta_{12}^+\vartheta_{23}^+|\phi_{129}\rangle_{(2,0,0)}\Big\}$$

$$+\lambda_{13}^+\Big\{\eta_1^+\big(\eta_2^+|\phi_{130}\rangle_{(0)} + \vartheta_{12}^+|\phi_{131}\rangle_{(1,0,0)}\big) + \eta_{11}^+\vartheta_{12}^+|\phi_{132}\rangle_{(0)}\Big\} + \lambda_{23}^+\Big\{\eta_1^+\big(\eta_2^+|\phi_{133}\rangle_{(-1,1,0)}$$

$$+\vartheta_{12}^+|\phi_{134}\rangle_{(0,1,0)}\big) + \eta_2^+\vartheta_{12}^+|\phi_{135}\rangle_{(1,0,0)} + \eta_{11}^+\vartheta_{12}^+|\phi_{136}\rangle_{(-1,1,0)} + \eta_{12}^+\vartheta_{12}^+|\phi_{137}\rangle_{(0)}\Big\}\bigg]$$

$$+\mathcal{P}_{12}^+\bigg[\eta_1^+|\phi_{138}\rangle_{(0,0,1)} + \eta_2^+|\phi_{139}\rangle_{(1,-1,1)} + \eta_3^+|\phi_{140}\rangle_{(1,0,0)} + \eta_{11}^+|\phi_{141}\rangle_{(-1,0,1)} + \eta_{12}^+|\phi_{142}\rangle_{(0,-1,1)}$$

$$+\eta_{13}^+|\phi_{143}\rangle_{(0)} + \vartheta_{12}^+|\phi_{144}\rangle_{(2,-1,1)} + \vartheta_{13}^+|\phi_{145}\rangle_{(2,0,0)} + \vartheta_{23}^+|\phi_{146}\rangle_{(1,1,0)} + \lambda_{12}^+\Big\{\eta_1^+\vartheta_{23}^+|\phi_{147}\rangle_{(1,0,0)}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\eta^+_{11}\vartheta^+_{23}|\phi_{148}\rangle_{(0)}\Big\} + \lambda^+_{23}\Big\{\eta^+_1\Big(\eta^+_2|\phi_{149}\rangle_{(0)} + \vartheta^+_{12}|\phi_{150}\rangle_{(1,0,0)}\Big) + \eta^+_{11}\vartheta^+_{12}|\phi_{151}\rangle_{(0)}\Big\}\Big]\\
&+\mathcal{P}^+_{22}\Big[\eta^+_1|\phi_{152}\rangle_{(1,-1,1)} + \eta^+_{11}|\phi_{153}\rangle_{(0,-1,1)} + \vartheta^+_{23}|\phi_{154}\rangle_{(2,0,0)}\Big]\\
&+\mathcal{P}^+_{13}\Big[\eta^+_1|\phi_{155}\rangle_{(0,1,0)} + \eta^+_2|\phi_{156}\rangle_{(1,0,0)} + \eta^+_{11}|\phi_{157}\rangle_{(-1,1,0)} + \eta^+_{12}|\phi_{158}\rangle_{(0)} + \vartheta^+_{12}|\phi_{159}\rangle_{(2,0,0)}\Big]\\
&+\mathcal{P}^+_{23}\Big[\eta^+_1|\phi_{160}\rangle_{(1,0,0)} + \eta^+_{11}|\phi_{161}\rangle_{(0)}\Big]\\
&+\lambda^+_{12}\Big[\eta^+_1|\phi_{162}\rangle_{(2,0,1)} + \eta^+_2|\phi_{163}\rangle_{(3,-1,1)} + \eta^+_3|\phi_{164}\rangle_{(3,0,0)} + \eta^+_{11}|\phi_{165}\rangle_{(1,0,1)} + \eta^+_{12}|\phi_{166}\rangle_{(2,-1,1)}\\
&\quad+\eta^+_{13}|\phi_{167}\rangle_{(2,0,0)} + \vartheta^+_{12}|\phi_{168}\rangle_{(4,-1,1)} + \vartheta^+_{13}|\phi_{169}\rangle_{(4,0,0)} + \vartheta^+_{23}|\phi_{170}\rangle_{(3,1,0)}\\
&\quad+\lambda^+_{13}\eta^+_1\eta^+_{11}|\phi_{171}\rangle_{(1,0,0)} + \lambda^+_{23}\Big\{\eta^+_1\big(\eta^+_2|\phi_{172}\rangle_{(2,0,0)} + \eta^+_{11}|\phi_{173}\rangle_{(0,1,0)} + \eta^+_{12}|\phi_{174}\rangle_{(1,0,0)}\\
&\quad+\vartheta^+_{12}|\phi_{175}\rangle_{(3,0,0)}\big) + \eta^+_2\eta^+_{11}|\phi_{176}\rangle_{(1,0,0)} + \eta^+_{11}\big(\eta^+_{12}|\phi_{177}\rangle_{(0)} + \vartheta^+_{12}|\phi_{178}\rangle_{(2,0,0)}\big)\Big\}\Big]\\
&+\lambda^+_{13}\Big[\eta^+_1|\phi_{179}\rangle_{(2,1,0)} + \eta^+_2|\phi_{180}\rangle_{(3,0,0)} + \eta^+_{11}|\phi_{181}\rangle_{(1,1,0)} + \eta^+_{12}|\phi_{182}\rangle_{(2,0,0)} + \vartheta^+_{12}|\phi_{183}\rangle_{(4,0,0)}\Big]\\
&+\lambda^+_{23}\Big[\eta^+_1|\phi_{184}\rangle_{(1,2,0)} + \eta^+_2|\phi_{185}\rangle_{(2,1,0)} + \eta^+_{11}|\phi_{186}\rangle_{(0,2,0)} + \eta^+_{12}|\phi_{187}\rangle_{(1,1,0)} + \eta^+_{22}|\phi_{188}\rangle_{(2,0,0)}\\
&\quad+\vartheta^+_{12}|\phi_{189}\rangle_{(3,1,0)}\Big] + \eta_0|\chi_0\rangle_{(s)_3}\,,
\end{aligned}
$$

where, the vector $|\chi_0\rangle_{(s)_3}$ has the same definition and properties as η_0-independent part of $|\chi^1\rangle_{(s)_3}$ in the Eqs. (D.26), (D.27)–(D.30) and the decomposition of ghost-independent vectors in $\mathcal{H}\bigotimes\mathcal{H}'$ with only different values of spins than in (D.7)–(D.15), (D.17)–(D.25), (D.27)–(D.30) has the form,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\Psi\rangle_{(2,1,1)} = {}& a^{+\mu}_1\Big(a^{+\nu}_1a^{+\rho}_1\Big[a^{+\sigma}_1d^+_{12}\big\{d^+_{13}|0\rangle\phi_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} + d^+_{12}d^+_{23}|0\rangle\phi'_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\big\} + a^{+\sigma}_2\big\{d^+_{13}|0\rangle\phi''_{\mu\nu\rho,\sigma} \qquad \text{(D.32)}\\
&+d^+_{12}d^+_{23}|0\rangle\phi'''_{\mu\nu\rho,\sigma}\big\} + a^{+\sigma}_3d^+_{12}|0\rangle\phi^{(iv)}_{\mu\nu\rho,\sigma}\Big] + a^{+\nu}_1a^{+\rho}_2a^{+\sigma}_2d^+_{23}|0\rangle\phi^{(v)}_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma}\\
&+a^{+\nu}_1a^{+\rho}_2a^{+\sigma}_3|0\rangle\Phi_{\mu\nu,\rho,\sigma} + a^{+\nu}_1b^+_{11}d^+_{12}\big\{d^+_{13}|0\rangle\phi_{\mu\nu} + d^+_{12}d^+_{23}|0\rangle\phi'_{\mu\nu}\big\} + a^{+\nu}_1b^+_{12}\big\{d^+_{13}|0\rangle\phi''_{\mu\nu}\\
&+d^+_{12}d^+_{23}|0\rangle\phi'''_{\mu\nu}\big\} + a^{+\nu}_1b^+_{13}d^+_{12}|0\rangle\phi^{(iv)}_{\mu\nu} + a^{+\nu}_1b^+_{22}d^+_{23}|0\rangle\phi^{(v)}_{\mu\nu} + a^{+\nu}_1b^+_{23}|0\rangle\phi^{(6)}_{\mu\nu}\\
&+a^{+\nu}_2b^+_{11}\big\{d^+_{13}|0\rangle\phi^{(7)}_{\mu,\nu} + d^+_{12}d^+_{23}|0\rangle\phi^{(8)}_{\mu,\nu}\big\} + a^{+\nu}_3b^+_{11}d^+_{12}|0\rangle\phi^{(9)}_{\mu,\nu} + a^{+\nu}_2b^+_{12}d^+_{23}|0\rangle\phi^{(10)}_{\mu,\nu}\\
&+a^{+\nu}_2b^+_{13}|0\rangle\phi^{(11)}_{\mu,\nu} + a^{+\nu}_3b^+_{12}|0\rangle\phi^{(12)}_{\mu,\nu}\Big) + b^+_{11}a^{+\mu}_2\Big(a^{+\nu}_2d^+_{23}|0\rangle\phi^{(13)}_{\mu\nu} + a^{+\nu}_3|0\rangle\phi^{(14)}_{\mu,\nu}\Big)\\
&+b^+_{11}\Big(b^+_{11}d^+_{12}\big\{d^+_{13}|0\rangle\phi + d^+_{12}d^+_{23}|0\rangle\phi'\big\} + b^+_{12}\big\{d^+_{13}|0\rangle\phi'' + d^+_{12}d^+_{23}|0\rangle\phi'''\big\} + b^+_{13}d^+_{12}|0\rangle\phi^{(iv)}\\
&+b^+_{22}d^+_{23}|0\rangle\phi^{(v)} + b^+_{23}|0\rangle\phi^{(vi)}\Big) + b^+_{12}\big(b^+_{12}d^+_{23}|0\rangle\phi^{(7)} + b^+_{13}|0\rangle\phi^{(8)}\big)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
|\phi_m\rangle_{(3,-1,1)} = a^{+\mu}_1d^+_{23}\big(a^{+\nu}_1a^{+\rho}_1|0\rangle\phi_{m|\mu\nu\rho} + b^+_{11}|0\rangle\phi^1_{m|\mu}\big)\,, \tag{D.33}
$$

$$
|\phi_p\rangle_{(4,-1,1)} = a^{+\mu}_1a^{+\nu}_1d^+_{23}\big(a^{+\rho}_1a^{+\sigma}_1|0\rangle\phi_{p|\mu\nu\rho\sigma} + b^+_{11}|0\rangle\phi_{p|\mu\nu}\big) + (b^+_{11})^2d^+_{23}|0\rangle\phi_p, \tag{D.34}
$$

for $m = 163, p = 168$, and with initial tensor field $\Phi_{\mu\nu,\rho,\sigma}$ describing massless particle with spin $(2,1,1)$.

References

[1] M. Vasiliev, Higher spin gauge theories in various dimensions, Fortsch. Phys. 52 (2004) 702–717, [arXiv:hep-th/0401177]; D. Sorokin, Introduction to the classical theory of higher spins,

AIP Conf. Proc. 767 (2005) 172–202, [arXiv:hep-th/0405069]; N. Bouatta, G. Compère, A. Sagnotti, An introduction to free higher-spin fields, [arXiv:hep-th/0409068]; A. Sagnotti, E. Sezgin, P. Sundell, On higher spins with a strong Sp(2,R) sondition, [arXiv:hep-th/0501156]; X. Bekaert, S. Cnockaert, C. Iazeolla, M.A. Vasiliev, Nonlinear higher spin theories in various dimensions, [arXiv:hep-th/0503128]; A. Fotopoulos, M. Tsulaia, Gauge Invariant Lagrangians for Free and Interacting Higher Spin Fields. A review of BRST formulation, Int.J.Mod.Phys. A24 (2008) 1–60, [arXiv:0805.1346[hep-th]].

[2] N. Beisert, M. Bianchi, J.F. Morales, H. Samtleben, Higher spin symmetries and N=4 SYM, JHEP 0407 (2004) 058, [arXiv:hep-th/0405057]; A.C. Petkou, Holography, duality and higher spin fields, [arXiv:hep-th/0410116]; M. Bianchi, P.J. Heslop, F. Riccioni, More on la Grande Bouffe: towards higher spin symmetry breaking in AdS, JHEP 0508 (2005) 088, [arXiv:hep-th/0504156]; P.J. Heslop, F. Riccioni, On the fermionic Grande Bouffe: more on higher spin symmetry breaking in AdS/CFT, JHEP 0510 (2005) 060, [arXiv:hep-th/0508086]; M. Bianchi, V. Didenko, Massive higher spin multiplets and holography, [arXiv:hep-th/0502220].

[3] S. Deser, A. Waldron, Gauge invariances and phases of massive higher spins in (A)dS, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87 (2001) 031601, [arXiv:hep-th/0102166]; S. Deser, A. Waldron, Partial Masslessness of Higher Spins in (A)dS, Nucl. Phys. B607 (2001) 577–604, [arXiv:hep-th/0103198]; Null propagation of partially massless higher spins in (A)dS and cosmological constant speculations, Phys. Lett. B513 (2001) 137–141, [arXiv:hep-th/0105181]; K. Hallowell, A. Waldron, Constant curvature algebras and higher spin action generating functions, Nucl. Phys. B724 (2005) 453–486, [arXiv:hep-th/0505255]; E.D. Skvortsov, M.A. Vasiliev, Geometric formulation for partially massless fields, Nucl. Phys. B756 (2006) 117–147, [arXiv:hep-th/0601095].

[4] G. Bonelli, On the boundary gauge dual of closed tensionless free strings in AdS, JHEP 0411 (2004) 059, [arXiv:hep-th/0407144]; On the covariant quantization of tensionless bosonic strings in AdS spacetime, JHEP 0311 (2003) 028, [arXiv:hep-th/0309222]; On the tensionless limit of bosonic strings, infinite symmetries and higher spins, Nucl. Phys. B669 (2003) 159–172, [arXiv:hep-th/0305155].

[5] G. Barnich, M. Grigoriev, A. Semikhatov, I. Tipunin, Parent field theory and unfolding in BRST first-quantized terms, Commun. Math. Phys. 260 (2005) 141; G. Barnich, M. Grigoriev, Parent form for higher spin fields in anti-de Sitter space, JHEP 0608 (2006) 013; M. Grigoriev, Off-shell gauge fields from BRST quantization, [arXiv:hep-th/0605089]; K.B. Alkalaev, M. Grigoriev, I.Y. Tipunin, Massless Poincare modules and gauge invariant equations, Nucl. Phys. B823 (2009) 509, [arXiv:0811.3999 [hep-th]]; X. Bekaert, M. Grigoriev, SIGMA 6 (2010) 038, [arXiv:0907.3195[hep-th]]; K.B. Alkalaev, M. Grigoriev, Unified BRST description of AdS gauge fields, Nucl. Phys. B835 (2010) 197, [arXiv:0910.2690[hep-th]]; O.A. Gelfond, M.A. Vasiliev, $Sp(8)$ invariant higher spin theory, twistors and geometric BRST formulation of unfolded field equations, JHEP 0912 (2009) 021; Unfolding versus BRST and currents in $Sp(2M)$ invariant higher-spin theory, [arXiv:1001.2585[hep-th]].

[6] M.A. Vasiliev, Cubic interactions of bosonic higher spin gauge fields in AdS(5), Nucl. Phys. B616 (2001) 106–162 [Erratum-ibid. B 652 (2003) 407], [arXiv:hep-th/0106200]; Nonlinear equations for symmetric massless higher spin fields in (A)dS(d), Phys. Lett. B567 (2003) 139–151, [arXiv:hep-th/0304049]; Higher Spin Superalgebras in any Dimension and their Representations, JHEP 12 (2004) 046, [hep-th/0404124].

[7] M. Porrati, Universal limits of massless higher-spin particles, Phys.Rev. D78 (2008) 065016; M. Porrati, R. Rahman, Intrinsic cutoff and acausality for massive spin 2 fields coupled to electromagnetism, Nucl.Phys. B801 (2008) 174; A model independent ultraviolet cutoff for theories with charged massive higher spin fileds, Nucl.Phys. B814 (2009) 370; Causal propagation of a charged spin 3/2 field in an external electromagnetic background, Phys.Rev. D80 (2009) 025009; M. Porrati, R. Rahman, A. Sagnotti, String Theory and The Velo-Zwanziger Problem, [arXiv:1011.6411[hep-th]].

[8] A. Sagnotti and M. Taronna, String Lessons for Higher-Spin Interactions, Nucl. Phys. B842 (2011) 299, [arXiv:1006.5242[hep-th]]; E. Joung, M. Taronna, Cubic interactions of massless higher spins in (A)dS: metric-like approach, [arXiv:1110.5918[hep-th]].

[9] R.R. Metsaev, Shadows, currents and AdS, Phys.Rev. D78 (2008) 106010, [arXiv:0805.3472[hep-th]]; CFT adapted gauge invariant formulation of arbitrary spin fields in AdS and modified de Donder gauge, Phys.Lett. B671 (2009) 128–134, [arXiv:0808.3945[hep-th]]; CFT adapted gauge invariant formulation of massive arbitrary spin fields in AdS, Phys.Lett. B682 (2010) 455–461, [arXiv:0907.2207[hep-th]]; Gauge invariant approach to low-spin anomalous conformal currents and shadow fields, Phys.Rev. D83 (2011) 106004, [arXiv:1011.4261[hep-th]].

[10] Yu.A. Zinoviev, Frame-like gauge invariant formulation for massive high spin particles, Nucl. Phys. B808 (2009) 185, [arXiv:0808.1778[hep-th]]; Yu.M. Zinoviev, Gravitational cubic interactions for a massive mixed symmetry gauge field, [arXiv:1107.3222[hep-th]]; On electromagnetic interactions for massive mixed symmetry field, JHEP 1103 (2011) 082, arXiv:1012.2706 [hep-th]]; N. Boulanger, E.D. Skvortsov, Yu.M. Zinoviev, Gravitational cubic interactions for a simple mixed-symmetry gauge field in AdS and flat backgrounds, J.Phys.A A44 (2011) 415403, [arXiv:1107.1872[hep-th]].

[11] I.L. Buchbinder, A.V. Galajinsky, V.A. Krykhtin, Quartet unconstrained formulation for massless higher spin fields, Nucl. Phys. B779 (2007) 155, [arXiv: hep-th/0702161]; I.L. Buchbinder, A.V. Galajinsky, Quartet unconstrained formulation for massive higher spin fields, JHEP 0811 (2008) 081 [arXiv:0810.2852[hep-th]].

[12] D.P. Sorokin, M.A. Vasiliev, Reducible higher-spin multiplets in flat and AdS spaces and their geometric frame-like formulation, Nucl.Phys. B809 (2009) 110–157, [arXiv:0807.0206[hep-th]].

[13] N. Boulanger, P. Sundell, An action principle for Vasiliev's four-dimensional higher-spin gravity, [arXiv:1102.2219[hep-th]]; N. Doroud, L. Smolin, An action for higher spin gauge theory in four dimensions, [arXiv:1102.3297[hep-th]].

[14] C. Fronsdal, Massless fields with integer spin, Phys. Rev. D18 (1978) 3624–3629; J. Fang, C. Fronsdal, Massless fileds with half-integral spin, Phys. Rev. D18 (1978) 3630–3633.

[15] C. Fronsdal, Singletons and massless, integer-spin fileds on de Sitter space, Phys. Rev. D20 (1979) 848–856; J. Fang, C. Fronsdal, Massless, half-integer-spin fields in de Sitter space, Phys. Rev. D22 (1980) 1361–1367; M.A. Vasiliev, 'Gauge' Form Of Description Of Massless Fields With Arbitrary Spin (in Russian), Yad.Fiz. 32 (1980) 855–861.

[16] M.A. Vasiliev, Free massless fermionic fields of arbitrary spin in D-dimensional anti-de Sitter space, Nucl. Phys. B301 (1988) 26–51; V.E. Lopatin, M.A. Vasiliev, Free massless bosonic fields of arbitrary spin in D-dimensional de Sitter space, Mod. Phys. Lett. A3 (1998) 257–265.

[17] L.P.S. Singh, C.R. Hagen, Lagrangian formulation for arbitrary spin. 1. The bosonic case, Phys. Rev. D9 (1974) 898–909; Lagrangian formulation for arbitrary spin. 2. The fermionic case, Phys. Rev. D9 (1974) 910–920; D.S. Ponomarev, M.A. Vasiliev, Frame-Like Action and Unfolded Formulation for Massive Higher-Spin Fields, Nucl. Phys. B839 (2010) 466–498, [arXiv:1001.0062[hep-th]].

[18] Yu.M. Zinoviev, On massive high spin particles in AdS, [arXiv:hep-th/0108192]; R.R. Metsaev, Massive totally symmetric fields in AdS(d), Phys.Lett. B590 (2004) 95–104, [arXiv:hep-th/0312297]; Fermionic fields in the d-dimensional anti-de Sitter spacetime, Phys. Lett. B419 (1998) 49–56, [arXiv:hep-th/9802097]; Light-cone form of field dynamics in anti-de Sitter space-time and AdS/CFT correspondence, Nucl. Phys. B563 (1999) 295–348, [arXiv:hep-th/9906217]; Massless arbitrary spin fields in AdS(5) Phys. Lett. B531 (2002) 152–160, [arXiv:hep-th/0201226]; P. de Medeiros, Massive gauge-invariant field theories on space of constant curvature, Class. Quant. Grav. 21 (2004) 2571–2593, [arXiv:hep-th/0311254].

[19] J.M.F. Labastida, T.R. Morris, Massless mixed symmetry bosonic free fields, Phys. Lett. B180 (1986) 101–106; J.M.F. Labastida, Massless fermionic free fields, Phys. Lett. B186 (1987) 365–369; Massless bosonic free fields, Phys. Rev. Lett. 58 (1987) 531–534; Massless particles in arbitrary representations of the Lorentz group, Nucl. Phys. B322 (1989) 185–209.

[20] L. Brink, R.R. Metsaev, M.A. Vasiliev, How massless are massless fields in AdS_d, Nucl. Phys. B586 (2000) 183–205, [arXiv:hep-th/0005136];

[21] R.R. Metsaev, Massless mixed symmetry bosonic free fields in d-dimensional anti-de Sitter space-time, Phys. Lett. B354 (1995) 78-84; Mixed-symmetry massive fields in AdS(5), Class. Quant. Grav. 22 (2005) 2777–2796, [arXiv:hep-th/0412311];

[22] T. Curtright, Generalized gauge fields, Phys. Lett. B165 (1985) 304; C.S. Aulakh, I.G. Koh, S. Ouvry, Higher spin fields with mixed symmetry, Phys. Lett. B173 (1986) 284.

[23] W. Siegel, B. Zwiebach, Gauge string fields from the light cone, Nucl.Phys.B282 (1987) 125–143; W. Siegel, Gauging Ramond string fields via Osp(1,1/2), Nucl.Phys. B284 (1987) 632–644; N. Berkovits, Manifest electromagnetic duality in closed superstring field theory, Phys.Lett. B388 (1996) 743–752, [arXiv: hep-th/9607070]; W. Siegel, Introduction to String Field Theory, Adv.Ser.Math.Phys.8 (1988) 1–244, [arXiv:hep-th/0107094].

[24] X. Bekaert, N. Boulanger, Tensor gauge fields in arbitrary representations of GL(D,R). II: Quadratic actions, Commun. Math. Phys. 271 (2007) 723-773, [arXiv:hep-th/0606198].

[25] A. Campoleoni, D. Francia, J. Mourad and A. Sagnotti, Unconstrained Higher Spins of Mixed Symmetry. I. Bose Fields, Nucl.Phys. B815 (2009) 289–357, [arXiv:0810.4350[hep-th]]; Unconstrained Higher Spins of Mixed Symmetry. II. Fermi Fields, Nucl. Phys. B828 (2010) 405–514, [arXiv:0904.4447[hep-th]].

[26] K.B. Alkalaev, Free fermionic higher spin fields in AdS(5), Phys. Lett. B519 (2001) 121–128, [arXiv: hep-th/0107040]; K.B. Alkalaev, M.A. Vasiliev, N=1 supersymmetric theory of higher spin gauge fields in AdS(5) at the cubic level, Nucl. Phys. B655 (2003) 57–92, [arXiv:hep-th/0206068]; K.B. Alkalaev, Two column higher spin massless fields in AdS(d), Theor. Math. Phys. 140 (2004) 1253–1263, [arXiv:hep-th/0311212]; K.B. Alkalaev, O.V. Shaynkman, M.A. Vasiliev, On the frame-like formulation of mixed-symmetry massless fields in (A)dS(d), Nucl. Phys. B692 (2004) 363–393, [arXiv:hep-th/0311164]; Lagrangian

formulation for free mixed-symmetry bosonic gauge fields in (A)dS(d), JHEP 0508 (2005) 069, [arXiv:hep-th/0501108]; Frame-like formulation for free mixed-symmetry bosonic massless higher-spin fields in AdS(d), [arXiv:hep-th/0601225]; K.B. Alkalaev, Mixed-symmetry massless gauge fields in AdS(5), Theor. Math. Phys. 149 (2006) 1338–1348.

[27] D. Cherney, E. Latini, A. Waldron, Generalized Einstein Operator Generating Functions, Phys. Lett. B682 (2010) 472–475, [arXiv:0909.4578[hep-th]]; BRST Detour Quantization, J. Math. Phys. 51 (2010) 062302, [arXiv:0906.4814[hep-th]]; F. Bastianelli, O. Corradini, A. Waldron, Detours and Paths: BRST Complexes and Worldline Formalism, JHEP 0905 (2009) 017, [arXiv:0902.0530[hep-th]].

[28] E.D. Skvortsov, Frame-like Actions for Massless Mixed-Symmetry Fields in Minkowski space, Nucl. Phys. B808 (2009) 569, [arXiv:0807.0903[hep-th]]; Mixed-Symmetry Massless Fields in Minkowski space Unfolded, JHEP 07 (2008) 004, [arXiv:0801.2268[hep-th]].

[29] Yu. Zinoviev, Toward frame-like gauge invariant formulation for massive mixed symmetry bosonic fields, Nucl. Phys. B812 (2009) 46, [arXiv:0809.3287[hep-th]]; Frame-like gauge invariant formulation for massive high spin particles, Nucl. Phys. B808 (2009) 185, [arXiv:0808.1778[hep-th]]; Towards frame-like gauge invariant formulation for massive mixed symmetry bosonic fields. II. General Young tableau with two rows, Nucl.Phys. B826 (2010) 490–510, [arXiv:0907.2140[hep-th]].

[30] E.S. Fradkin, G.A. Vilkovisky, Quantization of relativistic systems with constraints, Phys. Lett. B55 (1975) 224–226; I.A. Batalin, G.A. Vilkovisky, Relativistic S-matrix of dynamical systems with boson and fermion constraints, Phys. Lett. B69 (1977) 309–312; I.A. Batalin, E.S. Fradkin, Operator quantization of relativistic dynamical systems subject to first class constraints, Phys. Lett. B128 (1983) 303; I.A. Batalin, E.S. Fradkin, Operator quantization method and abelization of dynamical systems subject to first class constraints, Riv. Nuovo Cimento, 9, No. 10 (1986) 1; I.A. Batalin, E.S. Fradkin, Operator quantization of dynamical systems subject to constraints. A further study of the construction, Ann. Inst. H. Poincare, A49 (1988) 145–214.

[31] L.D. Faddeev, S.L. Shatashvili, Realization of the Schwinger term in the Gauss low and the possibility of correct quantization of a theory with anomalies, Phys.Lett. B167 (1986) 225–238; I.A. Batalin, E.S. Fradkin, T.E. Fradkina, Another version for operatorial quantization of dynamical systems with iireducible constraints, Nucl. Phys. B314 (1989) 158–174; I.A. Batalin, I.V. Tyutin, Existence theorerm for the effective gauge algebra in the generalized canonical formalism and Abelian conversion of second class constraints, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A6 (1991) 3255–3282; E. Egorian, R. Manvelyan, Quantization of dynamical systems with first and second class constraints, Theor. Math. Phys. 94 (1993) 241–252.

[32] C. Burdik, A. Pashnev, M. Tsulaia, On the mixed symmetry irreducible representations of the Poincare group in the BRST approach, Mod. Phys. Lett. A16 (2001) 731–746, [arXiv:hep-th/0101201]; The Lagrangian description of representations of the Poincare group, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 102 (2001) 285–292, [arXiv:hep-th/0103143].

[33] I.L. Buchbinder, A. Pashnev, M. Tsulaia, Lagrangian formulation of the massless higher integer spin fields in the AdS background, Phys. Lett. B523 (2001) 338–346, [arXiv:hep-th/0109067].

[34] A. Pashnev, M.M. Tsulaia, Description of the higher massless irreducible integer spins in the BRST approach, Mod. Phys. Lett. A13 (1998) 1853–1864, [arXiv:hep-th/9803207].

[35] X. Bekaert, I.L. Buchbinder, A. Pashnev, M. Tsulaia, On higher spin theory: strings, BRST, dimensional reductions, Class. Quant. Grav. 21 (2004) 1457–1464, [arXiv:hep-th/0312252]; I.L. Buchbinder, V.A. Krykhtin, P.M. Lavrov, Gauge invariant Lagrangian formulation of higher massive bosonic field theory in AdS space, Nucl. Phys. B762 (2007) 344–376, [arXiv:hep-th/0608005].

[36] I.L. Buchbinder, V.A. Krykhtin, Gauge invariant Lagrangian construction for massive bosonic higher spin fields in D dimensions, Nucl. Phys. B727 (2005) 536–563, [arXiv:hep-th/0505092].

[37] I.L. Buchbinder, V.A. Krykhtin, A. Pashnev, BRST approach to Lagrangian construction for fermionic massless higher spin fields, Nucl. Phys. B711 (2005) 367–391, [arXiv:hep-th/0410215]; I.L. Buchbinder, V.A. Krykhtin, L.L. Ryskina, H. Takata, Gauge invariant Lagrangian construction for massive higher spin fermionic fields, Phys. Lett. B641 (2006) 386–392, [arXiv:hep-th/0603212].

[38] I.L. Buchbinder, V.A. Krykhtin, A.A. Reshetnyak, BRST approach to Lagrangian construction for fermionic higher spin fields in AdS space, Nucl. Phys. B787 (2007) 211–240, [arXiv:hep-th/0703049].

[39] I.L. Buchbinder, V.A. Krykhtin, H. Takata, Gauge invariant Lagrangian construction for massive bosonic mixed-symmetry higher spin fields, Phys. Lett. B656 (2007) 253, [arXiv:0707.2181[hep-th]]; P.Yu. Moshin, A.A. Reshetnyak, BRST Approach to Lagrangian Formulation for Mixed-Symmetry Fermionic Higher-Spin Fileds, JHEP 0710 (2007) 040, [arXiv:0706.0386[hep-th]].

[40] I.L. Buchbinder, V.A. Krykhtin, L.L. Ryskina, BRST approach to Lagrangian formulation of bosonic totally antisymmeric tensor fields in curved space, Mod. Phys. Lett. A24 (2009) 401; I.L. Buchbinder, V.A. Krykhtin, L.L. Ryskina, Lagrangian formulation of massive fermionic totally antisymmetric tensor field theory in AdS$_d$ space, Nucl. Phys. B819 (2009) 453–477, [arXiv:0902.1471[hep-th]].

[41] I.L. Buchbinder, V.A. Krykhtin, P.M. Lavrov, On manifolds admitting the consistent Lagrangian description for higher spin fields, Mod.Phys.Lett. A26 (2011) 1183–1196, [arXiv:1101.4860[hep-th]].

[42] I.L. Buchbinder, A. Fotopoulos, A.C. Petkou, M. Tsulaia, Constructing the cubic interaction vertex of higher spin gauge fields, Phys. Rev. D74 (2006) 105018, [arXiv:hep-th/0609082]; A. Fotopoulos, K.L. Panigrahi, M. Tsulaia, On Lagrangian Formulation of Higher Spin Fields on AdS, Phys. Rev. D74 (2006) 085029; A. Fotopoulos, M. Tsulaia, Interacting Higher Spins and the High Energy Limit of the Bosonic String, Phys. Rev. D76 (2007) 025014; A. Fotopoulos, N. Irges, A.C. Petkou, M. Tsulaia, Higher Spin Gauge Fields Interacting with Scalar: The Lagrangian Cubic Vertex, JHEP 0710 (2007) 021; A. Fotopoulos, K.L. Panigrahi, M. Tsulaia, Lagrangian formulation of higher spin theories on AdS, Phys. Rev. D74 (2006) 085029, [arXiv:hep-th/0607248].

[43] D. Francia, A. Sagnotti, Free geometric equations for higher spins, Phys. Lett. B543 (2002) 303–310, [arXiv:hep-th/0207002]; A. Sagnotti, M. Tsulaia, On higher spins and the tensionless limit of String Theory, Nucl. Phys. B682 (2004) 83–116, [arXiv:hep-th/0311257]; D. Francia, J. Mourad, A. Sagnotti, Current exchanges and unconstrained higher spins, Nucl. Phys. B773 (2007) 203 [arXiv:hep-th/0701163].

[44] Y.M. Zinoviev, On massive mixed symmetry tensor fields in Minkowski space and (A)dS, [arXiv:hep-th/0211233]; First order formalism for mixed symmetry tensor fields, [arXiv:hep-th/0304067]; First order formalism for massive mixed symmetry tensor fields in Minkowski and (A)dS spaces, [arXiv:hep-th/0306292].

[45] R.R. Metsaev, Cubic interaction vertices of massive and massless higher spin fields, Nucl. Phys. B759 (2006) 147–201, [arXiv:hep-th/0512342].

[46] A. Fotopoulos and M. Tsulaia, On the Tensionless Limit of String theory, Off - Shell Higher Spin Interaction Vertices and BCFW Recursion Relations, JHEP 1011 (2010) 086, [arXiv:1009.0727[hep-th]].

[47] R. Manvelyan, K. Mkrtchyan, W. Ruehl, Off-shell construction of some trilinear higher spin gauge field interactions, Nucl.Phys. B826 (2010) 1–17, [arXiv:0903.0243[hep-th]]; Direct construction of a cubic selfinteraction for higher spin gauge fields, Nucl.Phys. B844 (2011) 348–364, [arXiv:1002.1358[hep-th]]; General trilinear interaction for arbitrary even higher spin gauge fields, Phys.Lett. B696 (2011) 410–415, [arXiv:1009.1054[hep-th]]; R. Manvelyan, K. Mkrtchyan, W. Ruhl, M. Tovmasyan, On Nonlinear Higher Spin Curvature, Phys.Lett. B699 (2011) 187–191, [arXiv:1102.0306[hep-th]].

[48] Yu.M. Zinoviev, Spin 3 cubic vertices in a frame-like formalism, JHEP 1008 (2010) 084, [arXiv:1007.0158[hep-th]]; N. Boulanger, E.D. Skvortsov, Higher-spin algebras and cubic interactions for simple mixed-symmetry fields in AdS spacetime, JHEP 1109 (2011) 063, [arXiv:1107.5028[hep-th]].

[49] C. Bizdadea, C.C. Ciobirca, E.M. Cioroianu, S.O. Saliu, Interactions between a massless tensor field with the mixed symmetry of the Riemann tensor and a massless vector field, [arXiv:0705.1054[hep-th]].

[50] G. Barnich, M. Henneaux, Consistent couplings between fields with a gauge freedom and deformations of the master equation, Phys. Lett. B311 (1993) 123–129, [arXiv:hep-th/9304057]; M. Henneaux, Consistent interactions between gauge fields: the cohomological approach, Contemp. Math. 219 (1998) 93–105, [arXiv:hep-th/9712226], M. Dubois-Violette, M. Henneaux, Generalized cohomology for irreducible tensor fields of mixed Young symmetry type, Lett. Math. Phys. 49 (1999) 245–252, [arXiv:math.qa/9907135].

[51] E.D. Skvortsov, Yu.M. Zinoviev, Frame-like Actions for Massless Mixed-Symmetry Fields in Minkowski space. Fermions, Nucl.Phys. B843 (2011) 559–569, [arXiv:1007.4944[hep-th]].

[52] C. Burdik, A. Pashnev, M. Tsulaia, Auxiliary representations of Lie algebras and the BRST constructions, Mod. Phys. Lett. A15 (2000) 281–291, [arXiv:hep-th/0001195].

[53] J. Dixmier, Algebres enveloppantes, Gauthier-Villars, Paris (1974).

[54] R. Howe, Transcending classical invariant theory, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 3 (1989) 2; Remarks on classical invariant theory, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 2 (1989) 313.

[55] C. Burdik, Realizations of the real simple Lie algebras: the method of construction, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 18 (1985) 3101–3112.

[56] I.L. Buchbinder, P.M. Lavrov, Classical BRST charge for nonlinear algebras, [arXiv:hep-th/0701243]; M. Asoreya, P.M. Lavrov, O.V. Radchenko, A. Sugamoto, BRST structure of non-linear superalgebras, [arXiv:0809.3322[hep-th]].

[57] A.A. Reshetnyak, Nonlinear Operator Superalgebras and BFV-BRST Operators for Lagrangian Description of Mixed-symmetry HS Fields in AdS Spaces, [arXiv:0812.2329[hep-th]].